



1. DATE-TIME GROUP 18 Oct 67 19/0120Z	2. LOCATION Oelwein, Iowa (2 Witnesses)
3. SOURCE ME Civilian	10. CONCLUSION 1. Probable Astro (SATURN) 2. Possible (AIRCRAFT) <i>WJF</i>
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS See Summary	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 15 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observer was photographing the moon when he noticed a large star at the right of the moon. The observer thought that he noticed slight movement so he went inside to get his binoculars. Upon returning outside, the observer noticed that the object was moving slowly in a southerly direction. It continued on this course for several minutes then changed to a WSW direction. When it was directly south of the observer he noticed that it was made up of 4 single lights instead of one. <u>COMMENTS:</u> At 1920 CDT at 42 deg lat., the moon was at an elevation of about 10 deg elevation and an azimuth of 85 deg. Saturn was at an elevation of 16 deg and an azimuth of 98 deg. Steller Mag of plus .8. During the first part of the sighting the observer seems to have been looking at the planet Saturn. When he returned outside there is any number of things that he may have seen. He may have seen an aircraft with its cabin lights on, or landing lights.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual (EX)	
7. COURSE WSW	
8. PHOTOS (For info only) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM
FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

note since negs were not submitted until Aug 68 no photo analysis is done (OVER)

possible a retrograde satellite, or possible the decay of some uncataloged degree from a retrograde satellite. Since no photos were given to this office, no evaluation can be made of them.





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 20 Oct 67	2. LOCATION Brooklyn, New York
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION PHOTO Other: Foreign Matter on Film
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 3	Photo experts determined that the images were caused by particle of foreign matter on the film during exposure or processing.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Applicable	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer took a photo and when it was developed UFO showed up on the film.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual XXXXXXXXXXXX	
7. COURSE Not Applicable	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 22 Oct 67 2030/23	2. LOCATION Milledgeville, Georgia
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case: Probable HOAX Photo: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 to 10 minutes	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS <p>The observer left his home to go UFO hunting. He started that he saw and photographed a metallic saucer shaped object. The observer stated that there were heat waves around the object and that it was roughly in the south.</p> <p>Comments: The observer was the only one to see the object. He had previously stated that he was going UFO hunting. During a conversation to the University of Colorado it was learned that the boy was sitting on the ground when both photos were taken. The first photo was taken while sitting in a bush. The boy then moved to his right and took a second photo. The boy could give no reason for sitting down to photograph the object. It is felt that the photo is a small object suspended from the tree that appears in both the photos. No photo analysis has been performed because the Air Force has never recorded the original polaroid photos received.</p>
7. COURSE see case	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 7 Oct 67 08/0336Z	2. LOCATION Omaha, Nebraska
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: Probable AIRCRAFT Case: INSUFFICIENT CLARITY
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 3-4 minutes	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer sighted a white object that looked like a cigar with a dome toward the front. The object passed in front of and behind clouds and made no noise. Comments: The local investigating officer felt that there was a question to the reliability of the witness. A dome on a cylindrical body, as reported by the observer could not be seen on the photograph. Three aircraft were in the area at the time of the sighting. In particular a Cessra 411 was obscending from 5000 to 2100 ft arriving at Omaha at 0340Z. This would place the aircraft below the 4000ft broken to overcast condition that existed in the area.
7. COURSE E - W	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM










Rob Mercet













PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 13 January 67 13/1200Z	2. LOCATION WESTOVER AFB, MASS. (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Other (PROBABLE REFLECTION)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Two	Observer was taking a picture of a C-141 landing. The object appeared on the print but at no time were seen.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Photo experts stated that the imagery was probable caused by a reflection of an artificial light source during exposure or a double exposure.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Not Observed	
7. COURSE N/A	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

TD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.





KODAK SAFETY FILM

Rob Mercer

11

From Market

SAFETY FILM






PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 17 Jan 67	2. LOCATION Bethlehem, Pennsylvania
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION None Accountable	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS SEE CASE FILE
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE None Accounted	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

TOP

MOON

BOTTOM



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE-TIME GROUP 22 Jan 67 1555-1600Z	2. LOCATION Mid-Eastern Pacific Area multiple
3. SOURCE Civilian & Military	10. CONCLUSION PHOTO 1. Other (Missile Activity) ✓ <i>not</i>
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	2. AIRCRAFT (POSSIBLE)
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2-5 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS. <p>Observers reported a circular shaped disc which was bigger and brighter than the average star. The object was directly over-head when it appeared to spin or tumble. The airborne observers reported a halo that grew to several times larger than the diameter of the full moon. Observers on Johnston Island took a picture of the object with a Baker-Munn Camera. They reported the object as slightly elongated with a perfect circular corona of even density. The light surrounding the object was being emitted in waves at even density. The object traveled toward the northwest in an arc passing north of the observers. THERE WAS ADDITIONAL SIGHTING AT 22 JAN 67 AT 1720 Z. APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN AIRCRAFT.</p> <p>The description is consistent with that of a missile launch. A missile was launched from Vandenberg AFB at 1544Z and impacted near Kwatalain Island at about 1612Z.</p>
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Air Visual	
7. COURSE Westward	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

TD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.

1600 06 35996

22 Jan 67



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 25 Jan 67	2. LOCATION Hampton, Virginia (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION AIRCRAFT (Possibly) INSUFFICIENT DATA 1. Photo (INSUF DATA) 2. Visual - INSUF DATA
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	Two aircraft were in the immediate area.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 30 seconds	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer watched a disc shape object, dull gray in color, travel across the sky. The Object seemed to be coming down in a light angle. It appeared to be landing and heading toward the forest.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	Two light aircraft were in the immediate area; however, the the pilots reported nothing unusual.
7. COURSE NW	The photograph appeared only as a dark elliptical mass. No other details were apparent. Without additional details the dark mass cannot be identified.
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



27558 43

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 27 Jan 67 28/0403Z	2. LOCATION Santa Maria, California 2 witnesses
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION 1. Visual/Aircraft (possible) 2. Photo (AIRCRAFT) Photos provided nothing more than circular light-toned images.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 6 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The object appeared as an aircraft without running lights, but quite brighter. The lights appeared brighter than the brightest star. They were compared to the head-light of a car at approximately one block or so. Only white lights were observed. Photo examination revealed that the lights appeared only as circular light-toned images. The description is consistent with that of some type of aircraft engaged in aerial advertising. The photographs do support such a probability.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE to the NW	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 9 Jan 67 1930Z	2. LOCATION Mt. Clemens, Michigan (2 witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION PHOTO (INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION, ORIGINAL PHOTOGRAPHS NOT RECEIVED)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	VISUAL: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION The measurement of the image does not substantiate the description given by the witnesses.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Ten minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Two witnesses watched a dark gray colored, disk shaped object hover at a low altitude and finally move off very fast to the southeast. Photograph was taken of the alleged UFO; however detailed investigation of copies of the original indicated a possible hoax. The case is being carried in Air Force files as insufficient data for evaluation since the original photographs were never analyzed by the Air Force.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ground visual	
7. COURSE Southeast	
8. PHOTOS Original negatives not received <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

P. 204511

Rob Mercer







Rob Mercer

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 13 Feb 67 14/0436Z	2. LOCATION Davidsonville, Maryland one witness
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION <i>PHOTO</i> Insufficient Data for Evaluation
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	CASE INSUFFICIENT DATA
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 18 to 20 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer reported a elliptical shaped object that was a pale white/yellow in color. There was also red markings and an attached pylons. The object appeared to be at an altitude of 2000 feet and appeared to have three pylons, round tops, flat bottoms, and the red markings. Observer took picture of the object but so far refused to let the Air Force Investigating Officer see the photograph. The case is being carried as insufficient data because the witness has refused to provide the negative. He stated that he had a negative yet he claimed that he took the picture with a Polaroid camera.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual Tx	
7. COURSE South	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.

Pub. Mercat

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 17 Feb 67 18/0040Z	2. LOCATION Elkins Park, Pennsylvania 1
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION 1. Sighting (INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION) 2. Photo: (PROCESSING DEFECT)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 10 seconds	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object appeared round, with the rim having a colored pulsating appearance. The color of a flame, but not as bright. Pink and pulsated to an ugly red. Object appeared to travel in a straight flight path and all of a sudden went straight up and out of sight. Sighting was made on 17 Feb 67 and not reported until 19 Jun 67.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE N - NE	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	




PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 25 Feb 67 25/1950 26/0150Z	2. LOCATION Fargo, North Dakota
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Visual: Possible Other (PLASMA) Photo: Possible Other (PLASMA)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	There was no radar contact or known air traffic in the area at that time. The sources estimate of size and distance are consistent with the photographic evidence, however, a definite size appreciation cannot be obtained due to the lack of necessary detail for obtaining a scale factor.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2 - 4 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	SUMMARY The observers stated that they sighted and photographed a luminescence "blob" that rose from the ground and accelerated away in a northeasterly direction. They estimated that the phenomenon was about 5 ft in diameter and about 200 ft away when they first sighted it.
7. COURSE NE	COMMENTS CON'D: Shutter speed was estimated by the observers as 1/15 and 1/100 of a second. However there is some question as to the nature of the streak of light at the bottom of the first photo. Dr Hynek feels that it could have been the result of either street light or auto headlights reflecting from the road embankment.
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

However, this analyst feels that it is more likely the result of the headlights of an auto that was traveling on the highway in the background and as such the photos would represent time exposure in which the shutter was open considerably longer than the reported 1/15 of a second. The second sighting from 50 miles away is probably of the planet Venus and does not appear to be related to the sighting from Fargo. Additional information was twice requested from the Fargo observers but they have failed to respond as of 7 Mar 69. There was a temperature inversion that night, surface winds were from 180 deg at 20 knots, and light snow was reported in scattered locations. There are a large number of telephone and high tension wire in the area of the sighting. It is felt that if the sighting is not a hoax, the stimulus could possible have been a plasma of some type.







PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 25 Feb 67 25/2325Z	2. LOCATION Sioux City, Iowa 2
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION PHOTO! INSUFF. DATA.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 3	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Each object visible for 1-2 minutes	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer watched three saucer shaped objects with round domes on top, traveling at approximately two minutes intervals toward the northeast. There was not exhaust or sound noted. Objects came into sight from the SW and disappeared into the distance one at a time. Each object was visible for 1-2 minutes. Lack of object details and foreground and/or background details precludes a satisfactory evaluation. No scale factors can be used to size the object.
7. COURSE NE	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	















PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 12 March 67 2215Z	2. LOCATION Lexington, Missouri Two
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Other(Photo Analysis) conflicting data Photo analysis revealed that object was much smaller than observer claimed. It appears something was thrown into the air and photographed.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 3 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer was outside when he observed a dull gray colored object coming toward him. The object was first seen in the NW part of the sky but travel toward the SE. The object appeared to float across the sky. The photographs do not substantiate the statement regarding the relative size of the object. Without specific details we are unable to positively identify the object other than it being a dark elliptical mass.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE SE	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FD-302 (REV. 5-22-64) (TDF) Previous editions of this form may be used.



A photograph of a dark, circular object, possibly a satellite or a hole, in a pale, overcast sky. The object is positioned in the upper right quadrant. The sky is a uniform, light greyish-white. In the lower left, the dark, bare branches of a tree are visible against the sky. A large, semi-transparent watermark reading "Rob Mercer" is oriented diagonally across the center of the image, from the bottom left towards the top right. The entire image has a grainy texture and numerous fine scratches, suggesting it is a scan of a physical photograph.

Rob Mercer

Conflicting Data

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 67-50

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 9 June 1967

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION Lexington, Missouri

DATE 12 March 1967

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY Poor

P NRS _____

2 Black & White Prints and 1 negative

1. PURPOSE: This report is in answer to Work Order Number 67-65 submitted by Major Quintanilla (TDETR) requesting an analysis of subject photography to determine the identity of a "flying" object.

2. ANALYSIS: A certain amount of vertical panning is evidenced in the photography. This is indicated by the vertical "smearing" of the house in the foreground. The vertical panning suggests that the object was rising or falling and not "floating" across the sky as source stated. The photography also indicates that the object was between the house and the observer, signifying that the object is much smaller than the fifteen or twenty feet in diameter as stated by source.

3. Based on the foregoing observations, it would appear that the photography is not genuine insofar as reported content is concerned.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Thomas E. Davis
THOMAS E. DAVIS
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

William L. Turner
WILLIAM L. TURNER
Major, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Branch

Wilber Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Chief, Photo Exploitation Division

①

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 22 Mar 67 1520Z	2. LOCATION Youngtown, Ohio
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION Photo: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION unknown	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS There is no information on the sighting. Observer stated that he took 3 pictures of the object. The original negatives were requested but were never forwarded.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION unknown	
7. COURSE unknown	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 31 Mar 67 Night	2. LOCATION Bergenfield, New Jersey
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Aircraft <i>PHOTO A/C</i>
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS Two	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Several minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observers spotted a red light coming toward them. All of a sudden the craft started to go up and down and then made a turn and came almost directly over a house. It was a cigar shaped object with a red light in the back and it also had a green light. It did not make any sound. Two pictures were taken apparently of different object, but were suppose to be of the same object. Photo analysis determined one object to be a helicopter and the other a conventional aircraft.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE N/A	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.









PROJECT 10073 RECORD


1. DATE - TIME GROUP 1 Apr 67 01/2230Z	2. LOCATION New Orleans, La. (2 Witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: Other (HOAX) Photos: Other (SMALL MAN MADE OBJECT) There are several discrepancies between the Form 164 and the newspaper accounts. Photo analysis determined the object to be 6-9 inches in diameter. 11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observers sighted and photographed a dark disc shaped object that made a low humming sound and had a gold gleam to it when reflecting sun light.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 1 Minute	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE NW - NE	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



16 April 67
2 Airman
APO N.Y.N.Y.

NCIO

Rob Mercer



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 24 April 67 24/0630Z	2. LOCATION Titusville, Florida
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: (INSUFFICIENT DATA) Photos: Probable Astro (STELLAR BODIES)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 8	The duration of each sighting is not given. It is not clear what the elevation of each object is upon appearance and disappearance.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 90 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	The observer sighted several orange lights in the sky. The observer had a total of 4 different sightings during the 1½ hr period. The object did not move while visible but would disappear and then reappear more toward the E. The observer stated that the object was as big as a dime at arms length.
7. COURSE Stationary	CONCLUSION CONT'D: Photo analysis could find no object on the film as described in the report. The film contained processing blemishes and true images. The film
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	blemishes and true images. The images appear to be stellar bodies or light sources from distant earth object or their reflections. The local UFO investigator felt the observer was watching stars.
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	


FORM

FD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.

Rob Mercer

steh





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 30 Apr 67	2. LOCATION Newburgh, New York <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.5em; font-family: cursive;">30 April 67</div>
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION <i>due</i> INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION <i>Photo INSUFF. DATA.</i>
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1 assumed	Form 164 sent to observer over 30 days ago and not returned yet, if returned case will be re-evaluated.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Not reported	Original correspondence from observer missing from file.
7. COURSE Not reported	" " returned Jan 68 by Lloyd MALAN at which time it was too late to investigate the sighting. Orig. negs. were not submitted.
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Missing <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FD-302 (REV. 6-3-64)

Previous editions of this form may be used.

Rob Mercer



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 5 April 1967 630 EST 5/2130Z	2. LOCATION OLD BRIDGE, NEW JERSEY
3. SOURCE CIVILIAN	10. CONCLUSION CASE: CONFLICTING DATA PHOTO: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS ONE	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS <p>The observer stated that he photographed a silverish, metal, spinning object that made a constant beeping sound.</p> <p>COMMENTS: In his original letter the observer stated that he saw it on the 12th of April. The witness was requested several times to complete a 117. Finally the witness was telephoned. He stated that he didn't have time to return the forms and that the sighting was during May, June, or July 1967. At the time of sighting, the observer was 13 years old and the witness 12.</p>
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION SIX MINUTES	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION GROUND-VISUAL	
7. COURSE W to S	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

DATE - TIME GROUP 9 Apr 67 10/0250Z	2. LOCATION Mesa, Arizona
SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION BALLOON (Hot Air) ✓ <i>Very prob. JPH</i>
NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	<i>Photo: Balloon (Hot Air) - 10/0250Z</i> BALLOON (HOT AIR)
LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 3-5 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer stated that the objects were cylindrical and remained in an upright vertical position with relation to the horizon. Objects were four to six feet in length. Color: Dull gray and appeared to be partially transparent. Observer stated that the object had an orange light at the base that appeared to gradually increase in intensity. Object had no distinct sound.
TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual (Bx)	
COURSE South	
PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

D SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.





1. DATE - TIME GROUP 13/2138 13 May 1967 14/0038Z	2. LOCATION Ventnor, New Jersey
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Visual: INSUFFICIENT DATA Photo: INSUFFICIENT CLARITY
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observer sighted and photographed a yellow-white oval shaped object that moved in sparts.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE NE toE	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

Rob Mercier



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 6 May 67	2. LOCATION St. Louis, Mo
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: (INSUFFICIENT DATA) Photo: (INSUFFICIENT DATA)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS No description of sighting given. NOTE: The orig. negs. were not submitted.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Reported	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

Rob Mercer



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 1 June 67 1620	2. LOCATION North San Jaun, California (2 Witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: Probable Other (HOAX) Photos: (INSUFFICIENT DATA) The orig. negs of the photos were never received. See photo analysis and also the investigating officers personal comments and
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE NW and Landed XXXXXXXXXX	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS criticisms and also his final conclusion: The two observers stated that they were taking pictures of a pet dog when they sighted a saucer shaped craft coming in from the NW at about 100 ft in the air. The craft landed in a clear area. The boys stated to run away from the UFO when a door opened and a little 2 - 2½ ft man came out. Before they knew what was happening the boys were standing next to the man. They said that it was as if they were in a trance. There were several other little people in the craft. They said that they came from Alpha Centauri and that they "wished the Air Force would quit shooting down their space ships because they wanted to land and make fix friends".
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.

UNCLASSIFIED

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC



Doc


UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC



UNCLASSIFIED



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

DATE - TIME GROUP 14 Jun 67 14/1800Z	2. LOCATION Landers, California (1 Witness)
SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Photo: Other: (PROCESSING DEFECT) sighting: (NOT OBSERVED)
NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observer at no time saw the object. The image was on the roll of film when he developed it. COMMENTS: Photo experts stated that the image could possible be the result of a film processing defect, such as a bubble in the developer or a light reflection.
TYPE OF OBSERVATION RECORD N/A	
COURSE N/A	
PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



Handwritten signature

Handwritten initials

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT
NR 67-64

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES
DATE OF REPORT 30 August 1967

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT
LANDERS, CALIFORNIA DATE 14 June 1967

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY _____
P NRS _____

1. PURPOSE: This report is written to fulfill a request, Work Order Number 67-113, submitted by Major H. Quintanilla, Jr. TDET/UFO, to determine the identity of the unidentified object in the attached photo.

2. ANALYSIS: The object cannot be definitely identified. There is the possibility that it could be a film processing defect, such as a bubble in the developer, or a light reflection. An analysis of the original negative could possibly reveal new information which cannot be gleaned from the paper prints that have been made available.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Robert D. Myers
ROBERT D. MYERS
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

William L. Turner
WILLIAM L. TURNER
Major, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Branch

for *William L. Turner*
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Chief, Photo Exploitation Division

ROB MEYER

Picture is 47 top bottom

with Nobel story

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 19 Jun 67 19/2330Z	2. LOCATION Excelsior, Minnesota 1 ✓
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION CASE: Prob Astro (Venus & Jup.) prob. astro INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION PHOTO (S/P) Prob Astro (Venus & Jup.) JH Form 164 sent to observer over 30 days ago and not returned as of this day. When returned case will be re-evaluated.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object was nothing more than a point source of light in the sky. Orange to reddish white and round. Object had no unusual features. Object had a straight pattern of flight with occasional changes in direction. Object went out of sight by going over the horizon. Although the <i>insufficiency of the observations</i> data the photos indicate that observer may have been photographing Venus and Jupiter.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 15 minutes	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
7. COURSE Straight	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes In file <input type="checkbox"/> No	





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 27 June 67 27/1800Z	2. LOCATION Wichita AREA, KANSAS Union Army (1 witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: (INSUFFICIENT DATA) Photo Analysis: (INSUFFICIENT DATA)
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	Complete lack of data, such as duration of sighting, elevation and azimuth of the object when it was photographed, elevation of the object when it was first observed and when it disappeared and the
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Few Minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS distance and height of the object. Also no camera data was given and there were no witness despite the fact that it was
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	daylight. Observer went out of his house looked up and noticed the object, observer stated, it hovered and wobbed at the same time. Observer
7. COURSE Erratic	stated object was 15 ft, he did not stated if it was length, height or diameter. No sound to object. He stated object moved in angle. He further stated, later the object whizzed by. He said it hovered
8. PHOTOS XX Yes No	for a few minutes, then speed to the NW, until it disappeared. object reflected the sun, and was the shape of two saucers placed on top of each other. Further stated it was the size of a grape-
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE Yes XX No	fruit at arms length.













PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 19 Aug 67 2000/19	2. LOCATION Lake Huron
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION CASE; Object not observed PHOTO; Processing irregularity
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object was not observed but appeared in photo.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION N/A	
7. COURSE N/A	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM




PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 20 Aug 67 Varied	2. LOCATION Clyde, New York
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case: CONFLICTING DATA Photo: INSUFFICIENT CLARITY
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 2 minutes	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS <p>Observer sighted an object that had 3 or 4 huge red lights on the bottom. There also seemed to be some amber light in the middle, on the top there were six or less blue lights that were not blinking.</p> <p>Comments: The observer said that the town policeman also saw this object. The witness was contacted but reported that he had not seen any UFO's on the date in question. He did see UFO's on two other occasions but the observer of this case was not listed as a witness to either of these sightings. Because of the very poor quality of the photos, it was not felt necessary to submit them to the photo experts.</p>
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE Not stated	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	







PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 7 Aug 67 07/2200Z	2. LOCATION West New York, New Jersey (2 Witnesses)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: Other (HOAX) Photos: Other (SMALL MAN MADE OBJECT) Observer's mother stated that her son had hung an object on a piece of string and took a picture of it. <i>gld</i>
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION One Minute.	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observer sighted and photographed a green object (See Photo)
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE NE - E	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.





PROJECT 10073 RECORD


1. DATE - TIME GROUP 3 Aug 67 8/1730Z	2. LOCATION Santa Fe, New Mexico
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case: Possible (PSYCHOLOGICAL) Photo: Air Bell or Break in Emulsion
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 15 minutes	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer sighted a spherical, whitish-gray, semi-transparent object that appeared to be not more than 1,000 ft in alt. and about $\frac{1}{2}$ mile away. COMMENTS: Initially the observer refused to give any information on his sighting unless he was given a personal interview. He stated that "I feel I am on to something big..." He further stated that "I am sorry, but if you are interested-conversation about this matter (location, schedules, type, etc.) would of necessity have to be a "tit for tat" cat and mouse game. Although the observer stated later that he saw the object for 15 minutes the photo was "snapped in desperation" In the observation, the observer described the object in the photo almost exactly. Yet the object was det. to be either a break or an air bell in the emulsion.
7. COURSE SW to NE	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

TD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.








1. DATE - TIME GROUP 19 Sept 67	2. LOCATION Upper Darby, Penna. (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: Other: (UNRELIABLE REPORT) Photos: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS No information on sighting itself. COMMENTS: The observer has seen numerous UFOs. While writing XXXX about one sighting he stated "it always came back at least three times a night". The observer is only 14 years old. (See also 27 Jan 68).
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 20 Sept 67 2100Z	2. LOCATION Cincinnati, Ohio
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION Photo: INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION unknown	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observer stated that he photographed an object that appeared to be so big or a little bigger than a station-wagon. Comments: Additional information, camera data, and the orig. negatives were requested but the observer failed to provide any of these.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE unknown	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 21 Sept 67	2. LOCATION California
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case: INSUFFICIENT DATA Photo: INSUFFICIENT DATA
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS unknown	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION unknown	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Infra red photo	
7. COURSE unknown	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS No information available. The report, scanty as it is, was not submitted until Feb 1968 at which time it was too late to investigate it. The original photos and negatives were not submitted.
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

Rob Mercer

21 Sept 67
Calif

Rob Mercer

21 Sept 62
Calif

Rob Mercer

21 Sept 67
Calif

Rob Mercer

21 Sept 67
Calif



New Sport Model

Saucer Season

The new 1967 flying saucers finally are out. And the ship, sighted and photographed by a couple of brothers at Mount Clemens, Mich., seems to have several new safety features.

Prominent this year is the collapsible swamp gas filter on the back. Little green men long have complained about fume seepage. Some say they get so sick that they turn white.

Another major feature in the new models is the broadened bumper. This gives the saucer pilot extra protection from shooting stars, cosmic dust, Soviet-American space junk and flapping earth creatures.

The 1967 saucers also are slightly domed at the top. This was necessary to install safety head rests for saucer passengers during those quick stops and starts. (One Martian company threatened to convert its saucer operation to canal building because of the design requirement.)

Saucer manufacturers said they wanted to eliminate the rear fin on the '67s in order to cut ship costs from 3,500 blue pebbles to 3,150. However, they argued that planet demand for it was overwhelming.

All in all, 1967 promises to be a good year for saucer makers. The new ships are just as exciting as ever.





PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 3 Sept 67 3/2330Z	2. LOCATION Plaquemine, La.
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Case; Possible HOAX Photo: Probable Processing Blemish
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 minutes	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer sighted a funnel shaped object that was glowing red in color and was traveling W or NW. The object appeared to be spinning as it traveled along. The observer stated that the object had a fast forward speed. COMMENTS: In the description of the case the observer described almost perfectly the object that appears in his photo. However, photo analysts indicated that the image was probable processing blemish.
7. COURSE E to W	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM



PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP Sunset 21 June 68	2. LOCATION Flushing Queens, New York
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Photo: INSUFFICIENT DATA Additional photographic information was requested but was not received untill after the original photos had been returned.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS See Photo	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Several unidentified "objects" appeared in some photos the observer had taken. No observation was made visually at any time.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE N/A	
8. PHOTOS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE)

Previous editions of this form may be used.



