

THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

[HTTP://WWW.BLACKVAULT.COM](http://www.blackvault.com)

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

212

1 SEP 1978

IN REPLY
REFER TO DLA-LR

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
ATTN: Executive Secretariat, Defense Organization
Study

SUBJECT: Departmental Headquarters and National Military Command
Structure Studies

This is in response to your memorandum of 13 July 1978 in which you
requested comments on the two studies.

Most of the recommendations and findings in the study reports would
have little direct impact on us. Comments on those which, if adopted,
would be of interest, are at Enclosure 1.

The third study you mentioned, which addresses resource management,
will be of particular interest to us. We request the opportunity to
review and comment on it when it is completed by Dr. Rice.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:

1 Encl

E. M. KOCHER
Rear Admiral, SC, USN
Assistant Director,
Plans, Programs and Systems

DEPARTMENTAL HEADQUARTERS AND NATIONAL
MILITARY COMMAND STRUCTURE STUDIES

DIA COMMENTS

1. Departmental Headquarters Study

A. Recommendation 6 (p. 63) suggests that functions recently consolidated under ASD(MRA&L) are so broad in scope as to preclude effective control. We concur in this sentiment. Manpower and Logistics represent two different disciplines, each of such size, complexity and importance within DoD that they deserve the full-time attention of separate Assistant Secretaries.

B. The findings addressed under Chapter IV, para K (p. 68), confirm the existence of two readiness reporting systems, both sponsored by JCS. Neither provides the Secretary of Defense the intelligence necessary to allocate resources to improve a given readiness condition. In this regard, we support the efforts of the DoD Readiness Management Steering Group. We strongly encourage a system that will permit a quantitative measurement of increased readiness levels directly resulting from application of more resources. We could use such a system to better identify resource requirements to support specific operation plans.

2. National Military Command Structure Study

This study includes a proposal (p. 39) that the Chairman, JCS, be given a formal role in resource allocation planning and decisions. Adoption of this recommendation would change some prioritization of logistic needs. We would see more of a focus on the operational readiness and war fighting capability of the Unified Commands in lieu of the current emphasis on readiness of separate units or weapons systems. There would be a new emphasis on sustainability of combat forces as an alternative to expending limited resources on new equipment and peacetime exercises. As a result, we would expect more intensified management of war reserve material. Specifically, we would expect greater emphasis on positioning of subsistence and petroleum stocks overseas.

ENCLOSURE