This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

15 October 1996

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Assass	ination	Records	Review
		-	-		

Board

FROM:

John F. Pereira

Chief, Historical Review Group

SUBJECT:

~,

Foreign Government Information

(We request that this memorandum be returned to CIA once the Board has completed its deliberations on the issues discussed below.)

- 1. (S) <u>Issue</u>: This memorandum will address CIA's position on the review and declassification of foreign government information that appears in the JFK collection. This issue has come to CIA's attention because of the recent review by the JFK Board of documents. The Agency believes it is important to address this issue at this time because this is the first instance that this type of [Adocument has been reviewed by the Board and it is possible that such information will appear again in CIA's collection. will, therefore, focus on the larger issue of a United States Government (USG) agency's legal obligations in the dissemination and declassification of foreign government information but will also address the specific issue of the six
- CIA does not object to the release of the (S) <u>Conclusion</u>: information in these six documents, but is only concerned about protecting foreign government information. Therefore, the Agency does not object to the release of the four CIA documents in the redacted form proposed by the Board. With regards to the two the Agency has no authority to unilaterally agree to their release in any form. Pursuant to its legal obligations, CIA ordinarily seeks the consent of the foreign government prior to declassifying their information. [However, (for reasons described herein, it is not possible to even seek the at this time, nor would it be likely consent of that would give it. Rather than going to the against its better judgment or requesting the

CL BY: \2224130 CL REASON: 1.5(d)

DECL ON: X5

DRV FM: LIA 3-82

SECRET

President to agree to the unilateral declassification of foreign government information, CIA proposes that the release of the documents be either postponed for a short time or that a substitution be made.

- 3. (U) Legal Authorities: The procedures governing the declassification and dissemination of foreign government information are set out in Executive Order 12958, as well as Director of Central Intelligence Directives (DCIDs). Executive Order 12958 defines foreign government information as including (1) information provided by a foreign government, or any element thereof, with the expectation, expressed or implied, that the information and/or the source of the information, are to be held in confidence; or (2) information produced by the United States pursuant to or as a result of a joint arrangements with a foreign government, or any element thereof, requiring that the information, the arrangements, or both, are to be held in confidence. Id., at §1.1(d)
- 4. (U) Foreign government information is subject to a classification determination under E.O. 12958, section 1.5(c). When so classified, U.S. government agencies are obligated to protect that information from unauthorized disclosure. The E.O. requires that foreign government information shall either retain its original classification or be assigned a U.S. classification that shall ensure a degree of protection at least equivalent to that required by the entity that furnished the information. Id., at 1.7(e). Furthermore, agencies are required to safeguard foreign government information under standards that provide a degree of protection at least equivalent to that required by the originating government. Id., at 4.2(g).
- 5. (U) Pursuant to his authority as head of the intelligence community to protect all classified information from unauthorized disclosure, the Director of Central Intelligence has issued Directives (that is, DCIDs) setting out the procedures for the declassification and dissemination of foreign government information. Intelligence obtained from another government or from a combined effort with another government, may not be released or authorized for release without its consent. DCID 5/6 attachment § C.3. Furthermore, the release of intelligence that would be contrary to agreements between the U.S. and foreign countries is expressly prohibited. Id., at § C.5.
- 6. (U) Finally, the very fact of intelligence cooperation between the U.S. and specifically named foreign countries and government components is classified SECRET unless a different classification is mutually agreed upon. DCID 1/10-1. Such information may be declassified only with the mutual consent of the U.S. and the foreign government whose interests are involved. DCID 1/10-1.

the U.S. and the foreign government whose interests are involved. DCID 1/10-1.

- 7. (U) National Security Considerations: The importance of such coordination with foreign governments prior to the release of their information cannot be overemphasized. Should CIA, or for that matter any (USG) agency fail to coordinate where required, not only would it be a violation of the aforementioned E.O. and directives, but it would chill relationships it has developed with foreign services over the years. If such lack of coordination became known, foreign services would hesitate to share crucial intelligence information with CIA if they believed it would be released, in spite of any agreements or U.S. laws to the contrary, without their consent. Furthermore, the U.S. could not expect foreign services to safeguard U.S. government information that it shares with its liaison partners in order to pursue authorized intelligence and foreign policy objectives.
- 8. (S) According to its legal obligations described herein, CIA coordinates the dissemination and/or release of foreign government information. Its obligation to do so is similar to its obligation to coordinate declassification efforts with another USG agency should the CIA possess any of that agency's documents. For example, CIA could not declassify and release to the public FBI information located in CIA files without coordinating with that agency. Similarly, CIA has no authority to unilaterally declassify foreign government documents or information in its files.

5	9. (S) Coordination As two of the documents.
, 1	at issue here are letters from
	CIA is legally obligated by E.O. and
	agreement with to seek the consent of the
	prior to their release, even in redacted form. The
	issue of coordinating with theis a timely
	one. In most cases, CIA would not have an objection to going to
	the foreign government and seeking their consent for declas-
Ì	sification. However, several events that have occurred in the
	last few months depict just how seriously considers any
ļ	indication that the U.S. is unable to protect from release their
4	classified information. Based on the incidents described
3	below, it is CIA's position that even asking the
	consent to release would threaten the current relationship.
1:	• Within the last year, a demarche was made
1	government expressing strong concern that U.S. declas-
Ĭ	sification legislation expressly spell out that no information
Ì	provided to the USG by be declassified
j	without its permission. A copy of this demarche is provided
	for the Board's review. (See attached.) It is worth noting
	that this demarche is not between intelligence services but
	I have been a supplied to the supplied of the

SECRET

SUBJECT: Foreign Government Information rather between governments -- it was literally delivered by to the National Security Council and to the U.S. Ambassador The manner in which the treated the demarche demonstrates that the procection of classified information is receiving top priority government and is not just a concern of their intelligence community. On two recent but separate occasions passed to CIA information indicating that there would be assassination attempts on two non-U.S. citizens. CIA requested that it pass this information on to the targets, but refused. They argued that the information was not specific enough and would endanger a source. The stated that if they believed the information was useful, they would pass it themselves. concerned that CIA had even asked to pass on this information, and questioned what they perceived as CIA's willingness to share their information with third parties. Finally, they stated that the easiest way to protect their information was simply not to pass it to CIA anymore. Just this month, a senior official of approached the CIA with accusations that a former Agency employee allegedly may have disclosed their classified believe that this disclosure may be related to the loss of all agent reporting sources in a country of particular interest to them. The DDCI promised to launch an investigation into the matter. recently expressed grave concern to CIA about a book published by an American USG official which alludes to a relationship between the CIA and Even though this book was not an official CIA acknowledgment this incident called into question the CIA's ability to protect that relationship. 10. (S) Under the circumstances, it would be an affront to to be asked for their consent in light of the above events which have all occurred in the last year. would not only be extremely upset with CIA, but would certainly deny their consent. Should deny their consent, CIA would have no authority to agree to the release of the information and would be obligated to do all it could to prevent disclosure. It is crucial not only to CIA's mission but

also to the conduct of US foreign relations that the USG is seen
as abiding by its agreements with foreign services as well as its
own laws on the release of information.
Tr. (S) Finally, the importance of maintaining good
relations with cannot be overemphasized. As a
result of our valuable relationship with our
counterparts, the USG receives a large volume of finished
intelligence. Due to our shrinking resources, much of this
information would not be available to U.S. policy makers were it
not provided Additionally, we work together
around the world on joint collection
activities that cover the full spectrum of USG intelligence
priorities. Finally, there are several critical collection
efforts vital to the USG where we do not have the entrée to
collect intelligence independently. In these cases, we rely
entirely on the continued good will ofto
provide us with the assistance necessary to meet U.S.
policymakers' needs.
i)
12. (S) In light of all the above, CIA submits the
following two proposals for the Board's consideration. First
the Board could postpone these two documents from release for a
short period (we propose 10 months) at which time CIA can
reassess its relationship with
that the relationship with CIA and could change so that
it would be possible to seek their consent for release in full.
However, should we seek the consent of at a
documents, we would ask that the Board seriously consider any
negative reaction from in its deliberations and
abide by their desires. A second option would be for CIA to
coordinate with the JFK Staff a substitution or some sort of
summary of documents for immediate release. This
summary would hide the fact that the letter came from the
but would reveal what the subject of the
letter was. This would avoid the problem of having to go the
to seek their consent, which we would have to do even
in the case of redactions.
And have been a second of the
12 (II) Chould the pend point there proposely GIR is

13. (U) Should the Board reject these proposals, CIA is willing to work with the Board to reach another mutually agreeable solution. We strongly believe, however, that any approach which suggests that the U.S. Government may ignore its obligations and commitments to foreign governments would seriously undermine the vigorous and healthy diplomatic as well as intelligence relationships that we currently enjoy.

14 (S) With regard to the remaining four documents

these are CIA documents and with the redactions proposed by the

government. As such, CIA consents to the release of these documents with the redactions proposed by the Board.

any other foreign government information that may exist in the files, CIA proposes that it approach to request their consent in the release of their information when it would be appropriate to do so. Although recent events with make it impossible for CIA to approach them at this time, this may not be so with other services. In cases were CIA believes, because of the nature of the relationship, that it would not be possible to request the consent of the service, CIA proposes that the documents either be postponed from release of that CIA and Board's staff coordinate a summary.

John F. Pereira

Attachment

13-00000.

13-00000

CONFIDENTIAL

		and the state of t