

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG-2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY *EUR 30* PER *6-*

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBIT

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

SECRET

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

RF

FILE

VR

DDO

ACTION #

1 604753

EIA836

PAGE 01

IN 795558

TOR:151902Z JAN 76

68796

SECRET 151705Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE 68796

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT PLKMBALL

NELSON FROM MEYER

REF: ROGER CHANNEL 09548

C/EN

D/EN

IN/EN

DATE/EN

AC/EN

CT

BU

1. REFERENCE FROM DEPARTMENT INFORMS EMBASSY THAT SENATOR
HART OF SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE WILL BE ATTENDING CONFERENCE AT

 30 JANUARY TO 1 FEBRUARY. HE HAS REQUESTED THAT EMBASSY
ARRANGE WITH FOR BRIEFINGS ON SERIES OF SUBJECTS OF

INTEREST TO HIM AND SELECT COMMITTEE. THEY ARE THE DIVISION OF
LABOR BETWEEN A. SECURITY AND THE SECRET SERVICE AND B. INTEL-

LIGENCE AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE. HE GOES ON TO REQUEST BRIEFINGS
ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR COVERT OPERATIONS AND POLIT-

ICAL CONTROL OF POLITICAL OPERATIONS WITH IN
ADDITION HE WANTS BRIEFINGS ON INTELLIGENCE BUDGET ALLOCATION AND

COORDINATION AND SYSTEM FOR APPROVAL OF MAJOR INTELLIGENCE PROJECTS.
SENATOR HART ALSO WANTS A BRIEFING ON THE

AND HOW IT WORKS.

2. HAS BEEN CONTACTED BY EMBASSY OFFICER AND HAS

SECRET

*Not Renewed

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 604753

EIA836

PAGE 02-02

IN 795558

TOR:151902Z JAN 76

8796

ADVISED THAT [] WILL DO THEIR BEST TO PROVIDE INFORMATION SENATOR
HART WANTS BUT THAT SOME OF THE INFORMATION COULD NOT BE MADE
AVAILABLE, HE IS PROPOSING A JOINT MEETING WITH []

[] FOR GENERAL DISCUSSIONS ON THE INTELLIGENCE
COMMUNITY AND WITH [] AT [] IN THE
WORKINGS OF THE OFFICIAL SECRETS ACT. THERE WILL BE CHANGES IN
THE SCHEDULE AND EMBASSY WILL BE ADVISED BY [] AT A LATER
DATE.

3. THERE IS NO INDICATION IN THE DEPARTMENT TRAFFIC THAT CIA
HAS BEEN INFORMED BUT WE PRESUME THAT OFFICIALS OF THE AGENCY HAVE
OR WILL BE CONTACTED BY SENATOR HART OF HIS STAFF ON THE MATTER.

4. NO FILE. E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 27 PER SOP #112 TOTAL COPIES 10-3 RUN BY Wap

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RP FILE

VR

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, CSRF

DDO, ~~SA/DDO~~, D/26C

1

2

3

4

5

6

T 602263

EIA422

PAGE 01

IN 794546

TOR1500522 JAN 76

21033

S E C R E T 142302Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [] 21033

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT TAPPER PLMHCONGA CODEL ADDABBO

1. UPON ARRIVAL OF THE CODEL ON THE EVENING OF THE 12TH GEORGE CARY CONTACTED COS AND ARRANGED FOR STATION BRIEFING AT 0830/13TH (THE CONTRY TEAM BRIEFING WAS SCHEDULED FOR 0930). CARY MENTIONED THAT THE INTEL BRIEFS HAD BEEN GIVEN "TAIL END" TREATMENT AT PREVIOUS STOPS, AND CONGRESSMAN ADDABBO HAD INDICATED THAT HE WANTED TO BE SURE AND REMEDY THAT ON THE [] STOPOVER.

2. CONGRESSMEN ADDABBO, ROBINSON AND EDWARDS, ACCOMPANIED BY STAFFERS SNODGRASS, PRESTON AND GEORGE CARY ARRIVED AT THE EMBASSY AT 0830. WITH [] PRESENT, COS GAVE THEM DETAILED BRIEFING AS OUTLINED BY HEADQUARTERS. DISCUSSION COVERED THE MAKE-UP OF THE STATION AND BASES, OUR PRIMARY OBJECTIVES IN [] THE OVERALL MANAGEMENT SCHEME USED BY HQS IN MANAGEMENT OF STATION AND ITS ACTIVITIES, OUR USE OF [] STRESSING OUR USE OF THEM TO SUPPLEMENT OUR LIMITED RESOURCES, OUR INTELLIGENCE CYCLE FROM VALIDATION OF REQUIREMENTS TO

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		TOTAL COPIES		RUN BY	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED		S E C R E T		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED		BY		AT	
ACTION UNIT		RF		FILE VR	
ACTION		I		N	
		F		O	
		1		4	
		2		5	
		3		6	
T 602263		EIA422		PAGE 02	
				IN 794546	
		TORI1500522 JAN 76		21033	

PRODUCTION OF INTELLIGENCE, SPECIFIC DETAILS OF HOW WE ACCOMPLISH OUR OPS TASKING- SPOTTING, ASSESSMENT, DIRECT CONTACT WITH TARGETS AND USE OF [] STATION BUDGET, ETC., ACTUAL EXAMPLES WERE USED WHENEVER POSSIBLE TO MAKE THE POINT. FOR EXAMPLE, THE PDWHIZ CASE WAS USED TO SHOW HOW THE STATION FOCUSES ON AN INDIVIDUAL, PRIMARY TARGET. IT WAS A LIVELY SESSION, WITH CONGRESSMAN ROBINSON AND SNODGRASS' THE "LIVE WIRES" OF THE GROUP. QUESTIONS WERE OF A ROUTINE NATURE PRIMARILY RELATED TO INCIDENTAL OPS DETAILS. FOR EXAMPLE, CONGRESSMAN ROBINSON NOTED THAT HE FELT IT RATHER EASY TO IDENTIFY

[] COS RESPONSE WAS THAT SUCH IS OFTEN TRUE, BUT THAT STATIONS AND HQS MANAGEMENT ARE FOCUSING ON THAT SPECIFIC PROBLEM, AND THAT THE [] INCIDENT HAS CERTAINLY ACCELERATED OUR EFFORTS IN THAT REGARD.

3. ALL BUT A FEW MINUTES OF THE ENTIRE HOUR WAS SPENT IN DISCUSSING STATION OPS. GEORGE CARY CERTIFIED THAT THE GROUP WAS "WITTING" AND A FEW QUESTIONS AND ISSUES WERE ADDRESSED ON THE SPECIAL S AND T SUBJECT.

S E C R E T

7-71.MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		SECRET		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____		Z _____	
ACTION UNIT _____		RF. FILE VR _____		STAFF	
ACTION # _____		INFO _____		1 _____ 4 _____	
				2 _____ 5 _____	
				3 _____ 6 _____	
T 602263		EIA422		PAGE 03	
				IN 794546	
		TDR150052Z JAN 76		21033	

SNODGRASS WAS THE FOCAL POINT OF THAT EXCHANGE, AND IT IS REPORTED IN SEPARATE CABLE [] 21027). AT (IN 793209)

SNODGRASS' REQUEST STATION HAD ARRANGED A SPECIAL MEETING WITH A SELECT GROUP OF [] OFFICIALS. THE DETAILS OF THAT MEETING AND AN ADDITIONAL ONE EARLIER IN THE AFTERNOON OF THE 13TH WITH DEFENSE ARE ALSO DETAILED IN [] 21029. ON)*

BECAUSE OF SOME RATHER UNUSUAL DEVELOPMENTS IN THOSE MEETINGS, SUGGEST [] REVIEW REFERENCED CABLE. THE CABLE WAS GIVEN RESTRICTED HANDLING BY STATION BECAUSE OF THE SENSITIVITY OF ITS CONTENT.

4. THE MEETING LASTED THE FULL HOUR AND THE CONGRESS^S-MEN INDICATED THAT THEY WERE WELL-SATISFIED WITH WHAT HAD BEEN COVERED. CDS STRESSED THAT WE WERE AVAILABLE TO CONTINUE ON THE SUBJECT AFTER THE FORMAL AGENDA OF THE DAY WAS COMPLETED, BUT THEY INDICATED THE SUBJECT HAD BEEN ADEQUATELY COVERED. THERE WAS NO FURTHER STATION CONTACT WITH THE CONGRESSMEN DURING THEIR VISIT. THE STAFFERS DEPARTED ON THEIR "VISIT" IN THE EMBASSY CONVAIR ON SCHEDULE, AND WILL RETURN DIRECT TO [] BY CONVAIR ON THE 14TH, ARRIVING AT ABOUT 2300. E2, IMPDET.

SECRET

WALLER
WALKER
MAYE

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY *sf* PER *Sep 11/72* TOTAL COPIES *10* / RUN BY

(24)

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

C/EA3
ACTION #

RF FILE VR *DCI/REVIEW STAFF, CS/RF,*
DDD SA/DO OLC,

1	4
2	5
3	6

T 595025

EIA412

PAGE 01-01

IN 791659

TOR:122154Z JAN 76.

21007

S E C R E T 122040Z JAN 75 STAFF

CITE [] 21007

TO: [] INFO DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CODEL RANDALL AND ADDABBO

REFS: A. [] 35606 (iw787635)

B. [] 35661 (iw790579)

[] STATION MUCH APPRECIATES ADVANCED REPORTING
ON CODELS. WAS HELPFUL WITH THE RANDALL GROUP, AND AM SURE
WILL BE VALUABLE WITH ADDABBO GROUP AS WELL. REGARDS. E2 IMPDET.

S E C R E T

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG. 2/76

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY

PER

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I

RF. FILE

VR

DCI (REVIEW STAFF)

ACTION #

N

F

O

000, CS/RF, SA/000, 0/OLC

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 593616

EIA024

PAGE 01

IN 791004

TOR:121111Z JAN 76

35674

S E C R E T 120939Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [] 35674

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMMGUNGA CODEL ADDABBO

REF: [] 35661 (790579)

1. AT RECEPTION AT HOME OF CHARGE NIGHT OF 11 JANUARY, REPRESENTATIVE ADDABBO MADE POINT OF TELLING COS THAT STATION BRIEFING AS PER REF WAS "DAMN GOOD" AND THAT HE APPRECIATED THE FRANK ANSWERS TO THE DELEGATION'S QUESTIONS.

2. MR. SNODGRASS ALSO VOLUNTERED THAT THE BRIEFING HAD IMPACTED FAVORABLY ON THE DELEGATION AND THAT THEY WERE SURPRISED AND PLEASED TO GET SUCH STRAIGHTFORWARD ANSWERS TO THEIR QUESTIONS. HE ADDED THAT WHAT OFTEN GAVE HIM TROUBLE WAS STATEMENTS BY AGENCY OFFICERS THAT THEY HAD BEEN PURSUING SOVIET OFFICIALS FOR TEN YEARS OR MORE AND HAD NOT YET RECRUITED ONE. HE ALLUDED TO THIS AS THE BUREAUCRAT'S DREAM, BEING PERPETUALLY PAID FOR SEEKING THE HOLY GRAIL WITHOUT EVER FINDING IT. COS POINTED OUT TO MR. SNODGRASS THAT SOVIETS WERE BEING RECRUITED AND THAT THE WORK OF ONE STATION WHICH MIGHT NOT RESULT IN RECRUITMENT WOULD BE THE VERY BASIS ON WHICH ANOTHER STATION IS ABLE TO MAKE A SUCCESSFUL

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 593616

EIA024

PAGE 02

IN 791004

TOR:121111Z JAN 76

35674

RECRUITMENT APPROACH, IN COST EFFECTIVENESS TERMS, ALSO POINTED OUT THAT THE RECRUITMENT OF A SINGLE SOVIET COULD AND DOES RESULT IN A MORE ACCURATE APPRAISAL OF THE SOVIET THREAT AND THUS A MORE RELISTIC ALLOCATION OF FUNDS TO MEET IT. MR. SNODGRASS ADMITTED THAT THIS WAS SO BUT ADDED HIS OPINION THAT MORE RATHER THAN LESS CONGRESSIONAL CONTACT WITH CIA FIELD PERSONNEL AS IN [REDACTED] WOULD BE A PLUS FOR THE AGENCY AND GIVE THE CONGRESS A BETTER FEEL FOR OUR ENDEAVORS AND PROBLEMS.

3. MR. CAREY ALSO SAID THAT ON THE BASIS OF HIS TALKS WITH MEMBERS OF THE DELEGATION IT APPEARED THAT THE BRIEFING HAD GONE DOWN WELL AND THAT THEY FELT THEY WERE BEING GIVEN AN ACCURATE AND INTERESTING INSIGHT.

4. THUS IT SEEMS THAT STATION DID GET THROUGH TO THE DELEGATION DESPITE THE INITIAL IMPRESSION THAT THEY WERE GENERALLY LETHARGIC ABOUT IT.

5. NEW SUBJECT: DOES HEADQUARTERS HAVE ANY SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING OF CODEL DIGGS? E2, IMPDET

S E C R E T

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY *28*PER *SOP 112*TOTAL COPIES *10-1*

RUN BY

S E C R E T

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

Waller
Waller
File
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE

VR

*DCI/REVIEW STAFF**CS/RF DDO, SA/DO, D/LC*1
2
34
5
6

ACTION #

T 592728

EIA152

PAGE 01

IN 790651

TOR:112233Z JAN 76

21000

S E C R E T 112220Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 21000

TO: IMMEDIATE [REDACTED] INFO DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CONGRESSMAN MILFORD

REF: [REDACTED] 15837 (in 787572)

1. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD DESIRES REFERENCED MEETING AT 0800
AND WILL EXPECT CONTACT FOR DETAILS UPON HIS ARRIVAL.

2. HE IS VERY EASY TO TALK TO AND AM SURE YOU WILL ENJOY
THE MEETING. HE MET HERE WITH HEADS OF LIAISON SERVICES, ABOUT
45 MINUTES WITH EACH. HIS PRINCIPAL QUESTIONS ARE WHAT THEY
THINK OF THE CURRENT INVESTIGATIONS, WHAT IMPACT HAVE THEY HAD
ON FOREIGN INTEL RELATIONS, ETC.

3. HE HAS A MINOR HEARING PROBLEM, SO SHOULD ARRANGE TO
HAVE HIM SEATED AS CLOSE AS POSSIBLE TO [REDACTED]

4. BEST REGARDS. E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

CABLE REC DISSEM BY 28 PER SOP 112 TOTAL COPIES 10 RUN BY 1

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

ACTION UNIT

0.7

RF FILE

VR

10

1

RF FILE VR DC/REVIEW STAFF

CS/RF, DDO, S/DO, P/OLC

ACTION #

592741

EIA165

PAGE 01

IN 7906152

TOR:112313Z JAN 76

21001

S E C R E T 112305Z JAN 75 STAFF

CITE 21001

TO: PRIORITY DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA RTBUSHEL (DUCKETT)

SUBJECT: MILFORD VISIT

1. COS SPENT ABOUT EIGHT HOURS WITH CONGRESSMAN MILFORD
ON 10 JANUARY, AND BELIEVE HE WENT AWAY TOTALLY SATISFIED THAT
HE HAD ACCOMPLISHED HIS OBJECTIVES HERE. HE MET WITH

MINUTES AND ALL MEETINGS WENT WELL. ALSO WORKED THE MEETING IN WITH THE NASA REP AND THAT ALSO APPEARED TO GO WELL, AS DID THE MEETING ON THE SPECIAL S AND T SUBJECT.

2. INCIDENTAL CONVERSATIONS HELD WITH WILSON AND DICKINSON,
DEALING WITH TERRORISM QUESTION. ENTIRE DELEGATION WAS VERY
FRIENDLY AND A PLEASURE TO DEAL WITH [REDACTED] PRESENCE
WAS AN ASSET.

3. DETAILED SUMMARY OF DAY'S ACTIVITY BEING FORWARDED
THIS DATE, E2 IMPDET.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 47 PER SOP 112 TOTAL COPIES 10-2 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

FF

FILE

VR

DCI (REVIEW STAFF)

1
2
34
5
6

ACTION #

DDO CS/RF SAIDDO OLC

T 592369

EIA799

PAGE 01

IN 790579

TOR:111013Z JAN 76

35661

S E C R E T 110937Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 35661

TO: DIRECTOR INFO IMMEDIATE [REDACTED]

NIAC [REDACTED]

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CODEL ADDABBO

1. COS BRIEFED REPS ADDABBO, EDWARDS AND ROBINSON AND MESSERS PRESTON, SNODGRASS AND CARY ON 11 JAN IN COS OFFICE. ONE HOUR SESSION WAS BASICALLY QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD CONCERNING TARGETS, OVERALL BUDGET, FIELD PORTION OF BUDGET, ALLOCATION OF COSTS TO COMMUNIST TARGETS VS INTERNAL TARGETS, RELATIONSHIP WITH LIAISON, ASSESSMENT OF GOVT. STABILITY AND INSURGENCY POTENTIAL, MEANS OF ACQUISITION OF SECRET INFORMATION, MOST IMPORTANT REPORTING OVER PAST YEAR, AMOUNT OF [REDACTED]

MALAYSIAN INSURGENCY,

AND CONSTRAINTS OF COS SPENDING.

2. QUESTIONS WERE NOT PERSUED WITH MUCH VIGOR AND GROUP SEEMED NOT TOO DIFFICULT TO SATISFY. EXCEPTION WAS SNODGRASS, A YOUNG AGGRESSIVE STAFFER WHO OBVIOUSLY WANTED TO DELVE IN MORE DETAIL BUT WAS RESTRAINED BY TIME LIMITATIONS (THE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 592369

EIA799

PAGE 02-02

IN 790579

TOR:111013Z JAN 76

35661

CONGRESSMEN WERE HUNGRY AND WANTED TO GET OFF TO LUNCH).

3. THE DELEGATION IS QUITE DIFFERENT FROM CODEL RANDALL.

NONE HAD THE INTENSITY OR INTEREST OF REP MILFORD OR THE TOUGH
POLITICAL PERCEPTION OF REPS WILSON/DICKENSON. MR. CARY WAS QUITE
HELPFUL IN ORGANIZING THE GROUP AND PROVIDING CLUES TO THEIR
OUTLOOK.

4. OVERALL, BELIEVE DELEGATION WAS SATISFIED THOUGH THEY
PROBABLY DO NOT CONSIDER THIS AN IMPORTANT PART OF THEIR TRIP.
E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 2 PER SOP#1.2 TOTAL COPIES 10-1 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
ORF. FILE VR DCI/Review STAFF, CSRF,
DDO, SA/DDO, DOLC

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 588800

EIA313

PAGE 01-01

IN 789314

TOR:091229Z JAN 76

20992

S E C R E T 090033Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 20992

TO: [REDACTED] INFO DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCUNGA CONGRESSMAN MILFORD

REF: [REDACTED] 15837 (787572)

1. COS WILL TAKE MATTER UP WITH CONGRESSMAN MILFORD ASAP

ARRIVAL [REDACTED] AND ADVISE.

2. REGARDS. E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

15-2

1			7	
2		5	8	
3		6	9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

AGE

COI

ACP

TOG

TPE

MRO

DCI

PAGE

OF

PAGES

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

082336Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

789926

CONF: C/EA8 INFO: FILE DCI Review Staff, CS/RF,
DDO, SATO, D/OLC,

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: 22
0 NO INDEX
0 RETURN TO PER SOP
0 IF FILES # 112

TO: PRIORITY [] INFO []

RYBAT PLMHCONGA Y

REF: [] 35600 | IN 787573* | Y

1. STATION APPEARS TO HAVE HANDLED VISIT OF CONGRESSMAN MILFORD IN FINE FASHION. REF WILL BE HELPFUL IN FOLLOW-UP DISCUSSIONS HERE. Y

2. PARAGRAPH NINE, REFERENCE GIVES US SOME PROBLEMS. OBVIOUSLY, EVEN FACT THAT HE WAS BRIEFED BY CIA STATION CHIEF [] SENSITIVE SINCE THAT FACT, ^{IF PUBLISHED,} WILL CAUSE US EMBARRASSMENT

WITH [] PLUS CALLING UNWANTED ATTENTION TO STATION. FURTHER, STATION ACTIVITIES IN [] COLLECTION IN [] WILL, IF PUBLISHED, CAUSE US DAMAGE IN [] ANOTHER PROBLEM IS THAT WE HAVE PLEDGED TO LIAISON THAT WE WILL PROTECT OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM. MEETING WITH [] IF PUBLISHED, WILL BE INTERPRETED BY [] AS A BREACH OF FAITH. Y

3. THEREFORE REQUEST STATION FORWARD "NON-CLASSIFIED" VERSION OF BRIEFING AND YOGA MEETING BY CABLE. HEADQUARTERS

DATE:
ORIG:
UNIT:
EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
CL BY: 058913

Mr. Waller cym

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 PAGE 2 OF PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:

0 NO INDEX

0 RETURN TO PER

0 IP FILES #

789926

WILL HANDLE HERE WITH CONGRESSMAN MILFORD SO THAT STATION
 OPERATIONS INCLUDING LIAISON EQUITIES ARE PROTECTED.4

4 [REDACTED] IF CONGRESSMAN MILFORD MAKES
 REQUEST FOR UNCLASSIFIED VERSIONS OF MEETING AND BRIEFINGS WITH/
 BY STATION AND LIAISON STATION SHOULD AGREE FORWARD TO HEADQUARTERS
 AND WE WILL HANDLE IN SIMILAR FASHION [REDACTED] E2, IMPDET.

DATE: 8 JAN 76

ORIG: [REDACTED]

UNIT: DCEA

EXT: 9373

William E. Nelson
 WILLIAM E. NELSON DDO
 RELEASING OFFICER

OLC MR. WHITE {DRAFT}

SA/DDO [REDACTED] {TELECOORD}

CEA/PMI

James R. P. [Signature]
Theodore G. Shackle

THEODORE G. SHACKLEY, CEA

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION

OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBIT.

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 058913

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 47 PER SOP 112 TOTAL COPIES 12-5 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF

FILE

VR

DCI (REVIEW STAFF) CS/RF

1

2

3

4

5

6

ACTION #

584782

EIA351

PAGE 01

TOR:080559Z JAN 76

IN 787635

35606

S E C R E T 080352Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [] 35606

TO: DIRECTOR INFO IMMEDIATE [] ROUTINE []

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

REF: [] 035600 (N 787573)*

1. CONGRESSMEN WILSON AND DICKINSON BRIEFED AT COS RESIDENCE NIGHT 7 JANUARY FOLLOWING A RECEPTION AT CHARGE'S RESIDENCE. THEIR INTERESTS LAY PRIMARILY IN GENERAL INTELLIGENCE APPRECIATION OF SITUATION IN [] PARTICULARLY STABILITY OF GOVERNMENT AND ROLE OF [] MILITARY. THEY ASKED NO QUESTIONS CONCERNING ORGANIZATION, TARGETS, PERSONNEL OR BUDGET OF STATION. THEY WERE OPENLY CRITICAL OF [] BRIEFING, WHICH THEY HAD ATTENDED EARLIER IN THE DAY AS PER REF, AND FELT THAT [] HAD BEEN EVASIVE AND GENERALLY UNRESPONSIVE. THEY ASKED COS TO SUM UP WHAT [] HAD SAID. COS'S ANSWER WAS THAT [] HAD APPEALED FOR MILITARY ASSISTANCE BUT HAD STRESSED THAT THIS WAS ONLY ONE ELEMENT OF THE TOTAL [] PLAN, WHICH INCLUDED ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT AS A DEFENSE AGAINST COMMUNIST ENCROACHMENT. THE CONGRESSMEN AGREED THAT THIS WAS THE BASIC MESSAGE, SAID THAT IT COULD HAVE BEEN CONVEYED IN FIVE MINUTES

S E C R E T

*felt in
senator/
congressman
travel*

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 584782

EIA351

PAGE 02

IN 787635

TOR:080559Z JAN 76

35606

RATHER THAN ONE HOUR PLUS.

2. THE TWO WERE ALSO INTERESTED IN TERRORIST ACTIVITY AND
WHAT WAS BEING DONE ABOUT IT IN [REDACTED] THEY APPEARED HIGHLY
INTERESTED WHEN COS REPORTED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] NEITHER HAD EVER HEARD

OF THE [REDACTED] AND WERE INTERESTED IN A SHORT BRIEFING ON IT. BOTH
MADE MENTION OF [REDACTED] CO-SPONSORSHIP OF THE U.N. RESOLUTION
CONDEMNING U.S. MILITARY BASES IN GUAM. ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
DELEGATION IS REPRESENTATIVE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] THEY SAID [REDACTED] HAD AGREED FOR THE SAKE
OF DIPLOMACY NOT TO BRING UP THIS MATTER DURING THE VISIT, BUT
THAT HE HAD CONFESSED TO SOME DIFFICULTY IN CONTAINING HIMSELF
IN THIS MATTER. COS REPLIED THAT AS A PERSONAL OPINION HE SOMEWHAT
REGRETTEED THAT [REDACTED] DID NOT EXPRESS HIMSELF ON THIS ISSUE
SINCE WE HAD TAKEN SOME PAINS TO LET OUR [REDACTED] COUNTERPARTS
KNOW THAT WE WERE NOT HAPPY AT THIS GRATUITOUS REBUFF. IT WAS
ALSO POINTED OUT THAT STATION HAD MADE SURE THIS ISSUE WAS BROUGHT
TO THE ATTENTION OF [REDACTED] WHO HAD REACTED BY INSTRUCTING
THE [REDACTED] U.N. DELEGATION TO SEEK INSTRUCTIONS FROM [REDACTED]

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG: 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 584782

EIA351

PAGE 03

IN 787635

TOR: 080559Z JAN 76

35606

BEFORE ACTING ON ANY SUCH ISSUE AGAIN. WE ALSO NOTED THAT

_____ HAD BEEN DEEPLY UPSET AT THE _____ UN.
DELEGATION VOTE ON THIS ISSUE AND HAD MADE HIS DISPLEASURE KNOWN
TO THE FOREIGN OFFICE.

3. DURING THE COURSE OF THE _____ BRIEFING, SEVERAL OF THE
CONGRESSMEN HAD RAISED THE ISSUE OF PUBLIC STATEMENTS OF PRESIDENT
MARCOS AND "THE KING OF THAILAND" DEMANDING THE REMOVAL OF U.S.
MILITARY BASES FROM THEIR COUNTRIES. _____ HAD RESPONDED THAT
THESE STATEMENTS WERE FOR DOMESTIC POLITICAL CONSUMPTION AND DID
NOT REPRESENT THE TRUE POLITICAL BELIEFS OF THE THAI AND PHILIPPINE
LEADERSHIP. AS _____ PUT IT, THESE WERE POLITICAL STATEMENTS AND
NOT STATEMENTS OF POLICY, BOTH CONGRESSMEN FELT THAT THIS ANSWER
REPRESENTED HIGH DEGREE OF POLITICAL NAIVETE SINCE SUCH PUBLIC
STATEMENTS WERE HEADLINED IN THE U.S, AND NATURALLY AFFECTED
CONGRESS. CONGRESSMAN WILSON COMMENTED THAT IT WAS EXTREMELY
DIFFICULT TO FIGHT FOR MILITARY ASSISTANCE FOR THESE COUNTRIES
WHEN THEY WERE TELLING THE WORLD THAT THEY DIDN'T WANT IT. HE
ADDED THAT THAT GOES FOR THE GUAM RESOLUTION ALSO, HE ASKED FOR
COS'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON WHETHER TO KEEP QUIET ON THIS OR LET
HIS FEELINGS BE KNOWN. COS SUGGESTED THAT HE MIGHT WISH TO

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG, 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 584782

EIA351

PAGE 04

IN 787635

TOR:080559Z JAN 76

35606

DISCUSS THIS WITH THE CHARGE, BUT OFFERED AS A PERSONAL OPINION THAT IT WOULD DO NO HARM AND MIGHT DO SOME VERY REAL GOOD FOR CONGRESSMEN TO LET THEIR FEELINGS BE KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT.

COS ADDED THAT HE HAD FREQUENTLY TOLD HIS [REDACTED]

THAT IT DID NO GOOD FOR ASIAN LEADERS TO SAY PRIVATELY THAT THEY DIDN'T REALLY MEAN WHAT THEY DID SAY PUBLICLY SINCE THE PUBLIC STATEMENTS WERE ON THE RECORD AND THE PRIVATE ONES WERE NOT.

4. THESE GENTLEMEN ARE HARD BITTEN, PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS. GENERALLY THEY APPEARED TO FAVOR A CONTINUING ROLE FOR THE U.S. IN ASIAN AFFAIRS, INCLUDING CONTINUING MILITARY ASSISTANCE. THEY ARE, HOWEVER, DEEPLY SUSPICIOUS OF ASIAN LEADERS, THEIR WILL AND ABILITY TO SURVIVE AGAINST DETERMINED COMMUNIST SUBVERSION, AND THEIR PERSONAL INTEGRITY, CONGRESSMAN WILSON RECOUNTED THAT HE HAD BEEN PRESENT WHEN [REDACTED] ADDRESSED A JOINT SESSION OF THE CONGRESS AND WAS HAILED AS A GREAT NATIONALIST LEADER. HE ADDED, "LOOK AT WHAT THAT (EXPLETIVE DELETED) DID TO US!" THEY EXPRESSED VERY LITTLE OF THEIR OPINIONS CONCERNING THE AGENCY, ALTHOUGH BOTH SEEMED TO ATTEMPT TO ELICIT STATEMENTS FROM COS CRITICAL OF MR. HELMS. IN THIS, OF COURSE, THEY WERE UNSUCCESSFUL. BOTH EXPRESSED ADMIRATION FOR THE CONDOR OF MR. COLBY.

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 584782

EIA351

PAGE 05-05

IN 787635

TOR:080559Z JAN 76

35606

5. GENERALLY IT APPEARED THEY WERE SATISFIED WITH THEIR CONVERSATION WITH COS, ALTHOUGH GENERALLY DISAPPOINTED WITH THE RESULTS OF THEIR VISIT UP TO THAT TIME, SINCE THEY SAID THEY HAD NOT FOUND OUT MUCH FROM THE [] THAT THEY DIDN'T KNOW BEFORE. STRONGLY ENDORSE HEADQUARTERS ADVICE TO TREAT WITH THESE GENTLEMEN SEPARATELY FROM CONGRESSMAN MILFORD, WHOSE INTERESTS SEEM QUITE DIFFERENT FROM THEIRS'. E2, IMPDET.

*Advised of contact with Congressmen Milford, Wilson and Dickinson to arrange briefings, and forwarded a report of the separate 3 hour briefing of Milford on 7 January.

--

S E C R E T

FORM 3020B
7-71 MFG. 12/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 9PER Sep 112TOTAL COPIES 15-6RUN BY (24)REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

ACTION #

RF

FILE

VR

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, CS/RF

DDO

SA/DOO

D/OLC

1

2

3

4

5

6

T 584283

EIA894

PAGE 01

IN 787573

TUR:080007Z JAN 76

35600

S E C R E T 070944Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE 35600TO: DIRECTOR INFO

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

REF: A. DIRECTOR 788143

B. DIRECTOR 788139

C. DIRECTOR 788390

D. DIRECTOR 785722

1. MADE CONTACT WITH CONGRESSMAN MILFORD ^S SHORTLY AFTER HIS ARRIVAL AND ARRANGED TO BRIEF HIM ON MORNING 7 JANUARY. ALSO CONTACTED ^C CONGRESSMEN WILSON AND D/^C KINSON AND LATER MR. TED LUNGER, AND WILL BRIEF WILSON AND DICKINSON NIGHT 7 JANUARY.

2. MILFORD BRIEFING COMPRISED THREE FULL HOURS AND GENERALLY FOLLOWED FORMAT OUTLINED REF/D. THIS WAS LIBERALLY INTERSPERSED WITH THEORETICAL EXAMPLES WHICH WERE ^V VERY CLOSE TO ACTUAL CASES.

3. MILFORD WAS ^V SERIOUS, ATTENTIVE AND INTERESTED LISTENER. HE WAS PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN CHECKS AND BALANCES, COMMAND AND CONTROL, FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY, AND SECURITY AND MORALE OF STATION PERSONNEL. HE DID NOT ASK NUMBERS OF STATION PERSONNEL, OVERALL BUDGET, OR OTHER SENSITIVE DETAIL. HE WAS VERY INTERESTED

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/76

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR.

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION # _____

T 584283

EIA894

PAGE 02

IN 787573

TOR:080007Z JAN 76

35600

IN STATION'S [] PROGRAM AND AN EVALUATION OF THE STABILITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE [] GOVERNMENT. HIS ATTITUDE WAS POSITIVE THROUGHOUT AND HE INDICATED THAT HE HAD HIGH ADMIRATION FOR THE AGENCY AND BELIEVED THAT NEGATIVE CONGRESSIONAL ATTITUDES WERE LARGELY BASED ON IGNORANCE. HE SAID ALSO THAT THE CONGRESS SO FAR HAD BEEN LOOKING AT THE AGENCY'S "OLD CATS" AND THAT EVEN SOME OF THE "RAVING LIBERALS" WOULD TAKE ANOTHER VIEW OF THE AGENCY IF THEY TOOK THE TIME TO INFORM THEMSELVES OF THE MANY POSITIVE CONTRIBUTIONS MADE BY THE AGENCY, AND PARTICULARLY BY THE DDO. HE ALSO EVIDENCED CONSIDERABLE INTEREST IN ECONOMIC INTELLIGENCE, SAYING THAT HE FELT THIS TO BE AN IMPORTANT MISSION OF THE AGENCY AND NEEDED TO BE EMPHASIZED MORE. COS OUTLINED A FEW STATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN THIS FIELD THAT SEEMED TO PLEASE HIM.

PAGNS2 JAKARTA 35600 ~~SECRET~~

4. OFF THE RECORD, CONGRESSMAN MILFORD SAID HE HAD IN MIND PREPARING A MINORITY REPORT AND SPONSORING A BILL WHEREBY A SINGLE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGENCY OVERSIGHT WOULD BE FORMED. THIS COMMITTEE WOULD HAVE MEMBERSHIP FROM THE ARMED SERVICES, FOREIGN RELATIONS, APPROPRIATIONS, TREASURY, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, AND

S E C R E T

701 MFG. 2/76

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I

RF. FILE VR.

1

4

N

2

5

F

3

6

ACTION #

O

T 584283

EIA894

PAGE 03

IN 787573

TOR1080007Z JAN 76

35600

THE PRESENT SELECT COMMITTEE. UNLIKE OTHER COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS, ITS MEETINGS WOULD ALWAYS BE CLOSED AND ONLY OPEN AS A SPECIFIC VARIATION FROM THE NORM AND WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE. ALSO, UNLIKE OTHERS COMMITTEES, COMMITTEE DOCUMENTS WOULD NOT BE ACCESSIBLE EXCEPT ON A STRICT BIGOTED BASIS AND ^{COULD NOT BE} MCWD NRLNYE DECLASSIFIED WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE MAJORITY OF THE COMMITTEE. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE AND ^{THE} ~~O~~ ^Q SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE. HE ADMITTED THAT THIS BILL MIGHT HAVE SOME ROUGH GOING IN THE ^{RE} ~~PRESENT~~ CONGRESS. HE POOH-POOHED THE IDEA OF A JOINT COMMITTEE ^{YI} ~~SAYING~~ THAT IT WOULD HAVE ^{NO} ~~LEGISLATION~~ ^{NO} ~~AUTORITY~~ AND WOULD THUS SIMPLY BE ANOTHER DOG BITING AT OUR HEELS.

5. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD ASKED FOR COS' VIEWS ON THIS LEGISLATION AS WELL AS ANY RECOMMENDATIONS WHICH COS WOULD CARE TO MAKE ON OR OFF THE RECORD. COS MERELY COMMENTED THAT CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S PLANNED LEGISLATION SEEMED EXCELLENT AND NOTED THAT THE AGENCY WAS NOT ATTEMPTING TO AVOID RESPONSIBLE OVERSIGHT BY THE CONGRES^S, BUT ADDED THAT A SECRET SERVICE MUST HAVE ^{SOME} ~~SECRET~~ SECRETS, AND WITHOUT THIS ESSENTIAL ELEMENT WE WOULD BE OUT OF BUSINESS. COS OFFERED NO RECOMMEND^{ATI} ~~ATIONS~~ ON THE BASIS THAT THE REQUEST WAS UNEXPECTED AND DID NOT GIVE HIM SUFFICIENT

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION # _____

T 584283

EIA894

PAGE 04

IN 787573

TOR:080007Z JAN 76

35600

TIME TO THINK THROUGH A RESPONSIBLE RECOMMENDATION.

6. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD ALSO INQUIRED ABOUT THE EFFECT OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT ON STATION ACTIVITIES. COS POINTED OUT CERTAIN OBVIOUS SECURITY AND BUREAUCRATIC DIFFICULTIES, BUT DID NOT ELABORATE.

7. FOLLOWING STATION BRIEFING, COS ACCOMPANIED CONGRESSMAN MILDORD, TOGETHER WITH CHARGE [] AND THE ENTIRE DELEGATION, TO A MEETING WITH [] IN THE LATTER'S OFFICE. IN THE COURSE OF THIS MEETING CONGRESSMAN MILFORD ASKED [] IF [] FELT HE WAS RECEIVING ADEQUATE INTELLIGENCE ASSISTANCE FROM U.S. INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES AND IF HE HAD ANY CRITICISM OF U.S. INTELLIGENCE INTERFERENCE IN [] AFFAIRS. [] REPLIED THAT ALTHOUGH HE FELT THE NEED TO DEVELOP [] SOMEWHAT FURTHER, THE PRESENT ORGANIZATION AND CAPABILITIES OF [] ARE CLOSE TO SUFFICIENT. IN CERTAIN CASES IT IS POSSIBLE TO COOPERATE WITH FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SERVICES, BUT THIS WAS ALWAYS DONE WITHOUT INVOLVEMENT IN INTERNAL AFFAIRS IN EITHER SIDE. [] CONTINUED THAT [] IN CASES OF MUTUAL INTEREST, SUCH AS THE SOVIETS. THIS IS A LIMITED COOPERATION DIRECTED TO A SPECIFIC GOAL. [] ADMITTED ALSO THAT HE HAD OTHER LIAISON

S E C R E T

FORM 3020
7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION # _____

T 584283

EIA894

PAGE 05

IN 787573

TOR:080007Z JAN 76

35600

RELATIONSHIPS ITH THE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND ALL OF THE ASEAN NATIONS. HE SAID SPECIFICALLY THAT HIS RELATIONSHIPS [REDACTED] WERE EXCELLENT. HE DID NOT ADDRESS HIMSELF TO ANY INTERFERENCE IN INTERNAL AFFAIRS.

8. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD HAS AN ACTIVE, VIVACIOUS WIFE NAMED MARY WHO WAS WELL TAKEN CARE OF BY EMBASSY ESCORT FSO [REDACTED]. SHE EVIDENCED INTEREST IN THE CASINOS, SAILING SHIPS AND SHOPPING FOR NATIVE HANDICRAFTS. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD IS AN AVID PHOTOGRAPHER AND ASKED TO BE TAKEN ON A MINI-TOUR OF THE CITY FOR THIS PURPOSE. HE WEARS A HEARING AID AND IS SLIGHTLY HARD OF HEARING, AND IT IS WELL TO SPEAK DISTINCTLY AND DIRECTLY AT HIM.

9. MILFORD ASKED FOR A NON-CLASSIFIED VERSION OF STATION BRIEFING AND OF MEETING WITH [REDACTED] TO BE SENT TO AGENCY AND PASSED ON TO HIM. THIS WILL BE A LITTLE DIFFICULT BUT HE INSISTED IT HAVE NO CLASSIFICATION SINCE IT WOULD GO INTO THE OFFICIAL RECORD. WILL PREPARE AND FORWARD SOONEST.

10. OVERALL BELIEVE THIS WAS A PLUS. MILDORD EXPRESSED COMPLETE SATISFACTION WITH HIS BRIEFING AND AGAIN REPEATED THAT IF OTHERS OF THE CONGRESS WOULD ONLY INFORM THEMSELVES THEY TOO WOULD BE IMPRESSED.

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG, 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 584283

EIA894

PAGE 06-06

IN 787573

TOR:080007Z JAN 76

35600

11. WILL REPORT ^PSEPARATELY ^PON WILSON/DICKINSON BRIEFING
 WHEN IT IS COMPLETED LATER TONIGHT. E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 2 PER TOTAL COPIES 10-1 RUN BY PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED **S E C R E T**REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITEDADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED BY AT 0000 Z

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

VR

BY AT 0000 Z

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION #

T 583972

EIA590

PAGE 01-01

IN 787506

TOR:072129Z JAN 76

20980

S E C R E T 072100Z JAN 76 STAFFCITE 20980

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CONGRESSMAN MILFORD

REF: DIRECTOR 787555

1. APPOINTMENTS WITH LIAISON HAVE BEEN TENTATIVELY
ARRANGED. CONG. MILFORD WILL MEET WITH

2. COS DISCUSSIONS TENTATIVELY SET FOR
0830-1000. ANTICIPATE APPROXIMATE 30 MINUTES MEETING WITH
EACH LIAISON OFFICER, SO SHOULD BE COMPLETED IN AMPLE TIME
FOR MILFORD TO REJOIN GROUP FOR AFTERNOON ACTIVITIES. CAN
EXTEND DURATION OF MEETINGS IF HE DESIRES. E2, IMPDET,

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/7b

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY

44/40
PER SEP#112

TOTAL COPIES

10-1

RUN BY

CP

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

RY FILE VR DCI (REVIEW STAFF)

1

4

ACTION #

DOO, CS/RF, SA/DOO, P/OLC

2

5

3

6

T 584270

EIA882

PAGE 01

IN 787572

TOR:080001Z JAN 76

15837

S E C R E T 070240Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 15837

TO [REDACTED] INFO DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CONGRESSMAN MILFORD

REFS: A. DIRECTOR 787959

B. DIRECTOR 787555

*file
senator travel*

PLS ADVISE CONGRESSMAN MILFORD THAT COS [REDACTED] CAN ARRANGE

15 JANUARY 0800 HOURS BREAKFAST MEETING [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AT CONGRESSMAN

MILFORD'S HOTEL. ALTHOUGH [REDACTED] BRIEFINGS ON OPERATION

DEEPFREEZE SCHEDULED FOR MORNING OF 15 JAN. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD MAY

PREFER TO MEET WITH COS [REDACTED]

CONCURS WITH THIS ARRANGEMENT. TRANSPORTATION TO AIRPORT FOR

FLIGHT TO [REDACTED] WILL BE AVAILABLE IMMEDIATELY AFTER MEETING WITH

[REDACTED] PLS ADVISE CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S PREFERENCE SO COS CAN

CONFIRM ABOVE ARRANGEMENT [REDACTED]

E2IMPDET

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

10-1

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

AGE

COI

ACP

TOG

TPE

MRO

DCI

PAGE

OF

PAGES

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

022348Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

787954

CONF: CEA 3

INFO: FILE DCI (REVIEW STAFF)
DDD, CSIRF SA DDD

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: 27
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER 500
 0 IF FILES # 112

TO:

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CONGRESSMAN MILFORD Y

REF: DIRECTOR 787555 |*|

CONGRESSMAN MILFORD DOES DESIRE MEETINGS WITH SENIOR LIAISON
 OFFICIALS. REQUEST STATION'S PROCEED TO SCHEDULE MEETINGS WITH
 LIAISON. E2, IMPDET.H

*TRANSMITTED CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S SCHEDULE.

OLC

CEA/PMI

DATE: 2 JAN 76

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

DCEA

9373

THEODORE G. SHACKLEY CEA

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 059913

OUTGOIN MESSAGE

10-3

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

AGE 0 COI 0 ACP 0 TOG 0 TPE 0 MRO 0 DCI 0

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

032120Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

788139

CONF: D/OLC

INFO: FILE DCI/REVIEW STAFF, DDO, CS/RF

DDO/DO, SA/DDO, C/EA3

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: 22

0 NO INDEX

0 RETURN TO PER SOP

0 IP FILES # 112

TO: [REDACTED] 4

RYBAT PLMHCONGAY

REFS: A. DIRECTOR 785722 (NOT NEEDED [REDACTED]) |*|

B. DIRECTOR 787555 (NOT NEEDED [REDACTED]) |**| 4

1. BASED 3 JANUARY DISCUSSION WITH CONGRESSMAN MILFORD, HE EXPECTS MEETINGS WITH ACTION ADDEES AS FOLLOWS: 4

[REDACTED] - MORNING 7 JANUARY

[REDACTED] - 10 JANUARY

[REDACTED] - EVENING 14 JANUARY 4

2. AT 3 JANUARY MEETING, MILFORD REAFFIRMED HE, AS MEMBER HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE (PIKE COMMITTEE), HAS BEEN MOST INTERESTED IN OUTCOME OF COMMITTEE'S INVESTIGATIONS AND IS CONCERNED ABOUT DIRECTION IN WHICH COMMITTEE HEARINGS HAVE GONE. FYI, ONLY MILFORD PLANS FILE SEPARATE VIEWS OR MINORITY REPORT WHEN COMMITTEE DRAWS UP ITS RECOMMENDATIONS. HE HAS VISITED HQS ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS AND HAS BEEN BRIEFED BY VARIOUS AGENCY OFFICIALS. HE RECENTLY VISITED [REDACTED] WHERE HE SPENT DAY IN COS HOSPITAL ROOM JUST TALKING. MILFORD ANXIOUS SOAK UP AS MUCH KNOWLEDGE AGENCY ACTIVITIES

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 058088

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOB TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 PAGE 2 OF PAGES 1

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER
 0 SP FILE #

788139

AS POSSIBLE TO ASSIST HIM WRITE MINORITY REPORT. ACCORDINGLY, HE WANTS MEET OUR [] TO GET FEEL FOR IMPORTANCE THIS SOURCE AND NEED PROTECT IT FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURES. RECOGNIZE SHORT NOTICE, BUT REQUEST ACTION ADDEES MAKE SPECIAL EFFORT ARRANGE LIAISON CONTACTS.Y

3. FOR []: PLS HANDLE MILFORD AND WILSON/DICKINSON CONTACTS SEPARATELY AND AVOID OBVIOUS SITUATION OF SINGLING MILFORD OUT FOR SPECIAL TREATMENT.Y

4. FOR []: MILFORD DOES EXPECT MEET AND DISCUSS HIS ACTIVITY WITH [] IN [] HE WILL DEVOTE DAY OF 10 JANUARY TO STATION [] REQUEST STATION COORDINATE WITH [] REP WHO PLANS TAKE MILFORD VISIT [] IF SO, SUGGEST STATION ARRANGE HAVE MRS. MILFORD TOUR NEARBY ZOO. IF ANY CONFLICT SCHEDULING WITH [] MILFORD SAYS HE WANTS AGENCY TO TAKE PRIORITY.Y

5. FOR [] MILFORD UNDERSTANDS HIS STAY [] SHORT AND WILLING DEVOTE EVENING TO STATION.Y

6. FOR [] IS RESTSTOP AND MILFORD EXPECTS NO

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCED BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 058088

OUTGOING MESSAGE

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 PAGE 3 OF 3

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IF FILE #

788139

CONTACT.Y

7. REQUEST ACTION ADDEES COORDINATE STATION PLANS WITH EMBASSY SCHEDULING FOR GROUP TO GIVE MILFORD OPPORTUNITY MEET SENIOR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IF CONFLICT CAN BE AVOIDED. MILFORD HAS STRESSED, HOWEVER, AGENCY BUSINESS HAS PRIORITY. HQS HAS PROVIDED MILFORD NAMES COS'S IN [REDACTED] REQUEST STATION'S CONTACT MILFORD AS SOON AFTER ARRIVAL AS POSSIBLE SO MILFORD CAN PLAN NON-AGENCY PART OF SCHEDULE. SUGGEST STATION OFFICER ASSIST MRS. MILFORD SINCE SHE MAY NOT WISH TO TAG ALONG WITH LARGER GROUP WITHOUT HER HUSBAND. E2 IMPDET.H

*GAVE DETAILS ON CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S TRIP.
 **CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S REVISED SCHEDULE.

DEP. DIR/DDS&T - C. DUCKETT {DRAFT}

OLC - [REDACTED] {DRAFT}

DATE: 3 JANUARY 76

ORIG: [REDACTED]

UNIT: OLC

EXT: 9010

THEODORE G. SHACKLEY, CEA

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058088

DCEA

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 45 PER SOP 112 TOTAL COPIES 10-2 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
OFILE VR DCI/REVIEW STAFF, DDO, CS/RF
SA/DDO, D/LC

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION #

T 572990

EIA882

PAGE 01

IN 782959

TORI020840Z JAN 76

35549

S E C R E T 020756Z JAN 76 STAFF

CITE [] 35549 [] ACTING)

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CONGRESSMAN

REFS: A, DIRECTOR 787555

B, DIRECTOR 785722

1. [] HAS BEEN ADVISED CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S REQUEST FOR STATION BRIEFING PER REF A. WILL ARRANGE BRIEFING AFTER CONGRESSMAN'S ARRIVAL.

2. ON 2 JANUARY [] TELEPHONED STATION TO SAY THAT CABLE HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY [] FROM [] WE PROPOSED MEETINGS WITH MINISTRY OF DEFENSE, MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, [] PARLIAMENT AND CALL ON []

[] HE ALSO PROPOSED THAT [] BRIEF THE GROUP ON GENERAL SECURITY SITUATION. [] HAS SENT CABLE TO [] EARLY NEXT WEEK TO

PARTICIPATE IN BRIEFINGS. BRIEFING OF CONGRESSMEN AND THEIR STAFF MEMBERS SCHEDULED TO BE HELD AT [] HQS ON 7 JANUARY. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD WILL PARTICIPATE IN THIS

BRIEFING. STATION ARRANGED [] BRIEFINGS BASED ON INSTRUCTIONS FROM CHARGE.

E 2 IMPDET

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1	4	7
2	5	8
3	6	9

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR
STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP
312338Z DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER
787555

CONF: 9EA3 INFO: FILE DCI (REVIEW STAFF)
CS/AF DDO SA/DO
DDO/DO D/OLC

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:
0 NO INDEX
0 RETURN TO PER SP
0 IP FILE # 112

TO: [REDACTED] Y

RYBAT PLMHCONGA CONGRESSMAN MILFORD Y

REF: DIRECTOR 785722 |*| Y

1. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD REVISED SCHEDULE: Y

ETD	[REDACTED]	1200	8 JAN	FOR	[REDACTED]	
ETD	[REDACTED]	0800	9 JAN	FOR	[REDACTED]	Y
ETA	[REDACTED]	1740	9 JAN	Y		
ETA	[REDACTED]	1515	14 JAN	Y		
ETD	[REDACTED]	1000	15 JAN	FOR	[REDACTED]	
ETD	[REDACTED]	1400	15 JAN	FOR	[REDACTED]	Y
ETA	[REDACTED]	1500	15 JAN	Y		
ETD	[REDACTED]	09 16 2330	16 JAN	FOR HAWAII		Y

NOTE: THIS SEPARATE TRIP TO THAT OF SNODGRASS, PRESTON, CARY MENTIONED DIRECTOR 778574.Y

2. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD HAD PREVIOUSLY REQUESTED MEETING WITH COS, [REDACTED] 10 JANUARY, COS, [REDACTED] 13 JANUARY AND WITH COS, [REDACTED] 15 JANUARY. REVISED SCHEDULE WILL ALTER HIS DESIRES THIS CASE. MEETING WITH HIM ON 3 JANUARY AND WILL FORWARD NEW

DATE:
ORIG:
UNIT:
EXT:

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI

PAGE 2 OF PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER
 0 IF FILED #

787555

SCHEDULE FOR MEETINGS WITH COS' AT THAT TIME.Y

3. FOLLOWING ARE RESULTS CEA AND OLC [REDACTED]

BRIEFING OF CONGRESSMAN MILFORD 19 DECEMBER 1975:Y

A. NINETEEN DECEMBER MEETING LAID ON IN ORDER THAT REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD COULD BE GIVEN A BRIEFING IN OVERVIEW TERMS ON WHAT THE CIA STATIONS [REDACTED] AND

[REDACTED] WERE DOING IN TERMS OF OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS.Y

B. [REDACTED] THERE WAS CIA PRESENCE IN [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] THIS REPRESENTATION CONSISTED OF ABOUT [REDACTED] CHARGED WITH BASIC MISSION OF PURSUING SOVIET, CHINESE AND EAST EUROPEAN TARGETS AVAILABLE IN [REDACTED] IT WAS STRESSED THAT THESE [REDACTED] TARGETS WERE PURSUED BOTH

[REDACTED] WITH THE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] THERE WERE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IT WAS STRESSED THROUGHOUT

BRIEFING THAT PRIMARY OBJECTIVE IN [REDACTED]

OPERATIONS WAS THE RECRUITMENT OF SOVIET, CHINESE OR EAST

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CI BY: 002512

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI PAGE OF PAGES
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3

CLASSIFICATION
 S E C R E T

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER

☐ IF FILES #

EUROPEAN TARGETS AS IN-PLACE AGENTS WHO WOULD WORK FOR US NOT ONLY
 IN [] BUT UPON THEIR RETURN TO THEIR COUNTRY OF ORIGIN. ALSO
 STRESSED CIA'S INTEREST IN OBTAINING NATIONAL LEVEL INTELLIGENCE FROM
 RECRUITED PENETRATIONS OF THE SOVIET, CHINESE OR EAST EUROPEAN
 PRESENCE IN [] HE WAS INFORMED OF OUR DESIRE TO RUN
 COUNTERINTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS OR ARRANGE THE DEFECTION OF KNOWLEDGE-
 ABLE SOURCES. OUR INTEREST IN BUILDING UP [] ON
 THOSE INDIVIDUALS FROM TARGET COUNTRIES WHO WERE IN [] BUT
 WHO WERE NOT RECRUITABLE IN THE CURRENT CONTEXT OF THEIR RELATION-
 SHIPS WITH THEIR OWN GOVERNMENT ALSO WAS DISCUSSED. IT WAS UNDER-
 SCORED THAT THE INDIVIDUAL WHO NOT RECRUITABLE TODAY MIGHT BE
 RECRUITABLE IN FUTURE IF WE CAN LEARN TO UNDERSTAND WHAT MOTIVATES
 THE TARGET AND WHAT MAKES THEM TICK AS HUMAN BEINGS. THE BRIEFING
 OF REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD ON [] ALSO TOUCHED LIGHTLY ON THE
 BROAD ASPECTS OF CIA'S []

C. []: HE WAS ADVISED THAT CIA HAD []
 PEOPLE IN [] WHO WERE FOCUSED ON RUNNING []
 WITH [] AGAINST THE SOVIET, PRC

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION
 S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 058817

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

ROUTING

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

AGE

COI

ACP

TOG

TPE

MRO

DCI

PAGE OF PAGES

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

4

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER

☐ IF FILES #

AND EAST EUROPEAN PRESENCE IN [] HE WAS GIVEN RUNDOWN
 ON NUMBERS OF SOVIETS, CHINESE AND EAST EUROPEAN WHO WERE CURRENTLY
 IN [] THE SCOPE OF OUR OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS WAS ALSO
 DISCUSSED IN TERMS SIMILAR TO WHAT WAS OUTLINED IN PARA 3B ON

D. [] CIA MAINTAINED A PRESENCE IN []

[] CIA HAD APPROXIMATELY [] IN []

THESE FIGURES WERE COMPARED AGAINST THE SOVIET INTELLIGENCE PRESENCE
 IN [] A DISCUSSION OF THE PRC TARGET AS SEEN FROM PER-
 SPECTIVE OF [] FOCUSED []

[] AS WELL AS SCREENING OF []

[] THERE WAS ALSO BRIEF DISCUSSION OF NORTH KOREAN AND
 VIETNAMESE TARGETS IN [] THE BRIEFING ALSO FOCUSED ON

[] AS [] IN TERMS OF []

[] INTO AND OUT OF [] A BRIEF COMMENT

WAS ALSO MADE ON TOPIC OF CIA [] THE []
 INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE. THE TOTALITY OF

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CI BY: 054913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		1		7	
2		8		8	
3		9		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 5 OF PAGES

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

787555

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IF FILES #

STATION'S EFFORTS IN [] WAS DESCRIBED IN BROAD MANAGEMENT TERMS AND SOME EXAMPLES WERE CITED OF TYPES OF INTELLIGENCE OBTAINED FROM CIA ACTIVITIES IN [] CEA ALSO FOCUSED BRIEFLY ON OUR ABILITY TO USE AGENTS OF INFLUENCE TO PURSUE U.S. FOREIGN POLICY INTERESTS IN [].

E. COMMENT: REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD TOOK NOTE OF ABOVE BRIEFINGS, ASKED LIMITED NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ON THE TYPES OF INTELLIGENCE THAT WAS PRODUCED BY THE VARIOUS STATIONS AND INDICATED THAT BRIEFINGS HAD COVERED ALL OF THE ISSUES THAT HE HAD WANTED TO DISCUSS.

F. REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD EXPRESSED GREAT DEAL OF INTEREST IN THE INTELLIGENCE PROCESS AND ASKED THAT IF AT ALL POSSIBLE, PARTICULARLY IN [], HE WOULD LIKE TO MEET WITH MEMBERS OF [] LIAISON SERVICES. REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD MADE POINT THAT SUCH MEETINGS WOULD BE USEFUL TO HIM IN CONTEXT OF HIS PIKE COMMITTEE DUTIES BECAUSE HE COULD THEN COMMENT ON LIAISON SERVICES' REACTIONS TO CURRENT CONGRESSIONAL INQUIRIES INTO CIA. REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD WAS ADVISED THAT ATTEMPTS WOULD BE MADE TO ARRANGE MEETINGS.

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 6 OF 6

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:

☐ NO INDEX

☐ RETURN TO PER

☐ IF FILES #

PARTICULARLY IN [] WITH ONE OR TWO SENIOR LIAISON PERSONALITIES.4

4. REGARDING REFERENCE PARA THREE F, SINCE CONGRESSMAN MILFORD SPECIFICALLY WISHES DEAL WITH LIAISON SERVICES ATTITUDES IN HIS MINORITY REPORT, HAVE NO OBJECTION GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF OUR DEALINGS WITH LIAISON SERVICES. STATIONS CAN ALSO ARRANGE MEETING WITH SENIOR LIAISON OFFICIALS ON DISCREET BASIS IF CONGRESSMAN MILFORD REQUESTS. E2, IMPDET.H

* GAVE DETAILS ON CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S TRIP.

DATE: 31 DEC 75

ORIG: []

UNIT: DCEA

EXT: 9373

OLC []
 DDS&T
 SA/DDO
 EA/PMI

THEODORE G. SHACKLEY, CEA
 RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

DCEA

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: -54933

OUTGOING MESSAGE

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

242143Z

DIRECTOR

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

735722

CONF: C/EA 3

INFO: FILE

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, CS/RF,
 DDO, SA/DDO, DDO/DO
 DOLC

INDEX DISSEM BY: 29
 NO INDEX
 RETURN TO PER SOP
 IP FILES # 112

TO: PRIORITY

RYBAT PLNHCONGA Y

REFS: A. [] 20866 [IN 774560*] {RELAYING []

B. DIRECTOR 784159 [**] {RELAYING [] Y

1. ~~LARGE NUMBER~~ THIRTEEN MEMBERS OF HOUSE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE,
 ACCOMPANIED BY WIVES, WILL BE MAKING TRIP TO []

[] AND []. GROUP WILL USE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

AIRCRAFT. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WILL BE MAKING ARRANGEMENTS FOR

TRIP. THEREFORE, NO NEED FOR STATION TO MAKE HOTEL OR RELATED

TRANSPORTATION ARRANGEMENTS. TWO REPRESENTATIVES, PRICE AND

WILSON, ARE ^{the only} MEMBERS OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ^{WHO} ~~NONE~~

ARE GOING AT THIS TIME. NONE FRANK SLATINSHEK
 OF ABOVE HAVE ASKED TO MEET WITH STATIONS. IF LATER REQUESTED
 Chief Counsel of Committee will clear and travelling
 BY HEADQUARTERS TO MEET WITH GROUP CONTACT CAN BE MADE THROUGH
 WITH GROUP AND EXPECT YOU MAY CONTACT YOU IF
 COMMITTEE STAFF ASSISTANT SLATINSHEK WHO TRAVELLING WITH GROUP Y
 INTEREST DEVELOPS.

2. HOWEVER, CONGRESSMAN DALE MILFORD {DEMOCRAT, TEXAS} WHO
 IS A MEMBER OF THE PIKE COMMITTEE {HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
 INTELLIGENCE} WILL ACCOMPANY THIS GROUP AND DOES DESIRE BRIEFINGS.

CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S WIFE WILL TRAVEL WITH HIM. CONGRESSMAN

DATE:

ORIG: 24 DEC 75

UNIT: []

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET
 MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR
 DATE-TIME GROUP
 CITE
 MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER
 PAGE 2 OF 2

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

785722

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IP FILES #

MILFORD'S INTEREST AS STATED BY HIM WILL BE IN SEEING HOW THE INTELLIGENCE PROCESS WORKS FROM THE GROUND UP. HE WANTS TO ACQUIRE BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE ON THIS PROCESS SO THAT WHEN THE FINAL COMMITTEE REPORT IS MADE HE CAN USE HIS INFLUENCE TO SEE THAT IT IS A BALANCED ONE. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD DOES NOT LIKE FORMAL BRIEFINGS AND DOES NOT WANT CHARTS.4

3. SINCE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE IS VERY INTERESTED IN COMMAND AND CONTROL ASPECTS OF HOW THE AGENCY FUNCTIONS, IT IS LIKELY THAT CONGRESSMAN MILFORD WILL FOCUS ON THIS ASPECT OF STATION ACTIVITIES DURING HIS VISIT.

A. MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVES: STATIONS PROBABLY CAN DEAL WITH THE CONGRESSMAN'S DESIRE TO UNDERSTAND THE INTELLIGENCE PROCESS FROM THE GROUND UP BY BRIEFING HIM ON HOW MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVES FUNCTIONS. STATION CAN OUTLINE OPERATIONAL DIRECTIVE PROCESS I.E., HOW STATION RECEIVES OD FROM HEADQUARTERS AND COMMENTS ON IT. ONCE AGREEMENT REACHED ON OD DIALOGUE FOLLOWS ON AG'S WHICH RELATE TO SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES. THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO FOCUS ON KEY OBJECTIVES IN GENERAL AND THEN NARROW DISCUSSION

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE 0 COI 0 ACP 0 TOG 0 TPE 0 MRQ 0 QCI 0

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

785722

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IF FILES #

DOWN TO AG'S OF SPECIFIC INTEREST. THIS PHASE OF BRIEFING SHOULD INCLUDE COMMENTARY ON FUNDING OF FAN'S, ETC.4

B. AGENT ACQUISITION: STATION COULD THEN OUTLINE HOW TARGET STUDIES OF KEY INTELLIGENCE REPOSITORIES ARE CONDUCTED, POTENTIAL TARGETS FOR RECRUITMENT IDENTIFIED AND HOW SPOTTING, ASSESSMENT AND RECRUITMENT PROCESS UNFOLDS. IN DISCUSSION OF RECRUITMENT PHASE OF OPERATIONS, THERE SHOULD BE EXAMINATION OF NAME TRACE AND POA PROCESS.4

C. AGENT AUTHENTICATION: DIALOGUE WITH CONGRESSMAN SHOULD ALSO OUTLINE HOW AGENT ^{AUTHENTICATED} AUTHORIZED ONCE RECRUITED. STRESS SHOULD BE PLACED ON CONTINUING ASPECTS OF AUTHENTICATION, SECURITY OF MEETINGS, ETC.4

D. POSITIVE INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION: ACQUISITION OF INTELLIGENCE FROM IN-PLACE AGENT, TURNING IT INTO INTEL DISSEMINATION, REQUIREMENTS SYSTEM, AND REPORTS EVALUATION CYCLE SHOULD ALSO BE REVIEWED. ~~FOR REPRODUCTION PURPOSES ONLY~~

~~REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED~~

~~REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED~~

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

ROUTING	1		4		7	
	2		5		8	
	3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRQ DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 4 OF 4 PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

785722

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IP FILES #

FOR [] AND [] SHOULD MAKE POINT THAT COLLECTION
 ON [] IS BY PRODUCT ACQUIRED THROUGH ELICITATION
 FROM [] {FYI: OBJECTIVE K
 HAS BEEN DROPPED FROM [] OD AND THEREFORE SHOULD NOT BE
 ADDRESSED IN THIS BRIEFING.} THRUST OF PROGRAM IS RECRUITMENT
 OF [] USING []

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY:

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE OF PAGES

X5

CLASSIFICATION
 S E C R E T

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

785722

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IF FILES #

[REDACTED] FOR COLLECTION OF INFO ON [REDACTED] POINT

OUT DO NOT RECRUIT [REDACTED]

E. OPERATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM: STARTING WITH RECRUITMENT
 OF AGENT, STATION SHOULD OUTLINE TYPES OF REPORTING REQUIRED BY
 AGENCY FOR COMMAND AND CONTROL PURPOSES I.E., [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ON LATTER,
 STRESS SHOULD BE PLACED ON FEED BACK FROM MID-YEAR AND FY REVIEWS
 WITH DDO. FY BUDGET CYCLE SHOULD ALSO BE TOUCHED ON.Y

F. CASE HISTORY: IT ESSENTIAL WE NOT IDENTIFY ANY [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] TO CONGRESSMAN MILFORD.

IN VIEW OF THIS WISH POINT OUT HQS HAS FOUND IT USEFUL TO DRAW
 ON CASE HISTORY SCENARIO TO UNDERSCORE ALL POINTS PREVIOUSLY
 MADE IN BRIEFING. IN SUCH CASE HISTORY ONLY [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HAS BEEN ALTERED FOR SOURCE PROTECTION. THIS
 APPROACH HAS WORKED EFFECTIVELY FOR HQS AND ASSUME IT WILL BE
 USEFUL TECHNIQUE FOR STATIONS.Y

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICER

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

ROUTING	1		4		7	
	2		5		8	
	3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCF
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 1 OF 1

X6

CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

785722

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER

☐ IP FILES #

4. WHERE APPLICABLE (SUCH AS [REDACTED]) BRIEFING SHOULD ALSO DEAL SPECIFICALLY WITH STATION COORDINATION ROLE UNDER DCIDS FOR CLANDESTINE MILITARY AND INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION OPERATIONS.4

5. FOR [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] IT PROBABLY WOULD ALSO BE USEFUL TO PROVIDE CONGRESSMAN MILFORD WITH ANALYSIS OF THE RECENT ELECTION DEVELOPMENTS IN [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED]. HE WOULD ALSO BE INTERESTED IN STATION'S ESTIMATE OF HOW NEW GOVERNMENTS WILL CONDUCT FOREIGN POLICIES, VIS-A-VIS UNITED STATES.4

6. FOR [REDACTED] IN ADDITION TO STABILITY OF [REDACTED] REGIME THE CONGRESSMAN MIGHT APPRECIATE A REVIEW OF THE [REDACTED] SITUATION AND HOW THAT WILL INFLUENCE THE STABILITY OF THE REGION.4

7. THIS VISIT IS SEPARATE FROM TRIP OF PRESTON, SNODGRASS, CALLOWAY AND CARY DISCUSSED IN DIRECTOR 778574.4

8. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD IS NOT A MEMBER OF THE HOUSE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE.4

9. SEPARATE CABLE FOLLOWS TO [REDACTED] ON SENSITIVE ASPECTS OF VISIT. [REDACTED] ITINERARY AS FOLLOWS: 4

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 058913

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACR TOG TPE MRQ OCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE OF PAGES

X7

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DIRECTOR

785722

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IP FILES #

[] 6 JAN, ETD 9 JAN Y

[] 9 JAN, ETD 11 JAN Y

[] 11 JAN, ETD 14 JAN Y

[] 14 JAN, ETD 16 JAN E2, IMPDET.H

* INQUIRY CONCERNING CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION.

** HQS CONTACT WITH D. MILFORD ON TRIP TO []

DDS&T/OE []

OLC []

SA/DDO

EA/PMI []

DATE: 24 DEC 75

ORIG: []

UNIT: ACEA

EXT: []

DAVID BLEE, A/DDO

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

ACEA
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
CL BY: 058913

SECRET

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG. 2/78

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY

48

PER

CSSR #112

TOTAL COPIES

10-6

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

ACTION UNIT

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

4EA3

ACTION #

I
N
F
O

BP

FILE

VR

JCI/REVIEW STAFF, CS/RF

DRO, S/DRO, D/OLC,

1	4
2	5
3	6

T 494891

EIA755

PAGE 01

IN 754484

TOR1280501Z NOV 75

25948

S E C R E T 280348Z NOV 75 STAFF

CITE 25948

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

REF: 25761

(736898)

*Info in
Congressman
travel folder*

1. FOLLOWING LETTER WAS PREPARED BY

AND

FORWARDED TO DOD CONGRESSIONAL LIAISON FOR REVIEW AND PASSAGE TO
CONGRESSMAN MILFORD. THE LETTER WAS PREPARED IN RESPONSE TO
CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S REQUEST TO BOTH

FOR LETTERS SIMILAR TO HIS REQUEST OF AMBASSADOR SNEIDER.

PREPARED A LETTER WHICH WAS REWORKED INTO
THE FOLLOWING LETTER WHICH WAS SENT BY AS
REFLECTING BOTH AND HIS OWN VIEWS. THE
LETTER WAS FORWARDED TO WASHINGTON AS AN SSO BACK CHANNEL MESSAGE
AND HAS SINCE BEEN CLEARED BY DOD FOR RELEASE TO CONGRESSMAN
MILFORD. THE TEXT OF THIS LETTER WAS GIVEN TO COS BY

2. QUOTE " DEAR MR. MILFORD:

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1
2
34
5
6

T 494891

EIA755

PAGE 02

IN 754484

TOR:280501Z NOV 75

25948

[REDACTED] AND I WERE EXTREMELY PLEASED AT THE INTEREST YOU SHOWED IN THE PROBLEMS WE FACE HERE [REDACTED] I AM ONLY SORRY THAT OUR SCHEDULES DID NOT PERMIT US TO EXPLORE THE CHALLENGES WE FACE HERE AND OUR CAPABILITIES TO DEAL WITH THEM IN GREATER DETAIL. THIS LETTER INCORPORATES BOTH GENERAL HOLLINGSWORTH'S AND MY VIEWS.

AS FIELD COMMANDERS, WE ARE DEEPLY INVOLVED IN THE AREA OF INTELLIGENCE - AND FOR GOOD REASONS. A COMMANDER HAS A FUNDAMENTAL INTEREST IN PROTECTING HIS COMMAND AND ASSURING THAT, SHOULD HOSTILITIES OCCUR, HIS COMBAT RECOURSES ARE EMPLOYED IN THE MOST EFFECTIVE MANNER POSSIBLE.

ORGANIZATIONALLY, THE US INTELLIGENCE SETUP [REDACTED] IS VIABLE.

THE [REDACTED]

I.E., [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WORK EFFECTIVELY IN

CONCERT WITH OUR INTELLIGENCE STAFFS AND THOSE COLLECTION ELEMENTS WHICH ARE UNDER OUR CONTROL TO PROVIDE AN INTEGRATED AND EFFICIENT FLOW OF INTELLIGENCE. WE HAVE BEEN MOST IMPRESSED WITH THE DEDICATION AND PROFESSIONALISM OF THE INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO THOSE NATIONAL AGENCIES; AND WE HAVE EQUALLY HIGH RESPECT FOR OUR

S E C R E T

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT _____

RF. FILE VR _____

ACTION # _____

I
N
F
O

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 494891

EIA755

PAGE 03

IN 754484

TOR1280501Z NOV 75

25948

OWN PEOPLE. THIS TEAM IS RESPONSIVE AND GIVES US A GREAT DEAL
OF QUALITY INTELLIGENCE.

LIKE ALL COMMANDERS, HOWEVER, WE ARE NOT FULLY SATISFIED WITH ALL
ASPECTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE EFFORT. THE PRIMARY NEED IS FOR
RELIABLE WARNING INTELLIGENCE. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WE MUST CONSTANTLY BE
ALERT FOR THOSE ANOMALIES IN HIS BEHAVIOR WHICH WOULD TIP US OFF
TO IMPENDING ATTACK. UNFORTUNATELY, BECAUSE OF HIS READINESS AND
THE FORWARD DISPOSITION OF HIS FORCES, INCLUDING FORWARD POSITIONING
OF SUPPLIES, WE DO NOT HAVE A HIGH DEGREE OF ASSURANCE THAT WE
WILL HAVE MUCH WARNING. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] TO PARTIALLY OFFSET THIS,
US [REDACTED] FORCES MAINTAIN A HIGH DEGREE OF ALERT, PREPARED TO
QUICKLY ENGAGE THE FORCES [REDACTED] SHOULD THEY INITIATE
AN ATTACK. CONCURRENTLY, WE AND THE NATIONAL LEVEL INTELLIGENCE
COMMUNITY ARE SEEKING WAYS TO IMPROVE OUR SYSTEMS AND METHODOLOGIES
TO INCREASE OUR ASSURANCE OF TIMELY WARNING.
NATIONALLY MANAGED COLLECTION PROGRAMS GIVE US INVALUABLE
INTELLIGENCE ON THE DISPOSITIONS OF THE POTENTIAL ENEMY. YET WE

S E C R E T

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR.

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 494891

EIA755

PAGE 04

IN 754484

TOR:280501Z NOV 75

25948

STILL HAVE SIGNIFICANT GAPS IN OUR HOLDINGS ON THE ACTUAL LOCATIONS AND IDENTITIES OF HIS UNITS, HIS COMMAND AND CONTROL STRUCTURE AND HIS WAR PLANS. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT WHILE THE EXCELLENT TECHNICAL COLLECTION PROGRAMS IN PHOTOGRAPHY AND ELECTRONIC INTELLIGENCE ^{ENIC SU} SUPPLY A GREAT DEAL OF INFORMATION ON THE ENEMY'S CAPABILITIES, WE ARE STILL LACKING INTELLIGENCE ON HIS INTENTIONS. UNFORTUNATELY, INTELLIGENCE ON WHAT HE IS THINKING AND PLANNING WOULD COME LARGELY FROM HUMAN SOURCES, AND GIVEN THE CLOSED NATURE OF HIS SOCIETY AND HIS STRICT AND EFFECTIVE SECURITY MEASURES, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO COLLECT THIS KIND OF INFORMATION.

THE PREPONDERANCE OF REQUIREMENTS FOR HUMAN SOURCE CLANDESTINE INTELLIGENCE FALL WITHIN THE PROPER PURVIEW OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY; AND THAT AGENCY IS UNIQUELY QUALIFIED TO PERFORM THIS VITAL FUNCTION. AT THE SAME TIME, THERE IS A NEED FOR A CAREFULLY MANAGED, LIMITED MILITARY EFFORT TO FOCUS ON STRICTLY MILITARY REQUIREMENTS. A PRIMARY MILITARY TARGET OF THIS NATURE IS THE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] THIS IS A LABOR INTENSIVE EFFORT, AND EXPLOITATION OF CLANDESTINE TECHNIQUES WOULD BE A MEANS OF

S E C R E T

FORM 2020b
7-71 MED. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 494891

EIA755

PAGE 05

IN 754484

TOR:280501Z NOV 75

25948

[REDACTED] BEFORE THEY ARE USED AGAINST US.

IN THE AREA OF ELECTRONIC INTELLIGENCE WE HAVE REQUESTED [REDACTED]

TO GIVE US A DEEPER AND MORE EFFECTIVE LOOK INTO THE ENEMY'S

[REDACTED] THE REQUESTED [REDACTED] INCLUDES A [REDACTED]

CAPABILITY WHICH WOULD GIVE US A [REDACTED] OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. OUR PROPOSAL HAS RECEIVED STRONG SUPPORT FROM DIA AND

NSA. WE ALSO HAVE HIGH INTEREST IN INSURING THAT WE WILL HAVE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] TO NATIONALLY MANAGED [REDACTED] SYSTEMS,

WHICH ARE NOT YET OPERATIONAL.

WE ARE AWARE OF THE COSTS INVOLVED IN FIELDING MODERN COLLECTION
SYSTEMS AND THE UPGRADING OF CURRENT ONES. NONETHELESS, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND I SHARE THE VIEW THAT, GIVEN OUR MISSION AND OUR

PROXIMITY TO POTENTIAL BELLIGERENT, WE MUST HAVE THE BEST

INTELLIGENCE AVAILABLE. I AM SURE THAT YOUR TRIP TO [REDACTED] GAVE

YOU A FEEL FOR THE SENSE OF URGENCY THAT WE LIVE WITH HERE,

AND WE HOPE THAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOUR COLLEAGUES

IN THE CONGRESS WITH A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF OUR POSITION AND HELP

TO INSURE THAT OUR FORCES CONTINUE TO GET THE KIND OF SUPPORT

NEEDED TO DO THE JOB TO WHICH WE ARE DEDICATED.

S E C R E T

FORM 3020D
7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 494891

EIA755

PAGE 06-06

IN 754484

TOR:280501Z NOV 75

25948

SINCERELY YOURS, "UNQUOTE

E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 49 PER SOP 112 TOTAL COPIES 11-1 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

RF. FILE

VR

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, CSRF,

ACTION #

DDO, SA/DOO, NPLC

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 448045

EIA080

PAGE 01-01

IN 736898

TOR:100349Z NOV 75

25761

S E C R E T 100322Z NOV 75 STAFF

CITE 25761

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

REF: 25730 (IN 732789)

FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF STATE 264382 (ROGER CHANNEL)

10 NOVEMBER 1975: QUOTE SUBJECT: LETTER TO CONGRESSMAN
MILFORD. REF 2531. 1. LETTER HAS BEEN FORWARDED
TO MILFORD AS RECOMMENDED, ONLY CHANGE IN TEXT PROPOSED
PARA 5 REFTER WAS DELETION OF FINAL SENTENCE, OWING TO
SENSITIVITY OF REFERENCE TO "CLANDESTINE OPERATION" IN LETTER
LIKELY TO BECOME PART OF PUBLIC RECORD. UNQUOTE,

*File under
travelling
Secrets
Congressman*

* NO RECORD IN CABLE SEC.

10 NOV 75 13 48

SA/DOO

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 4A PER SR 112 TOTAL COPIES 13-2 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
ORF. FILE. VR. DE, DDCI, DCI (REVIEW STAB)
DDO, CSRP, SA, DDO, DOLC

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 424247

EIA337

PAGE 01

IN 728294

TOR:310345Z OCT 75

25687

S E C R E T 310314Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 25687

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

REF [REDACTED] 25649

(724591) *

*Send to
mgt/lt/lt
travel
file*

31 OCT 75 08 39

1. REGRET DELAY IN REPORTING FOLLOWUP DISCUSSION
WITH CONGRESSMAN MILFORD. HE MET WITH COS FOR APPROXIMATELY
ONE HOUR MORNING OF 28 OCTOBER PRIOR TO DEPARTURE. DCOS
BROUGHT THE CONGRESSMAN TO COS RESIDENCE AND THEN EXCUSED
HIMSELF TO MEET WITH CONGRESSMAN LUCIEN NEDZ [REDACTED]

2. AS IT TURNED OUT NOTHING OF PARTICULAR SIGNIFICANCE
DEVELOPED AT THIS MEETING. * R SPROVIDED BOTH AN OPPORTUNITY
TO REVIEW VARIOUS POINTS DISCUSSED IN THE PREVIOUS SESSION
WITH COS EMPHASIZING THE [REDACTED] COMMAND AND CONTROL
PROCEDURES FOR FOREIGN OPERATIONS PLUS THE MANAGEMENT BY
OBJECTIVE AND COST EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW PROCEDURES.

3. COS PERMITTED THE CONGRESSMAN TO READ A STERILIZED
COPY OF [REDACTED] LESS THE FINAL PARAGRAPH AND THEN
DISCUSSED HIS PROPOSAL TO TRY TO DEVELOP A MINORITY
REPORT AROUND THIS TYPE 1-83 94 23 78B REVIEW

S E C R E T

FORM 3020b
7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT _____

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION # _____

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 424247

EIA337

PAGE 02-02

IN 728294

TOR:310345Z OCT 75

25687

STUDY AND EXPLAINED WHY DID NOT BELIEVE THIS WAS FEASIBLE.
THE CONGRESSMAN CONCURRED BUT RESTATED HIS DESIRE TO NOW
FOLLOW UP HIS [] INQUIRIES AT THE WASHINGTON LEVEL AND
SOMEHOW INCORPORATE VARIOUS ASPECTS INTO HIS REPORT. HE
REINTERATED HIS STRONG DESIRE TO MEET WITH []
COMMENDED MR. SHACKLEY TO THE CONGRESSMAN FOR SPECIFIC
DISCUSSIONS ON THIS AREA.

4. THE CONGRESSMAN WAS QUITE PLEASED AND APPRECIATIVE
OF THE DISCUSSIONS HERE AND WOULD LIKE TO BE HELPFUL.
HIS PROBLEM REMAINS ONE OF FOCUS AND STAFF ASSISTANCE.
E2, IMPDET.

CS COMMENT:*COS forwards a resume of points covered during more than
six hours of off the record discussions with Congressman Dale Milford (D-Texas)
on 25 October 1975.

* Bonus Src'D

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 2 PER SP 112 TOTAL COPIES 12-21 RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

REF. FILE

VR

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, DCI

D/DCI, DDO, D/OLC, CSRF, SA/DDO

1
2
34
5
6

C/EA3

ACTION #

T 414520

EIA827

PAGE 01

IN 724591

TOR:280440Z OCT 75

25649

S E C R E T 280300Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE 25649 SECTION 1 OF 4

bc 1-4 of 4

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

REF: A. 25646

(72313P)

B. DIRECTOR 759641

FOLLOWING IS A RESUME OF POINTS COVERED DURING
MORE THAN SIX HOURS OF OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSIONS
WITH CONGRESSMAN DALE MILFORD (D-TEXAS) ON 25 OCTOBER 1975.
BECAUSE OF FEVER COS HAD NOT BEEN RELEASED FROM HOSPITAL AND
DISCUSSIONS WERE THEREFORE HELD AT THE HOSPITAL SITTING
ROOM.

1. CONGRESSMAN MILFORD OPENED THE DISCUSSION
BY REITERATING CONCERNS SURFACED THE PREVIOUS AFTERNOON.
IN ADDITION TO HIS REFERENT A ASSESSMENT, HE STATED
THAT HE BELIEVED THAT THE FIRST PHASE OF THE COMMITTEE
REPORT WILL STATE THAT:

A. DUPLICATION OF INTEL MISSIONS RESULTED IN
MULTICHANNEL FORWARDING OF INFORMATION.

B. BUREAUCRATIC INEFFICIENCY RESULTED IN DELAYS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 414520

E1A827

PAGE 02

IN 724591

TOR: 280440Z OCT 75

25649

IN FORWARDING OF INTELLIGENCE,

C. NEW LEGISLATION AND NEW PERMANENT, PREFERABLE
JOINT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ARE REQUIRED.

2. THE CONGRESSMAN STATED THAT THE COMMITTEE
INQUIRIES ARE POLITICAL IN NATURE AND IN HIS OPINION
THERE IS NO PRETENSE OF OBJECTIVITY. TIME FACTORS
DID NOT PERMIT HIM OR OTHER MEMBERS TO PERSONALLY
OR SERIOUSLY ADDRESS THE QUESTIONS INVOLVED SO
THAT FOR PRACTICAL PURPOSES, MOST MEMBERS WERE "PRISONERS
OF THE COMMITTEE STAFF". HE HAS NOT BEEN HAPPY WITH
THIS SITUATION AND HAD INITIALLY PROPOSED THAT EACH
COMMITTEE MEMBER BE PERMITTED TO PERSONALLY SELECT
ONE STAFF MEMBER FOR ASSIGNMENT TO THE COMMITTEE.
HE HAD LINED UP A TOTAL OF SIX MEMBERS TO BACK THIS
APPROACH, WHEN THE CHAIRMAN AGREED TO LET HIM SELECT
ONE STAFF MEMBER IF HE IN TURN WOULD DROP HIS SPONSORSHIP
OF THIS PROPOSAL, HE AGREED, BUT SAID THAT HE HAD AS
YET BEEN UNABLE TO IDENTIFY AN APPROPRIATE CANDIDATE.
HE DISMISSED THE CURRENT COMMITTEE STAFF AS STARRY-EYED
LIBERALS OUT TO MAKE A NAME FOR THEMSELVES. HE IS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF. FILE VR			1
					2
					3
ACTION #					4
					5
					6
T 414520		EIA827		PAGE 03	
				IN 724591	
		TOR:280440Z OCT 75		25649	

ACTIVELY SEEKING A CANDIDATE FOR EMPLOYMENT AS HIS STAFF ASSISTANT ON THE COMMITTEE, BUT DID NOT APPEAR SANGUINE THAT HE WOULD COME UP WITH THE RIGHT MAN,

3. COS NOTED THAT DIRECTOR COLBY WOULD BE THOROUGHLY DELIGHTED WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE SINCE HE WAS NOW OBLIGED TO REPORT TO SIX SEPARATE COMMITTEES. COS EVIDENCED SOME SKEPTICISM THAT A JOINT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE WOULD BE ESTABLISHED. THE CONGRESSMAN WAS NOT OPTIMISTIC IN THE SHORT TERM BUT BELIEVED THAT A JOINT COMMITTEE WILL EVENTUALLY BE ESTABLISHED. HE SAID THAT RIGHT NOW THE AGENCY IS ESSENTIALLY IN AN UNDERDOG POSITION AND IS GOOD COPY, HOWEVER, OVER THE LONGER TERM, INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP SCORES NO POINTS WITH THE FOLKS BACK IN HOMETOWN USA SO THAT IN TIME CONGRESSMEN WILL NAMEUVER TO AVOID THE ASSIGNMENT.

4. THE REAL PROBLEM AS HE SEES IT IS TO FORM A COMMITTEE THAT WOULD HAVE CREDIBILITY IN THE EYES OF THE HOUSE AND HAVE PROCEDURES THAT WOULD PERMIT EFFECTIVE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 414520

EIA827

PAGE 04

IN 724591

TOR1280440Z OCT 75

25649

OVERSIGHT FUNCTION TO BE EXERCISED AND IN A SECURE MANNER. THE CONGRESSMAN IS PUSHING A PROPOSAL THAT THE HOUSE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP BE COMPOSED OF ONE MEMBER NOMINATED FROM THE ARMED FORCES COMMITTEE, ONE FROM THE FOREIGN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, ONE APPOINTED BY THE SPEAKER, WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE COMMITTEE TO BE NOMINATED BY THE SPEAKER WITH CONCURRENCE BY THE HOUSE MEMBERS.

5. THE PRESENT HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE (HSC) IS TO BE PHASED OUT AS OF 31 JANUARY, THE CONGRESSMAN WAS OF TWO MINDS AS TO WHETHER THE COMMITTEE'S LIFE SHOULD BE EXTENDED. IF TERMINATED ON SCHEDULE, THE SPOTLIGHT WOULD FADE AWAY BUT THE RESULT WOULD BE VARIOUS RECOMMENDATIONS FOR "BAD" LEGISLATION. IF CONTINUED, THE POLITICALLY INSPIRED MANIPULATION OF THE COMMITTEE WILL CONTINUE SEEKING SENSATIONAL HEADLINES. HE SAID THAT HE FRANKLY DID NOT KNOW WHICH WAS WORSE.

6. THE CONGRESSMAN'S STATED INTENT, WHICH HE SHARES WITH CONGRESSMAN DAVID C. TREEN IS TO FILE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
					3
ACTION #					4
					5
					6
T 414520		EIA827		PAGE 05	
				IN 724591	
		TOR:280440Z OCT 75		25649	

A DISSENTING MINORITY REPORT FOCUSING IN A POSITIVE MANNER ON THE CONTEMPORARY SITUATION, RATHER THAN THE HISTORICAL ISSUES THAT THE COMMITTEE HAS FOCUSED IN A NON-OBJECTIVE MANNER, HOWEVER, SINCE DECEMBER IS FOR PRACTICAL PURPOSES NOT A WORKING MONTH, THIS GIVES HIM ONLY THIRTY DAYS TO PUT TOGETHER A REPORT. SINCE HE IS A MEMBER OF THREE HOUSE COMMITTEES AND CHAIRMAN OF ONE SUB-COMMITTEE WITH NOT EVEN ONE STAFF ASSISTANT ON THE SELECT COMMITTEE HE IS FINDING IT ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO DEVELOP A MINORITY REPORT. HE TURNED ASIDE THE SUGGESTION THAT FAVORABLE WITNESSES BE CALLED AS IMPRACTICAL. HE SAID, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT IF HE ASKED GENERAL WALTERS OR PRESTIGIOUS AND KNOWLEDGEABLE PERSONALITIES WITH INTELLIGENCE BACKGROUNDS TO APPEAR, THEY WOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO MAKE AN OBJECTIVE AND BALANCED PRESENTATION, THE COMMITTEE'S LINE OF QUESTIONING WOULD BE CONFINED TO THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF THE "DEAD CATS" OF TEN TO FIFTEEN YEARS AGO. HE ALSO FORESAW NO POSSIBILITY OF MODIFYING THE MAJORITY VIEWS OR THE MAJORITY REPORT, HE WAS CLEARLY CONCERNED THAT SAM ADAMS'

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 414520

EIA827

PAGE 06-06

IN 724591

TOR1280440Z OCT 75

25649

ALLEGATIONS ARE BEING ACCEPTED AS FACT WITHOUT REBUTTAL PERMITTED. HE WAS PUSHING FOR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ALL INDIVIDUALS CITED BY ADAMS BUT WAS UNCERTAIN WHETHER HE COULD OBTAIN COMMITTEE AGREEMENT. IF NOT, HE INTENDED TO EMPHASIZE THIS POINT IN THE PROPOSED MINORITY REPORT.

7. THE CONGRESSMAN IS TENTATIVELY CONSIDERING A MINORITY REPORT THE THRUST OF WHICH WOULD BE THAT HE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF: FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 414531

EIA838

PAGE 01

IN 724594

TOR:280502Z OCT 75

25649

S E C R E T 280300Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 25649 SECTION 2 OF 4

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

HAD TAKEN A CURRENT LOOK AT THE INTEL COMMUNITY IN A
CRITICAL AREA [] AND FOUND IT ALIVE AND WELL,

AND HAD FOLLOWED THE INTEL FLOW THROUGH THE CYCLE

"ALL THE WAY TO THE FORTY COMMITTEE". HE STATED

THAT WHAT HE NEEDED WAS SOME "VEHICLE" TO TIE THIS

ALL TOGETHER FOR PURPOSES OF HIS REPORT. COS NOTED

THAT USIB IN WASHINGTON HAS RECENTLY COMPLETED A

COMPREHENSIVE ALBEIT HIGHLY CLASSIFIED REVIEW AND

ASSESSMENT OF THE [] TARGET IN A EFFORT TO

IDENTIFY ANY PROBLEM AREAS AND TO TAKE PROPER

REMEDIAL ACTIONS. COS NOTED THAT HAVING ONLY

RECENTLY ARRIVED HERE HE HAD OF COURSE UNDERTAKEN

A THOROUGH IN-COUNTRY REVIEW IN ORDER TO ASSURE

HIMSELF OF THE ADEQUACY OF OUR IN-COUNTRY PROGRAMS.

THE CONGRESSMAN SEIZED ON THESE REVIEWS AS THE POSSIBLE

BASIS OF HIS REPORT, HOWEVER, HE WANTED TO DEAL

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 414531

EIA838

PAGE 02

IN 724594

TOR1280502Z OCT 75

25649

WITH "UNCLASSIFIED ASPECTS" OF THE REVIEW. (HOW ONE RECONCILES THIS CANNOT BE SUGGESTED FROM THIS VANTAGE POINT, IT MERELY EMPHASIZES THE LACK OF FOCUS AND THE CONGRESSMAN'S DESIRE TO DO SOMETHING CONSTRUCTIVE, BUT HIS INABILITY TO FIND A "HANDLE".)

8. THE CONGRESSMAN COMMENTED THAT THE SECURITY OF CLASSIFIED MATERIAL IN THE COMMITTEE'S HANDS IS A MAJOR PROBLEM. THE HSC RULES STATE CLASSIFIED MATERIAL MUST NOT BE RELEASED BUT THESE RESTRICTIONS DIRECTLY CONTRAVENE HOUSE REGULATIONS ON THIS POINT AND WOULD NOT BE SUSTAINED IF CHALLENGED. HOWEVER, SINCE THE HARRINGTON EPISODE HE DOUBTED THAT THE HSC'S SPECIAL RULE WOULD BE CHALLENGED (ACCORDING TO THE CURRENT HOUSE RULES ANY MEMBER CAN ASK FOR A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF ANY CLOSED COMMITTEE HEARING AND USE IT ALMOST WITH IMPUNITY).

9. COS WAS PREPARED TO PROCEED ON THE BASIS OF REFERENT B GUIDANCE IF WE SENSED THAT THE CONGRESSMAN WOULD BE RECEPTIVE TO THIS LINE OF PRESENTATION. GIVEN THE UNORTHODOX MANNER IN WHICH THIS HAD

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR.

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 414531

EIA838

PAGE 03

IN 724594

TORI280502Z OCT 75

25649

DEVELOPED, AND UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES IT WAS BELIEVED
BEST TO GIVE THE CONGRESSMAN THE OPPORTUNITY TO CHART
THE COURSE. IN SO DOING IT BECAME ABUNDANTLY CLEAR
THAT HIS INTEREST LAY IN THE AGENCY'S ROLE VIS-A-VIS THE
INTEL COMMUNITY IN THE FIELD. HE WANTED TO KNOW HOW
COORDINATION AND CONTROL WAS EXERCISED IN THE FIELD.
COS EXPLAINED THE CHIEF OF STATION'S RESPONSIBILITY
AND AUTHORITY IN THE COORDINATION OF CLANDESTINE
COLLECTION PORGRAMS. IN DISCUSSING COORDINATION OF
MILITARY COLLECTION AGENCIES COS IN DUE COURSE
SUGGESTED THAT MILITARY REPRESENTATIONS MIGHT
PROFITABLY BE INVITED TO JOIN IN THE DISCUSSION.
TELEPHONE CALLS BROUGHT THE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] INTO

THE DISCUSSION. THE COMMAND AND COORDINATION CHANNELS
WERE OUTLINED, AND THE STATION'S ROLE WAS ENDORSED BY
THE MILITARY REPS TO ALMOST AN EMBARRASSING DEGREE.
COS EXPLAINED THE DCID'S, AND IN THIS INSTANCE
DCID 5/1, EXPLAINED HOW THE FIELD CLEARANCE,

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 414531

EIA838

PAGE 10
84

IN 724594

TOR1280502Z OCT 75

25649

REGISTRATION, APPROVAL AND IF NECESSARY, RECLAIMOR
PROCESS WORKS AND SUGGESTED THAT THE CONGRESSMAN
OBTAIN COPIES OF THE DCID'S UPON HIS RETURN TO
WASHINGTON. NOT SURPRISING, THE CONGRESSMAN HAD
NEVER HEARD OF THE DCID'S, HE NOTED THE SUGGESTION
AND WILL IN ALL PROBABILITY FOLLOW UP UPON HIS
RETURN.

10. THE CONGRESSMAN WAS CONCERNED THAT THERE
WAS A DUPLICATION OF EFFORT AND INTENTLY QUESTIONED
THE MULTICHANNEL NATURE OF REPORTING WHEN ONLY ONE
DECISION COULD BE TAKEN. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WAS PARTICULARLY ELOQUENT IN DEFENSE OF
MULTIPLE REPORTING CHANNELS, POINTING OUT THE
SPEED AND ASSURANCE OF COVERAGE WHEN SUCH WERE
EMPLOYED. HE ADDED THAT PLACING A UNIFYING LAYER
OF BUREAUCRACY ON TOP WOULD TEND: TO DELAY THE
MORE RAPID TRANSMISSION OF INTELLIGENCE; TO STIFLE
DISSENTING VIEWS; AND TO CREATE A MONSTROUS SIZED
SUPER-AGENCY WHICH MIGHT PROVE LESS SUSCEPTIBLE
TO CONTROL AND REVIEW. THE CONGRESSMAN WAS PARTICULARLY

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
					3
ACTION #					4
					5
					6
T 414531 EIA838 PAGE 05 IN 724594					
TOR: 280502Z OCT 75 25649					

STRUCK WITH THIS LATER POINT WHICH HE CONSIDERED A PARTICULARLY PERSUASIVE ARGUMENT FOR MULTICHANNEL REPORTING. HE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE EVEN MORE PERSUASIVE ON HIS "WILD-EYED" LIBERAL PEERS.

11. COS REVIEWED IN DETAIL AND ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICER SYSTEM AS A DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS AND DEVELOPED BY THE DCI TO FURTHER REFINE THE COMMUNITY EVALUATION SYSTEM AS WELL AS TO ENSURE EVEN MORE EFFECTIVE COORDINATION AND UNDERSTANDING WITHIN THE COMMUNITY. IT WAS NOTED THAT THE NIO FOR NORTH ASIA AND THE PACIFIC, MRS, EVELYN COLBERT, IS A SENIOR CAREER STATE DEPARTMENT OFFICER, WHILE [] PREVIOUS ASSIGNMENT HAD BEEN AS THE DEPUTY NIO FOR LATIN AMERICA. IT WAS EXPLAINED THAT THE SYSTEM PERMITS THE DCI TO ESTABLISH AN ORDER OF NATIONAL PRIORITIES AND TO EXAMINE THE PRODUCT OF AN ENTIRE MISSION, AN INTELLIGENCE SERVICE, OR A SERVICE COMPONENT TO SEE HOW WELL IT IS ADDRESSING THE KEY INTELLIGENCE QUESTIONS, THE EVALUATIONS OF THE NIO SYSTEM REPRESENTS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF. FILE VR			1
					2
					3
ACTION #					4
					5
					6
T 414531 EIA838 PAGE ¹² 06-86 IN 72459 ⁶					
TOR1280502Z OCT 75					
					25649

A MAJOR ADDITIONAL STEP IN MANAGEMENT OF THE COMMUNITY. COS ALSO NOTED THAT PERHAPS AN EVEN MORE IMPORTANT STEP TAKEN BY THE DCI IN EFFECTING COMMUNITY CONTROL WAS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF INTER-AGENCY RESOURCES ALLOCATION COMMITTEE (IRAC) WHICH PERMITS THE DCI TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE BUDGETS OF THE RESPECTIVE INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES. THE CONGRESSMAN WAS QUICK TO PERCEIVE THE USEFULNESS OF IRAC.

12. COS REVIEWED THE AGENCY SYSTEM OF MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVE AND THE FIELD INTEL PROCESS IN SOME DETAIL NOTING THE AUTOMATIC SIMULTANEOUS RELEASE TO CUSTOMERS IN WASHINGTON AS WELL AS THE FIELD. LOCAL COORDINATION WAS EXPLAINED AND THE ABSOLUTE INTEGRITY OF STATION'S REPORTING WAS STRESSED. COS STATED THAT HE WAS UNAWARE OF A SINGLE INCIDENT DURING HIS CAREER IN WHICH AN AMBASSADOR OR GENERAL OR ANY OFFICER HAD PREVENTED THE DISSEMINATION OF A SINGLE REPORT FOR POLITICAL OR OTHER REASONS. LOCALLY, COS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF.	FILE	VR	
ACTION #					

T 414508 EIA815 PAGE 13 IN 724581
TORI280423Z OCT 75 25649

S E C R E T 280300Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 25649 SECTION 3 OF 4

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

NOTED THAT WE DO NOT PRECLUDE REQUESTING THE
AMBASSADOR'S OR [] OR ANY OTHER SPECIALIST
OPINION OR COMMENTS IN THOSE INSTANCES WHERE THE SUBJECT
MATTER IS OF A NATURE THAT THEIR COMMENTS WOULD PLACE
THE INFORMATION IN CONTEXT AND THEREBY MATERIALLY
ADD TO THE VALUE OF THE REPORT. IT WAS NOTED THAT
SUCH COMMENTS ARE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED AS SUCH IN THE
REPORT AND SUCH REVIEW IS NOT PERMITTED TO DELAY
ITS TIMELY DISSEMINATION, E.G., THE OFFICE OF THE

[] IS PERMITTED 24 HOURS IN WHICH TO
COMMENT ON CERTAIN MILITARY REPORTS IF THE SUBJECT
MATTER IS OF A MILITARY NATURE AND THE TIMELINESS
OF THE INFORMATION IS NOT AFFECTED BY A 24-HOUR DELAY.
COS EMPHASIZED THAT NO ONE REPEAT NO ONE IN THE FIELD
CAN PRECLUDE A CHIEF OF STATION FROM EXERCISING HIS
RESPONSIBILITY AND PREROGATIVE TO DISSEMINATE SUCH

S E C R E T

CABLE REC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 414508

EJA815

PAGE 14 82

IN 724581 9/

TORI280423Z OCT 75

25649

INTELLIGENCE AS HE, IN HIS CONSIDERED JUDGMENT,
CONSIDERS WORTHY OF DISSEMINATION INTO THE NATIONAL
LEVEL SYSTEM,

13, THE COS'S RESPONSIBILITY AND AUTHORITY FOR
COORDINATION OF MILITARY CLANDESTINE OPERATIONS WERE
ALSO REVIEWED IN DETAIL IN THE PRESENCE OF THE DEPUTY
J-2, [REDACTED] WHILE DEFENDING THE SERVICES
SEPARATE NEED FOR A COLLECTION CAPABILITY, STRONGLY
ENDORSED THE NEED FOR THE COORDINATION PROCESS UNDER
DCID 5/1.

14, THE CONGRESSMAN NOTED THAT ONE PROBLEM THAT
HAD BEEN BROUGHT TO THE COMMITTEE'S ATTENTION AND
WHICH HAD SURFACED DURING THE TET OFFENSIVE WAS THAT
FOUR DIFFERENT INTEL ORGANIZATIONS HAD BEEN USING THE
SAME SOURCE IN VIETNAM. COS NOTED THAT IF TRUE
THE INCIDENT WAS THE EXCEPTION NOT THE RULE AND
EXPLAINED THE ROLE AND FUNCTIONS OF THE INTER AGENCY
SOURCE REGISTRY AND HOW IT SERVES THE NEEDS OF THE
ENTIRE COMMUNITY. THE PROBLEM OF FABRICATION WAS
DISCUSSED AND THE COMMUNITY'S USE OF BURN NOTICES

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
ACTION #					3
15					9/
T 414508		EIA815		PAGE 03	
					IN 724501
TOR:280423Z OCT 75					25649

TO IDENTIFY AND NEUTRALIZE SUCH PEOPLE WAS EXPLAINED.

15, ALTHOUGH COS HAD PREVIOUSLY BRIEFED THE CONGRESSMAN PRIOR TO HIS ARRIVAL, [REDACTED] BRIEFED THE CONGRESSMAN ON THE STATUS OF COMINT COVERAGE OF THE [REDACTED] HE ALSO STRESSED THE DESIRABILITY AND COST EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROPOSED [REDACTED], PROPOSED [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] AND ADDING A [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ALSO MADE A STRONG PITCH FOR THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF THE THIRD PARTY PROGRAM, AND THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING OF [REDACTED] COUNTERPART ORGANIZATION. THE CONGRESSMAN AT THIS POINT INTERJECTED THAT HE SAW NO REASON FOR THE SEPARATION OF NSA AND CIA. COS ADDRESSED TO THIS AND THE NSA REPRESENTATIVE LATER STATED HIS VIEWS WHICH WERE GENERALLY IN ACCORD. COS EXPLAINED NSA TASKING RESPONSIBILITY AND FIRST AND THIRD PARTY PROGRAMS NOTING THAT ON THE SURFACE HE COULD NOT PERCEIVE ANY ADVANTAGES THAT WOULD ACCRUE TO THE GOVERNMENT IN TERMS OF GREATER

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/BLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF. FILE VR.		1	4
				2	5
				3	6
ACTION # _____					
T 414508		EIA815		PAGE 16	
				IN 724581	
		TOR1280423Z OCT 75		25649	

EFFICIENCY OF COST EFFECTIVENESS BY COMBINING THE TWO ORGANIZATIONS. INDEED THE UNIQUE NATURE OF NSA RESPONSIBILITIES WHICH ARE HIGHLY TECHNICAL AND SOMEWHAT ESOTERIC ARGUED AGAINST FUNCTIONAL MERGER OF THE TWO ORGANIZATIONS. IT WAS NOTED THAT THE RESULTANT SPAN OF MANAGEMENT CONTROL COULD IN FACT RESULT IN A LESS COST EFFECTIVE OPERATION, BOTH COS AND [] NOTED THAT PRESENT PROCEDURES PRECLUDE UNDESIRE Duplicative TASKING AND ENSURED MAXIMUM EFFECTIVE COMMUNITY EXPLOITATION IRRESPECTIVE OF WHICH AGENCY HAD THE LOCAL COMINT RESPONSIBILITY. [] COMMENTED THAT HE HAD WORKED CLOSELY WITH CIA IN SEVERAL COUNTRIES, AND SAW NEITHER MAJOR PROBLEMS NOR ANY ADVANTAGES THAT WOULD NECESSARILY ACCRUE IF THE AGENCIES WERE COMBINED.

16. DCOS BRIEFED THE AMBASSADOR ON THE EVENING OF 25 OCTOBER ON THE NATURE OF THE CONGRESSMAN'S INTERESTS AND THE SUBSTANCE OF THE DAY'S DISCUSSIONS. THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO DISCUSS THESE CONCERNS WITH THE CONGRESSMAN ON SUNDAY OR MONDAY. THE AMBASSADOR SAW CONGRESSMAN

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF. FILE VR			1
ACTION #					2
					3
T 414508		EIA815		PAGE 17	
				IN 724581	
TOR1280423Z OCT 75				25649	

MILFORD THAT NIGHT AT DINNER AND INVITED HIM TO THE RESIDENCE AT 1100 HOURS ON THE 26TH. THE CONGRESSMAN LATER STATED THAT THE DISCUSSIONS WERE QUITE HELPFUL AND THE AMBASSADOR AGREED TO FORWARD A LETTER ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOCAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT WHICH WOULD BE OF ASSISTANCE TO HIS PROPOSED MINORITY REPORT.

17. IN A STATION-ARRANGED ONE HOUR MEETING WITH [REDACTED] ON 26 OCTOBER IN WHICH DCOS PARTICIPATED, THE CONGRESSMAN RESTATED THE NATURE OF HIS CONCERNS IN SEEKING POSITIVE CONTRIBUTIONS TO A MINORITY REPORT. HE THEN ASKED [REDACTED] WHAT INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT HE NEEDED AS A FIELD ARMY COMMANDER AND HOW HE WOULD CHARACTERIZE THE SUPPORT HE WAS CURRENTLY OBTAINING FROM THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY. [REDACTED] RESPONDED WITH A CRUSTY BUT IMPASSIONED LECTURE ON THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL CONGRESSIONAL SUPPORT TO CIA AND NSA. [REDACTED] TOLD THE CONGRESSMAN THAT HE NEEDED MORE TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND HE NEEDED IT IN A TIMELY FASHION, WHICH WAS EXACTLY WHAT HE HAD RECENTLY TOLD SECRETARY

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		SECRET		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF _____	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF.	FILE	VR	
ACTION #					

T 414508 EIA815 PAGE ¹⁸~~06-06~~ IN 724581 ^{8/}~~25~~

TOR:280423Z OCT 75 25649

SCHLESINGER WHO HAD POSED THE SAME QUESTION. THE GENERAL STATED THAT HE WANTED MORE TACTICAL, PHOTO, AND EVEN ECONOMIC COVERAGE AND HE DEPENDED ON NSA AND CIA TO GET IT FOR HIM. HE COMPLETELY DISCOUNTED U.S. MILITARY INTELLIGENCE EFFORTS SAYING THE ARMY DID NOT PUT HIGH CALIBER MEN INTO INTELLIGENCE AND DID NOT DEVELOP INTELLIGENCE PROFESSIONALS. HE NOTED THAT HIS SPECIAL FORCES COMMANDER HAD JUST BEEN TRANSFERRED TO DIA IN AN INTELLIGENCE ASSIGNMENT. HE SAID HE WAS A GOOD SPECIAL FORCES COMMANDER BUT NO INTEL OFFICER. WHEREAS HIS FORMER G-2 HAD BEEN REASSIGNED TO HONOLULU IN A PERSONNEL SLOT, AND THEN THE ARMY HAD BEEN UNABLE TO PROVIDE A QUALIFIED COLONEL AS A REPLACEMENT, HE SAID THAT IN CONTRAST CIA AND NSA HAVE CAREER PROFESSIONALS WITH WHOM THE FIELD COMMANDER CAN WORK, AS HE FORMERLY DID IN VIETNAM AND AS HE IS NOW DOING IN ALSO ADDED KUDOS FOR STATION MILITARY REPORTING, NOTING THAT IS A MILITARY-ORIENTED SOCIETY

--
SECRET

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____				STAFF	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF.	FILE	VR	
ACTION #					
T 414512		EIA819		PAGE 19	
				IN 724585	
TOR:280427Z OCT 75				25649	

S E C R E T 280300Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 25649 FINAL SECTION OF 4

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT PLMHCONGA

AND THAT HE HAD FOUND OUR MILITARY/POLITICAL REPORTING QUITE HELPFUL, THE GENERAL DECLINED TO BE SPECIFIC AS TO THE NATURE OF ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL SUPPORT HE REQUIRED BECAUSE OF UNCERTAINTY REGARDING ITS SECURITY CLASSIFICATION, BUT HE VOLUNTEERED TO PUT HIS REQUIREMENTS AND COMMENTS IN A LETTER TO THE CONGRESSMAN WHICH HE WOULD FORWARD VIA STATION CHANNELS AND "THEN LET THE PEOPLE BACK HOME WORK OUT THE CLASSIFICATION PROBLEM"; CONGRESSMAN SAID THAT WOULD BE MOST HELPFUL AND WOULD REPRESENT A REAL CONTRIBUTION TO HIS REPORT,

18, IN A SUBSEQUENT DISCUSSION WITH DCOS, THE CONGRESSMAN REQUESTED THE ASSISTANCE OF THE DDCI BY NAME IN DRAFTING HIS MINORITY REPORT AS WELL AS IN REVIEWING THE USIB STUDY ON []

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
					3
ACTION #					4
					5
					6

T 414512 EIA819 PAGE ²⁰~~22~~ IN 7245²¹~~85~~

TOR:280427Z OCT 75 25649

REQUIREMENTS, HE STATED THAT HE PROPOSED TO BASE HIS REPORT ON THE USIB AND COS REVIEWS OF THE PROBLEM AND TO INCLUDE EXTRACTS OF THE LETTERS FROM THE AMBASSADOR AND ALTHOUGH STILL UNCERTAIN AS TO METHODOLOGY, HIS INTENT IS TO DEVELOP A POSITIVE REPORT REFLECTING THE HIGH DEGREE OF LOCAL COOPERATION THAT HE HAS PERSONALLY OBSERVED BUT STRESSED THAT THE REPORT MUST BE UNCLASSIFIED BECAUSE THE REPORT MUST BE DESIGNED FOR PRESENTATION ON THE HOUSE FLOOR. HE BELIEVED THE DDCI WOULD BE OF PARTICULAR ASSISTANCE IN PULLING TOGETHER A REPORT OF THIS NATURE.

19. THE CONGRESSMAN STATED THAT HE AND REPRESENTATIVE DAVID C. TREEN WERE IN THE VANGUARD ON THE NEED FOR A NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE EFFORT AND THEY HAVE THE SUPPORT OF REPRESENTATIVES MCCLARY AND JOHNSON ON THE COMMITTEE. HE SAID THE BIPARTISAN NATURE OF THIS MINORITY, ALBEIT THREE REPUBLICANS TO ONE DEMOCRAT, WOULD ASSIST ITS ACCEPTANCE. HE ALSO STATED THAT HE MUST NOW MOVE RAPIDLY TO RECRUIT HIS OWN STAFF ASSISTANT ON THE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF. FILE VR		1	4
				2	5
				3	6
ACTION #					
T 414512		EIA819		PAGE 03-03 ²¹⁻²¹	
				IN 724585 ²¹	
		TOR:280427Z OCT 75		25649	

COMMITTEE STAFF.

20. ADDITION DISCUSSION IS SCHEDULED WITH
CONGRESSMAN MILFORD FOR 28 OCTOBER. WILL ADVISE,
E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MPO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR
 STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP
220018Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER
760703

CONF: C/LA3

INFO: FILE DCI (REVIEW STAFF), CS/RF,

DDO, DDC/DD, SA/DDO

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: 45
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER SOP
 0 IP FILES # 112

TO:

RYBAT PLMHCONGA Y

REF:

B4339 IN 72313514

1. HQS AWARE OF AND HANDLING REF CASE. NO NEED FORWARD
 WALKER LETTER AND OTHER THAN POUCHING COPIES OF MEMOS. NO FURTHER
 STATION ACTION NECESSARY AT THIS TIME. PLS ADVISE BY CABLE IF ANY
 FURTHER INFO THIS MATTER COMES TO STATION ATTENTION.4

2. FILE: DEFER. E2 IMPDET.4

Oct 29 3 5

SA/DDO - NORM SHEPANER (TELECOORD)

DATE:

28 OCT 75

ORIG:

C/LA/CAM/PA

UNIT:

1A05

COORDINATING OFFICERS

C/LA/CAM
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY 054524

OUTGOING MESSAGE

9-1

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP
242332Z

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

759842

CONF: C/EA 3

INFO: FILE

DCI/REVIEW STAFF,

CS/RF

☐ INDEX

DISSEM BY: J

☐ NO INDEX☐ RETURN TO

PER

SOP
112

DDO, DDO/DO: SA/DDO

TO: PRIORITY

RYBAT ADMIN PLMHCONGA 4

REF: DIRECTOR 759843 4

1. EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY ALL CORRESPONDENCE RELATING TO IDENTITY MUST BEAR THE SENSITIVITY INDICATOR RYBAT AND THE CRYPTONYM [REDACTED] PLMHCONGA. 4

2. FOR UNUSUALLY SENSITIVE CASES, CHIEFS OF STATION MAY AT THEIR DISCRETION USE THE DIVISIONS {PLMPTACT} PRIVACY CHANNEL. 4

3. ALL INFORMATION RELATING TO IDENTITY MUST REPEAT MUST BE SENT TO HQS VIA CABLE REPEAT CABLE. E2, IMPDET.4

CEA/OEM
EA/DDO:

{IN DRAFT}
{TELECOORD}

DATE: 24 OCTOBER 1975

ORIG: CEA/ESEC

UNIT:

EXT: 1704

THEODORE G. SHACKLEY, CEA
 RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

SECRET

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 005088

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 PAGE 1 OF 1

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

242332Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

759843

CONF: C/EA3

INFO: FILE

DCI/RENEW STAFF

CS/RF

0
0
0

INDEX

DISSEM BY: J

NO INDEX

RETURN TO

PER

Sop

DDO, DDO/DO, SAT/DDO

TO: PRIORITY

RYBAT ADMIN PLMHCONGA Y

REF: DIRECTOR 759842 Y

1. IDENTITY IS: CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION OF THE AGENCY.
2. NO FILE. E2, IMPDET.H

CEA/OEM:

{IN DRAFT}

EA/DDO:

TELECOORD}

DATE: 24 OCTOBER 1975
 ORIG: CEA/ESEC

EXT: 1709

THEODORE G. SHACKLEY, CEA
 RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 005088

SECRET

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI PAGE OF PAGES
 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 - 4

CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

241922Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

759641

CONF

INFO: FILE

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, C/R, 200 200/00, 24/000, 9/010

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: C
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER SD
 0 IP FILES # 112

IMMEDIATE

RYBAT PLMHCONGA Y

REF: A [] 25645 | IN 722803 |
 B [] 25646 | IN 723138 | Y

1. IN VIEW OF CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S INTENTION AS EXPRESSED IN REF A TO SPEND ONE IF NOT SEVERAL DAYS IN DISCUSSION OF FIELD STATION ACTIVITIES, BELIEVE [] STATION SHOULD APPROACH THIS TASK WITH FOLLOWING DATA IN MIND: Y

A. BACKGROUND. HOUSE COMMITTEE IN ITS ENTIRETY IS KEENLY INTERESTED IN COMMAND AND CONTROL ASPECTS OF HOW CIA FUNCTIONS. IT MOST LIKELY CONGRESSMAN MILFORD'S WILL BE FOCUSED PRIMARILY ON COMMAND AND CONTROL ASPECTS OF FIELD STATION ACTIVITIES DURING HIS VISIT []

B. MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVE. BELIEVE MUCH OF WHAT CONGRESSMAN MILFORD CALLS "START OF THE INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION PROCESS IN THE FIELD" CAN BE DEALT WITH IF STATION STARTS ITS BRIEFING WITH PRESENTATION ON HOW MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS. SUGGEST STATION OUTLINE

DATE: 24 OCT 75
 ORIG: TGSHACKLEY:DCM
 UNIT: C/EA
 EXT: 1428

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 061991

SECRET

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE OF PAGES

2

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

STAFF

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

759641

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:

0 NO INDEX

0 RETURN TO PER

0 IP FILES #

OPERATIONAL DIRECTIVE PROCESS I.E., STATION RECEIVES OD FROM HQS AND COMMENTS ON IT. ONCE AGREEMENT REACHED ON OD DIALOGUE FOLLOWS ON AG'S WHICH RELATE TO SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES. THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL, IN , TO FOCUS ON KEY OBJECTIVE IN GENERAL AND THEN NARROW DISCUSSION DOWN TO AG-4 OR AG-7. THIS PHASE OF BRIEFING SHOULD INCLUDE COMMENTARY ON FUNDING BY FAN'S, ETC. 4

C. AGENT ACQUISITION. WITH FOCUS OF BRIEFING BEING ON AG-4 OR AG-7, STATION COULD THEN OUTLINE HOW TARGET STUDIES OF KEY INTELLIGENCE REPOSITORIES ^{ARE} ~~IS~~ CONDUCTED, POTENTIAL TARGETS FOR RECRUITMENT IDENTIFIED AND HOW SPOTTING, ASSESSMENT AND RECRUITMENT PROCESS UNFOLDS. IN DISCUSSION OF RECRUITMENT PHASE OF OPERATIONS, THERE SHOULD BE EXAMINATION OF NAME TRACE AND POA PROCESS. 4

D. AGENT AUTHENTICATION. DIALOGUE WITH CONGRESSMAN SHOULD ALSO OUTLINE HOW AGENT AUTHENTICATED ONCE RECRUITED. STRESS SHOULD BE PLACED ON CONTINUING ASPECTS OF AUTHENTICATION, SECURITY OF MEETINGS, ETC. 4

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 061991

SECRET

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 0MF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

PAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

STAFF

DIRECTOR

CONF

INFO: FILE

75964/1

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IP FILES #

E. POSITIVE INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION. ACQUISITION OF INTELLIGENCE FROM IN-PLACE AGENT, TURNING IT INTO INTEL DISSEMINATION, REQUIREMENTS SYSTEM, AND REPORTS EVALUATION CYCLE SHOULD ALSO BE REVIEWED. Y

F. OPERATIONAL REPORTING SYSTEM. STARTING WITH RECRUITMENT OF AGENT, STATION SHOULD OUTLINE TYPES OF REPORTING REQUIRED BY AGENCY FOR COMMAND AND CONTROL PURPOSES I.E., PRQ PART I AND 2, CONTACT REPORTS, INTEL REPORTS, PERIODIC ASSET REPORTS, PERIODIC PROGRESS REPORTS, OPACTS AND FIELD PERFORMANCE REPORTS. ON LATTER, STRESS SHOULD BE PLACED ON ~~FEED BACK~~ ^{FEED BACK} FROM MID-YEAR AND FY REVIEWS WITH DDO. FY BUDGET CYCLE SHOULD ALSO BE TOUCHED ON. Y

G. CASE HISTORY. IT ESSENTIAL WE NOT IDENTIFY ANY ACTIVE SOURCES BY TRUE NAME TO CONGRESSMAN MILFORD. IN VIEW OF THIS WISH POINT OUT HQS HAS FOUND IT USEFUL TO DRAW ON CASE HISTORY SCENARIO TO UNDERSCORE ALL POINTS PREVIOUSLY MADE IN BRIEFING. IN SUCH CASE HISTORY ONLY IDENTITY OF AGENT AND POSSIBLE TARGET HAS BEEN ALTERED FOR SOURCE

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

SECRET

CL BY: 061991

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

AGE 0 COI 0 ACP 0 TOG 0 TPE 0 MRO 0 DCI 0

PAGE 4 OF 4

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

759641

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:
0 NO INDEX
0 RETURN TO PER

0 IP FILES #

PROTECTION. THIS APPROACH HAS WORKED EFFECTIVELY FOR HQS

AND ASSUME IT WILL BE USEFUL TECHNIQUE FOR [] Y

2. BRIEFING SHOULD ALSO ZERO IN ON STATION'S COORDINATION ROLE UNDER DCID'S FOR CLANDESTINE MILITARY INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION OPS. ALSO THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO HAVE PART OF BRIEFING CONCENTRATE ON IMMINENCE OF HOSTILITIES INDICATORS WHICH NOW BEING WORKED ON BY AMERICAN INTEL COMMUNITY IN CONSULTATION WITH [] THIS MIGHT WELL SHOW COMPLEXITY OF ISSUES INVOLVED IN MEASURING INTEL SUCCESS OR FAILURES. Y

3. HQS HAS HAD LIMITED EXPOSURE TO CONGRESSMAN MILFORD, THUS UNABLE TO SHED ANY LIGHT ON QUESTION POSED IN REF B PARA 2. BASIC GUIDELINE FOR THIS BRIEFING MUST BE FULL CANDOR WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY PROTECTING SOURCES AND METHODS. Y

4. PLEASE USE ABOVE INDICATORS ON FUTURE TRAFFIC DEALING WITH BRIEFINGS OR TRAVELS OF CONGRESSIONAL MEMBERS WHO INVOLVED IN INVESTIGATION OF INTEL COMMUNITY. E-2, IMPDET.H

AC/EA/JK *[Signature]*
DC/EA *[Signature]*
C/EA/COPS *[Signature]*
A/DDO *[Signature]*
C/REVIEW STAFF *[Signature]*
C/OLC *[Signature]*

DATE: 24 OCT 75
ORIG: TGSACKLEY:DCM
UNIT: C/EA
EXT: 1428

DDO

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

[Signature]
THEODORE G. SHACKLEY, C/EA
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPROL TION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS

PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 061991

SECRET

Senator's Travel File

LE SEC DISSEM BY

PER

TOTAL COPIES

10-2 RUN

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

DON UNIT NOTIFIED

ANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

ION UNIT

EA3

ION

I
N
F
O

RF

FILE

VR

DCI (REVIEW STAFF), CSRF,

DDO, SADD, DPLC

1

2

3

4

5

6

T 408492

EIA951

PAGE 01

IN 722803

TORI240650Z OCT 75

25645

S E C R E T 240620Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 25645

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR.

NIACT DIRECTOR

RYBAT CODEL

REF: A. DIRECTOR 758492

B. DIRECTOR 758427

1. CODEL MURPHY ARRIVED [] EVENING 23 OCTOBER

[] DUE HOSPITALIZATION OF COS, DCOS

CONTACTED CONGRESSMAN MILFORD AND OFFERED SEPARATE
BRIEFING IF DESIRED. IN BRIEF CONVERSATION AFTER

[] ON MORNING OF 24 OCTOBER IN

WHICH DCOS PARTICIPATED, REPRESENTATIVE MILFORD REITERATED
POINTS COVERED IN REFERENT A MESSAGE AND STATED HE HAD
BEEN CHARGED BY HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN TO MAKE A
DETAILED STUDY OF THE INTELLIGENCE PROCESS IN THE FIELD.
HE ADDED THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO BREAK OFF FROM DELEGATION
SCHEDULE AS OF 0900 OCTOBER 25 TO COMMENCE DISCUSSIONS.
WHILE PARAMETERS OF HIS^S INTERESTS NOT FULLY COVERED IN
INITIAL CONVERSATION, HE ANTICIPATES DEVOTING ONE/F NOT

S E C R E T

CLASS. SEC. DISSEM BY

PLAN

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON UNIT NOTIFIED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 408492

EIA951

PAGE 02-02

IN 722803

TOR:240650Z OCT 75

25645

SEVERAL DAYS TO DISCUSSION OF FIELD STATION ACTIVITIES.

2. REP. LUCIEN NEDZI WAS ALSO CONTACTED WITH OFFER OF STATION BRIEFING WHICH WAS ^{ES}RECEIVED IN FRIENDLY FASHION. REP. NEDZI EXPRESSED APPRECIATION AND SAID THAT HE WOULD BE IN TOUCH LATER DURING THEIR FIVE DAY STAY.

3. COS MAY BE RELEASED FROM HOSPITAL MORNING OF 25 OCTOBER. WHETHER HE IS OR NOT, COS PREPARED TO TALK WITH REP. MILFORD EITHER AT HOSPITAL OR AT RESIDENCE WHERE HE WILL NEED BE CONFINED FOR ONE WEEK RECUPERATION. THIS OFFER BEING CONVEYED TO CONGRESSMAN, AND IF AGREEABLE TO HIM, ARRANGEMENTS WILL BE MADE FOR HIM TO MEET DIRECTLY WITH COS.

4. WOULD APPRECIATE SPECIFIC GUIDANCE BY 0800 LOCAL 25 OCTOBER AS REP. MILFORD'S INTERESTS OBVIOUSLY EXTEND TO DETAILED PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS BEYOND NORMAL SCOPE OF FIELD BRIEFINGS.

E2 IMPDET

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE PRO DCI
 0MF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

231741Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

758926

CONF:

C/NE-3

INFO: FILE

DCI/REVIEW STAFF, C/RF, DDA, DDA, DDA, DDA

0 INDEX
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO

DISSEM BY: Q
 PER SA

TO:

ADMIN 4

1. ALL SIGNIFICANT CABLE TRAFFIC RELATING TO THE CURRENT CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION OF CIA SHOULD CARRY IDEN SLUG.4

2. IN CASES OF UNUSUAL SENSITIVITY ADDRESSEES MAY USE PLARGYLE RATHER THAN IDEN SLUG. IN SUCH INSTANCES, NE DIVISION WILL ASSURE THAT APPROPRIATE HQS COMPONENTS ARE APPRISED OF PERTINENT PORTIONS OF THOSE MESSAGES. E2 IMPDET.H

DATE: 23 OCTOBER 1975

ORIG:

UNIT: NE/COPS

EXT: R-1562

RELEASING OFFICER

C/NE

COORDINATING OFFICERS

NE/COPS
AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY: 056382

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1		4		7	
2		5		8	
3		6		9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 CLASSIFICATION
 SECRET
 MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR
 STAFF
 DATE-TIME GROUP
 231741Z
 DIRECTOR
 CITE
 MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER
 758927
 INDEX DISSEM BY
 NO INDEX
 RETURN TO PER

CONF: *CNE-3*

INFO: FILE *DCI/REVIEW STAFF, CC/RF*
DDO DDO/DO, SA/DDO

TO:

ADMIN 4

REF: DIRECTOR 758926

IDEN: PLMHCONGA E2 IMPDET.H

DATE: 23 OCTOBER 1975

ORIG

UNIT

EXT:

R-1562

CNE
 RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

CNE
 AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

SECRET

CL BY: 056382

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1	4	7
2	5	8
3	6	9

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

AGE	COI	ASP	TUG
1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1
3	1	1	1
4	1	1	1
5	1	1	1
6	1	1	1
7	1	1	1
8	1	1	1
9	1	1	1
10	1	1	1
11	1	1	1
12	1	1	1
13	1	1	1
14	1	1	1
15	1	1	1
16	1	1	1
17	1	1	1
18	1	1	1
19	1	1	1
20	1	1	1
21	1	1	1
22	1	1	1
23	1	1	1
24	1	1	1
25	1	1	1
26	1	1	1
27	1	1	1
28	1	1	1
29	1	1	1
30	1	1	1
31	1	1	1
32	1	1	1
33	1	1	1
34	1	1	1
35	1	1	1
36	1	1	1
37	1	1	1
38	1	1	1
39	1	1	1
40	1	1	1
41	1	1	1
42	1	1	1
43	1	1	1
44	1	1	1
45	1	1	1
46	1	1	1
47	1	1	1
48	1	1	1
49	1	1	1
50	1	1	1
51	1	1	1
52	1	1	1
53	1	1	1
54	1	1	1
55	1	1	1
56	1	1	1
57	1	1	1
58	1	1	1
59	1	1	1
60	1	1	1
61	1	1	1
62	1	1	1
63	1	1	1
64	1	1	1
65	1	1	1
66	1	1	1
67	1	1	1
68	1	1	1
69	1	1	1
70	1	1	1
71	1	1	1
72	1	1	1
73	1	1	1
74	1	1	1
75	1	1	1
76	1	1	1
77	1	1	1
78	1	1	1
79	1	1	1
80	1	1	1
81	1	1	1
82	1	1	1
83	1	1	1
84	1	1	1
85	1	1	1
86	1	1	1
87	1	1	1
88	1	1	1
89	1	1	1
90	1	1	1
91	1	1	1
92	1	1	1
93	1	1	1
94	1	1	1
95	1	1	1
96	1	1	1
97	1	1	1
98	1	1	1
99	1	1	1
100	1	1	1

017 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE	OF	PAGES
------	----	-------

7. A. S. FEF 35433

S E C R E T

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF:

DATE-TIME GROUP

212340Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

758109

CONF: C/AIR 3 INFO: FILE DCI/REVIEW STAFF CS/RF

000. 000/00

SA/POD

INDEX DISSEM BY:

0

DISSEM BY:

NO INDEX

· NO INDEX

RETURN TO

PER *[Signature]*

IP FILES

117

TO:

RYBAT PLMHCONGA 4

FOR ACOS AND Y

1. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE PLANS HOLD HEARINGS ON DESTROY
PROJECT 29 OCTOBER. REQUEST PLAN REVIEW PLDEFINIE MATERIAL
WITH CHIEF EUROPE ON 27 OCTOBER AND ARRANGE BE HEADQUARTERS NOT
LATER THAN AFTERNOON 28 OCTOBER. Y

2. PLEASE USE ABOVE SLUG FOR FUTURE SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC
RELATED TO THE CURRENT CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATIONS. IN CASES
OF UNUSUAL SENSITIVITY, YOU MAY USE PLKMBALL SLUG FOR SUCH TRAFFIC
AT YOUR DISCRETION. E2, IMPDET.H

File

21 OCTOBER 1975

DATE:

ORIG: DC/EUR

UNIT: 1233

SA/DDO, CIN, DRAFTY

DC/EUR CIN DRAFT

CLAYTON, CANNON

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY:

6-
 SIGNAL CENTER USE:
 AGE COI ACP JO TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 CLASSIFICATION
 1
 PAGE OF PAGES

SECRET
 MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

211745Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

757742

CONF: C/EUR

INFO: FILE

DCI (REVIEW STAFF).

CS/RF, DDO. SA/DDO.

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: 28
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER DDO
 0 IP FILES # MEMO

TO:

RYBAT PLMHCONGA Y

MANY THANKS FOR YOUR REPORTING ON VISIT MR. DAVID AARON.
 PLEASE USE ABOVE SLUG FOR FUTURE SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC RELATED
 TO THE CURRENT CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATIONS. IN CASES OF
 UNUSUAL SENSITIVITY, YOU MAY USE PLKMBALL SLUG FOR SUCH TRAFFIC
 AT YOUR DISCRETION. E2 IMPDET.H

[Handwritten signature]

DATE: 21 OCTOBER 75

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY: 012860

SECRET

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS
ROUTING SHEET

TO:

	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIALS
1 DDO				
2 ADDO				
3 C/PLANS				
4 C/OPS				
5 C/SS				
6 C/CCS				
7 C/CI				
8 C/AF				
9 C/DCD				
10 C/DIVD				
11 C/EA				
12 C/EUR				
13 C/FR				
14 C/NE				
15 C/SE				
16 C/LA				
17 SA/DDO CT-5				

10 NOV 1975

SUSPENSE DATE

REMARKS

file

Senator Church?

17 OCT 75 13 49

SIGNATURE

SA / ADDO

DATE

17 OCT 1975

17 OCT 1975

DDO 75-5707

MEMORANDUM FOR: DDO Division and Staff Chiefs

SUBJECT : The Director's Greeting Card List

1. It is requested that you provide recommendations as to whom the Director should send holiday greetings. Please submit your list to the SA/ADDO in Room 7E22 by the close of business 10 November 1975.

2. Your list should be prepared on a very selected basis. You may include the names of chiefs of foreign security and intelligence services and the names of non-intelligence personnel abroad who are of special interest or significance to the Agency mission. In most cases, these names should be those of persons whom the Director has met here or abroad.

3. After the Director has reviewed the lists of recommended names, the greeting cards will be prepared and sent to the appropriate Division or Staff for pouching or mailing.

SA/ADDO

Distribution:

C/AF
C/EA
C/EUR
C/DCD
C/DIVD
C/FR
C/LA/
C/NE

C/SE
C/CCS
C/CI
C/OPS
C/PS
C/SS
SA/DDO
SPG/DDO

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 037796

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

17 OCT 1975

DDO 75-7

MEMORANDUM FOR: DDO Division and Staff Chiefs

SUBJECT : DDCI's Greeting Card List

Addressees are requested to prepare a Christmas card list for the DDCI. It should include, where security permits, all significant contacts hosted by him at Headquarters or visited in the field. Please submit your list to the SA/ADDO by close of business 10 November 1975.



SA/ADDO

Distribution:

C/AF

C/EA

C/EUR

C/DCD

C/DIVD

C/FR

C/LA

C/NE

C/SE

C/CCS

C/CI

C/OPS

C/PS

C/SS

SA/DDO

SPG/DDO

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 037796

CIA INTERNAL USE ONLY

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Philip F. Fendig
DC/EUR

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

SA/ DDO

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

FYI

file in "Senatorial
trip file in
my safe."

170875 1312

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

ABLE SEC DISSEM BY

PER

EUR 30

TOTAL COPIES

6-50

RUN BY

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

DYANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

CTION UNIT

RF

FILE

VR

OCT 17 1975

ACTION #

N
F
O

STAFF

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION COPY
EYES ONLY

T 389706

EIA620

PAGE 01

IN 716119

TOR:

66194

S E C R E T 161650Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 66194

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLKMBALL

REF A. DIRECTOR 752556

B. DIRECTOR 754586

1. AT [] REQUEST, COS BRIEFED HIM FOR AN HOUR AND FIFTEEN MINUTES ON THE AFTERNOON OF 16 OCT. IN RESPONSE TO HIS QUESTIONS, COS BRIEFED HIM IN GENERAL TERMS ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE [] AND THE FUNCTIONING OF THE [] COS ALSO DESCRIBED, WITHOUT OPERATIONAL DETAIL, THE INTERFACE WITH THE [] AND THE FUNCTIONS OF THE STATION AND OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF THE [] [] DID NOT ASK FOR FINANCIAL DETAILS OR PERSONNEL FIGURES.

2. IN A WIDE RANGING DISCUSSION AFTER THIS BRIEFING,

[] PURSUED THE FOLLOWING MAIN LINES OF QUESTIONING:

A. HE ASKED IF A RECRUITMENT ATTEMPT COORDINATED WITH THE [] WOULD BE CLEARED WITH THE AMBASSADOR, COS REPLIED THAT THIS COULD BE A MATTER OF JUDGMENT, BUT CERTAINLY

S E C R E T

C/EUR —
DC/E/NW —
C/E/BC —
Add [] [] []
I send copy to SA/DDO.

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		RUN BY		REPRODUCTION ISSUING OFFICE	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			S E C R E T					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED		BY	AT	Z	STAFF			
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF FILE VR			1		4	
					2		5	
ACTION #					3		6	
T 389706		EIA620		PAGE 02		IN 71611		
		TOR						661

IF THERE WAS ANY POLITICAL RISK OR SENSITIVITY HE WOULD PROBABLY ALERT THE AMBASSADOR. COS POINTED OUT THE FACT OF COORDINATION WITH THE [] AUTHORITIES REDUCED THE RISK CONSIDERABLY.

B. HE ASKED IF A LARGE SCALE ACTION TYPE PROGRAM COORDINATED WITH THE [] SUCH AS MIGHT BE INVOLVED IN [] WOULD BE CLEARED WITH THE AMBASSADOR. AFTER HE HAD REPHRASED THE QUESTION TO LEAVE OUT ANY MENTION OF [] COS STATED THAT HE WOULD SEEK AUTHORITY FROM WASHINGTON TO INFORM THE AMBASSADOR OF ANY SUCH SITUATION.

C. HE ASKED IF COS WOULD APPROACH THE [] DIRECTLY OR THROUGH [] IN ORDER TO COORDINATE SUCH A PROGRAM. COS REPLIED THAT NORMALLY HE WOULD GO DIRECTLY TO [] AND THAT THEY WOULD INSURE COORDINATION WITH THE []

D. HE ASKED IF [] HAD TO CLEAR BOTH INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION AND ACTION OPERATIONS WITH THE [] AND THE [] AMBASSADOR IN THE FIELD. COS REPLIED THAT ACTION OPERATIONS HAD TO BE CLEARED WITH THE [] BUT THAT HE IS NOT SURE AS TO HOW MUCH COORDINATION WAS REQUIRED ON

S E C R E T

CABLE REC DISSEM BY

PER

TOTAL COPIES

RUN

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 389706

EIA620

PAGE 03

IN 716119

TOR

66194

COLLECTION OPERATION. [] WILL UNDOUBTEDLY PURSUE THIS POINT IN HIS MEETING THIS AFTERNOON WITH [] AND [] AND WE WILL REPORT ANY PLAYBACK WE GET. HE ASKED WHETHER IN COS'S JUDGMENT IT WOULD BE AN IMPROVEMENT ON PRESENT PROCEDURES FOR THE STATE DEPARTMENT TO REQUIRE PRIOR CLEARANCE WITH OUR AMBASSADORS OF ALL INTELLIGENCE AND ACTION OPERATIONS. COS REPLIED THAT IF ALL THE AMBASSADORS WERE AS ABLE AND AS INFORMED AS THIS ONE AND SOME OTHERS HE HAD KNOWN, THAT THIS MIGHT WORK. THE PROBLEM WAS THAT MANY AMBASSADORS HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OR ACQUAINTANCE WITH THE INTELLIGENCE FIELD AND NO BASIS FOR MAKING INFORMED JUDGMENTS, AND THE RESULT, THEREFORE, OF SUCH A RULE MIGHT BE TO SERIOUSLY INHIBIT AND PREVENT MANY OPERATIONS THAT SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN.

E. IN ANSWER TO ANOTHER QUESTION, COS CONFIRMED THAT BOTH THE AMBASSADOR AND THE []

3. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE DISCUSSIONS, COS TRIED TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING POINTS:

A. THE [] WERE SERIOUSLY CONCERNED BY THE LEAKS AND

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY
ISSUING OFFICE IS

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION # _____

1

2

3

4

5

6

T 389706

EIA620

PAGE 04

IN 716119

TOR: _____

6615

CONTINUING PUBLICITY IN WASHINGTON. THE [] BEEN REASSURED BY US THAT WE WOULD PROTECT THE [] AND THE PRODUCT, AND TO DATE THERE HAD BEEN NO DIMINUTION OF THE INTELLIGENCE THEY WERE PREPARED TO GIVE US. [] SAID HE WOULD ALSO REASSURE [] AND [] ON THIS POINT AS FAR AS THE [] IS CONCERNED.

B. COS POINTED OUT [] ENJOYED AN ENVIABLE DEGREE OF PROTECTION AS A RESULT OF [] THE ABSENCE OF [] AND THE VERY EFFECTIVE COVER PROVIDED BY THE [] REPRESENTATIVES SERVICING OVERSEAS. COS TOLD [] THAT ONE OF THE USEFUL THINGS THE [] MIGHT DO WOULD BE TO CALL FOR NEW LEGISLATION THAT MIGHT OFFER SOME PROTECTION SIMILAR TO THE KIND THAT THE [] ENJOY. [] SAID THAT [] WAS IN FACT LOOKING INTO THIS PROBLEM.

C. COS REVIEWED THE PUBLIC EVIDENCE AVAILABLE AS TO WHAT PHIL AGEE HAS BEEN UP TO AND POINTED OUT THE KIND OF DAMAGE THAT CAN BE DONE IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY EFFECTIVE SANCTIONS. COS DUCKED A QUESTION FROM [] AS TO HOW MUCH

S E C R E T

CABLE REC DISSEM BY

PE

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTH
ISSUING OFFICE IS PRO

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/NOTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 389706

EIA620

PAGE 05-05

IN 716119

TOR

66194

COVERAGE ON AGEE

4. IN CONCLUSION, COS STATED THAT WE HAD EVIDENCE THAT THE CONTINUING PUBLICITY IN WASHINGTON IS BEGINNING TO AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO RECRUIT AND MAINTAIN OUR AGENTS. [] REPLIED THAT IF SECSTATE KISSINGER HAD BEEN WILLING TO PROVIDE THE CHURCH COMMITTEE WITH THE INFORMATION THEY REQUESTED THE HEARINGS WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER IN SEPTEMBER. HE SPECULATED THAT THE WAY THINGS WERE NOW GOING, THE CHURCH COMMITTEE WOULD FINISH UP NEXT FEBRUARY. THE ENTIRE MEETING WAS CARRIED OUT IN A COURTEOUS TONE AND NO CONFRONTATIONS OCCURRED. E2 IMPDET

S E C R E T

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS ROUTING SHEET

TO:

	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIALS
1 DDO				
2 ADDO				
3 C/PLANS				
4 C/OPS				
5 C/SS				
6 C/CCS				
7 C/CT				
8 C/AF				
9 C/DCD				
10 C/DIVD				
11 C/EA				
12 C/EUR				
13 C/FR				
14 C/NE				
15 C/SE				
16 C/LA				
17 SA/DDO Mr. Walker				
CT-5				

SUSPENSE DATE

REMARKS:

17 OCT 75 16 16

File in my personal file

SIGNATURE

EA/DDO

DATE

17 OCT. 75

FORM 3671 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

(40)

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

ROUTING SHEET

TO:

	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIALS
1 DDO				
2 ADDO				
3 C/PLANS				
4 C/OPS				
5 C/SS				
6 C/CCS				
7 C/CI				
8 C/AF				
9 C/DCD				
10 C/DIVD				
11 C/EA				
12 C/EUR				
13 C/FR				
14 C/NE				
15 C/SE				
16 C/LA				
17 SA/DDO Mr. Walker CT-5				

SUSPENSE DATE _____

REMARKS:

17 OCT 75 16 16

file in my
Director's travel
file

SIGNATURE

DATE

EA/DDO

17 OCT 75

S E C R E T [] STAFF

CITE [] 43387

IN-716059

TO: PRIORITY DIRECTOR.

RYBAT

REF: DIRECTOR 752556

1. MR. [] OF [] BRIEFED BY

[] FOR TWO HOURS MORNING []

[] HAD LUNCH WITH [] AND NEWLY ARRIVED ARMY ATTACHE.

[] HE MET FOR OVER HOUR WITH AMBASSADOR

[] AFTERNOON [] BEFORE DEPARTING FOR []

2. LARGE PART OF MORNING BRIEFING DEVOTED TO MILITARY INTELLIGENCE AFFAIRS IN [] [] ASKED FOR DEFINITION OF HOW AND TO WHAT EXTENT COS SUPERVISED MILITARY INTELLIGENCE EFFORT WHICH EXPLAINED TO HIM IN SOME DETAIL. [] ASKED NUMBER QUESTIONS ABOUT COORDINATION PROCESS AND HOW THIS IMPLEMENTED. HE WANTED KNOW IF THE COORDINATION PROCESS EXTENDED TO A REVIEW OF REQUIREMENTS AND OF THE VALUE OF MILITARY COLLECTION ACTIVITIES AS REFLECTED IN ITS PRODUCT AND TOLD THAT OUR COORDINATION OF MILITARY ACTIVITIES GENERALLY CONFINED TO INSURING THAT MILITARY TRADECRAFT MET OUR STANDARDS, AND THAT POLITICAL RISKS ACCEPTABLE; IN PRACTICE, WE DID NOT REVIEW PRODUCTION OF MILITARY OPERATIONS. WE MENTIONED THAT MILITARY COLLECTION IN RECENT YEARS WAS ON RELATIVELY MODEST SCALE AND THAT THERE HAD BEEN NO FLAPS IN RECENT YEARS.

3. MR. [] SAID THAT THE [] HAD BEEN SURPRISED TO FIND THAT MILITARY WAS ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN COLLECTION ACTIVITY SINCE THIS NOT COVERED IN ANY LEGISLATION, BUT ACKNOWLEDGED THAT SUCH ACTIVITY AUTHORIZED IN NONSKIDS. [] MADE POINT THAT MILITARY IN [] STRUCTURED ALONG COMBAT INTELLIGENCE LINES AND IN EFFECT ENGAGED IN MILITARY COLLECTION OF TACTICAL NATURE AS TRAINING EXERCISE APPROPRIATE TO THEIR POTENTIAL WARTIME MISSION. MR. [] SEVERAL TIMES SUGGESTED MILITARY OPS AND PERSONNEL COULD BE ASSIGNED TO CIA OPERATIONAL

CONTROL IN FIELD. WE OPINED THIS IS TO SOME EXTENT DIRECTION IN WHICH COORDINATION HAS TRENDED OVER THE YEARS BUT LOCAL COMMANDERS PROBABLY FEEL HIS CONTROL UNDERMINED THEREBY.

4. TURNING TO BKHERALD OPS, MR. [REDACTED] SAID HE RECALLED FROM WASHINGTON BRIEFING THAT [REDACTED] STATION HAD SIZEABLE ALLOTMENT FOR OFFICER ENGAGED IN POLITICAL COVERT ACTION ON REGIONAL BASIS. WE EXPLAINED THAT MONIES IN THIS PROJECT ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY FOR SALARY AND EXTENSIVE TRAVEL OF OFFICER [REDACTED] NOT MENTIONED BY NAME) AND NOT USED FOR AGENT PAYMENT OR OTHER PURPOSES. THIS SEEMED TO SATISFY [REDACTED]

5. HE ASKED NUMBER QUESTIONS ABOUT "FANCY" POLITICAL SOURCES, ALONG LINE THAT THESE COULD PERHAPS BE HANDLED AS NORMAL DIPLOMATIC CONTACTS AND ASKED WHETHER THESE CONTACTS DID NOT REPRESENT HIGH RISK IF EXPOSED. [REDACTED] EXPLAINED AT SOME LENGTH THAT THESE SOURCES VERY FEW IN NUMBER, AND WERE PRODUCT OF SEVERAL FACTORS, INCLUDING MANY YEARS CONTACT WITH SPECIFIC CIA OFFICERS, MAKING POINT THAT AGENTS' CONFIDENCE IN CIA BASED ON MANY YEARS ASSOCIATION. WE ESTIMATED FLAP POTENTIAL SLIGHT THESE LONG-STANDING CONTACTS, WHICH COULD BE EXPLAINED AS OLD FRIENDSHIPS. FOR REASON THAT THEY WERE, IN FACT SUCH, THESE RELATIONSHIPS COULD NOT BE TRANSFERRED TO STATE DEPARTMENT OFFICERS. WE MENTIONED THAT SOME OF THE LOWER-LEVEL DEVELOPMENTAL OPERATIONS ACTUALLY REPRESENTED HIGHER RISK AND CITED GENERAL OUTLINE OF "HILTON AFFAIR" (WITHOUT NAMES) AS EXAMPLE HOW DEVELOPMENTAL CASE COULD BE TROUBLESOME. EMPHASIZED THERE HAD BEEN FEW OF THESE OVER YEARS.

6. [REDACTED] ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT [REDACTED] REACTION IN EVENT OUR INCOMPATIBLE SOURCES EXPOSED. WE RESPONDED THAT IN GENERAL [REDACTED] WERE NOT INTERESTED IN EMBARRASSING US AND THAT THIS KEY ELEMENT, PLUS FACTOR THAT WE HANDLED ALL INCOMPTABLE ACTIVITY VERY CAREFULLY, LED US TO CONCLUSION THAT WE WERE NOT RUNNING RISK MAJOR EMBARRASSMENT BY CONDUCTING LIMITED NUMBER OF THESE OPERATIONS. POINTED OUT THAT A CERTAIN NUMBER OF THESE OPERATIONS ESSENTIAL TO PROVIDE COVERAGE THOSE AREAS IN [REDACTED] AND SOME [REDACTED] FIELDS WHERE [REDACTED] NOT COMPLETELY CANDID WITH US EMBASSY RE THEIR ACTIVITIES.

PAGE 3 [REDACTED] 43387 SECRET

7. MR. [REDACTED] ASKED ABOUT EXTENT WE KEPT AMBASSADOR INFORMED ON OUR ACTIVITIES IN [REDACTED] FIELD. WE REPLIED THAT AMBASSADOR SAW VIRTUALLY ALL REPORTING AND THEREFORE KNEW WHERE SOURCES LOCATED BUT THAT AS RULE WE DID NOT CLEAR WITH HIM ON INDIVIDUAL CONTACTS, MAKING REFERENCE TO "SOURCES AND METHODS" PROVISION OF OUR REGULATION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS. POINTED OUT THAT EACH CASE TREATED SEPARATELY BUT THAT AMBASSADOR AND EMBASSY/CONSULATES HAVE NOT HAD INTEREST IN DEVELOPMENTAL CONTACT WITH YOUNGER POLITICAL FIGURES OUTSIDE [REDACTED] AREA. ON OTHER HAND HE CLEARLY QUITE CONCERNED WITH FOREIGN MINISTRY WITH RESULT THAT WE GENERALLY ASSUMED HANDS-OFF ATTITUDE ON FONMIN.

8. MR. [REDACTED] INQUIRED RE STATION CASE OFFICER STRENGTH AND GIVEN FIGURES CITED IN POSITION REPORT DATED OCTOBER 74 (FORWARDED HQS BY TM DATED 25 SEPT 74.) WE EXPLAINED THAT BREAKDOWN SOMEWHAT ARBITRARY AND SAID THAT FOR NUMBER OFFICERS (THOSE ENGAGED IN LIAISON, SUPPORT, ANALYSIS, FOR INSTANCE) IT NOT ALWAYS EASY TO CATEGORIZE THEIR EFFORTS.

9. HE PROBED IN SOME DETAIL ON OUR [REDACTED] STRENGTH AND WE ANSWERED CANDIDLY. MR. [REDACTED] SEEMED SURPRISED AT SMALL NUMBERS [REDACTED] OFFICERS IN STATION AND SAID HAD HEARD OPINION FROM SOME CIA OFFICERS THAT [REDACTED] PREFERABLE TO [REDACTED]. WE SAID FELT THIS DEPENDENT ON LOCATION AND CIRCUMSTANCES AND THAT HERE IN [REDACTED] READILY AVAILABLE, GENERALLY QUITE SATISFACTORY AND COST CONSIDERABLY LESS THAN [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] ASKED SEVERAL QUESTIONS RE REASONS WE WOULD PUT OFFICER IN [REDACTED] UNDER [REDACTED]. WE EXPLAINED [REDACTED] PRIMARILY USED FOR PERSONNEL WE PLANNED KEEP ASSIGNED [REDACTED] SEVERAL YEARS AND WHERE [REDACTED] PROBABLY MORE SECURE AND FOR INSTANCES WHERE IT PROVIDED BETTER ACCESS. WHEN HE PUSHED FOR FURTHER EXAMPLES WE MENTIONED HAD RECENTLY CONSIDERED PUTTING OFFICER UNDER [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED] WHERE OFFICIAL CHINESE [REDACTED] SIGNIFICANT [REDACTED] REPRESENTED BUT THAT THIS PLAN DROPPED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REASONS. WE MADE NO MENTION USE [REDACTED] AND DID NOT IDENTIFY ANY [REDACTED] BY NAME. [REDACTED]

10. [REDACTED] ASKED [REDACTED] WHICH OF WHAT [REDACTED] ENVISAGED AS THREE PRINCIPAL DUTIES TOOK MOST TIME. [REDACTED] OUTLINED COS DUTIES AS MANAGEMENT OF STATION, [REDACTED] AND COORDINATION OF MILITARY INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. [REDACTED] SAID MANAGEMENT OF

3-00000
PAGE 4 [] 43387 S E C R E T

STATION CLEARLY REQUIRED MOST TIME AND FURTHER THAT WITHIN STATION,
THE EFFORT AGAINST THE HARD TARGETS CONSUMED THE MAJOR PART OF HIS
TIME AND EFFORT.

11. AMBASSADOR BRIEFED ON OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH [] PRIOR
TO HIS SESSION WITH HIM. E2 IMPDET.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEMINATION BY

ACTION BY OTHER THAN
OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY 17 19 40 2

STAFF **PREFERENCE**

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE

VR

CS/RE DCI (REVIEW STAFF)

1
2
35
6C/EUR6
ACTION #

4/19/81 DDO OLC ICS

T 389723

EIA645

PAGE 01

IN 716124

TOR:

43389

S E C R E T [] STAFF

CITE [] 43389

TO: PRIORITY DIRECTOR.

RYBAT

REF [] 43387 (IW 716059)

1. AMBASSADOR BRIEFED COS AFTERNOON [] ON HIS HOUR AND ONE-HALF SESSION WITH MR. [] DISCUSSION COVERED THREE MAIN TOPICS: (A) RISKS AND NEED FOR "FANCY POLITICAL SOURCES" IN [] (B) COVERT ACTION OPERATIONS; AND (C) DEGREE TO WHICH AMBASSADOR COGNIZANT OF STATION ACTIVITIES.

R 2. ON POINT ¹MA. AMBASSADOR STATED THAT MOST STATION POLITICAL SOURCES WERE OF LONG-STANDING AND COMMENCED IN THE EARLY [] THEY WERE BASED ON PERSONAL FRIENDSHIPS AND UNIQUE CHARACTER OF THE EARLY [] AND WERE IN HIS BELIEF LIKELY TO PROVE IRREPLACEABLE. THAT IS, AMBASSADOR OPINED THAT SIMILAR CLOSE ASSOCIATIONS COULD NOT BE ESTABLISHED BY STATION IN TODAY'S POLITICAL CLIMATE AND IMPLIED THAT HE EXPECTED COVERAGE TO GRADUALLY WITHER AWAY. BECAUSE OF THE ORIGIN AND NATURE OF THE RELATIONSHIPS, AMBASSADOR BELIEVED RISK WAS VERY LOW AND STATED THAT.

S E C R E T

WEN
DH3
Para 5

MFG. 2/75

TABLE SEC DISSEM BY

TOTAL COPIES

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

BY AIR MAIL
INITIALS OF OFFICER

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

J

REF FILE VR

N

F

ACTION #

O

T 389723

EIA645

PAGE 02

IN 716124

TOR: 43389

THE COVERAGE PROVIDED BY THESE SOURCES WAS OF DEFINITE VALUE SINCE THE INFORMATION WAS NOT OBTAINED BY OFFICIAL EMBASSY CONTACTS.

3. REGARDING PARA 1.B., AMBASSADOR CONFIRMED TO THAT IT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING THAT STATION WAS NO LONGER INVOLVED IN LARGE-SCALE COVERT ACTION OPERATIONS AND THAT HE ASSUMED EXPLANATION PER PARA 4 REF WAS IN ACCORD WITH FACTS. COS EMPHATICALLY ASSURED THE AMBASSADOR THAT THERE WERE NO COVERT ACTION FUNDING OPERATIONS BEING UNDERTAKEN BY THE STATION, AND THAT WE HAD BEEN MYSTIFIED BY MR. REFERENCE TO THE LARGE BUDGET FOR SUCH ACTIVITIES BUT HAD CONCLUDED THAT IT MUST APPLY TO SALARY AND EXPENSES OF WITH WHICH SEEMED TO AGREE.

4. WITH RESPECT TO THE AMBASSADOR'S KNOWLEDGE OF SPECIFICS OF STATION ACTIVITY, THE AMBASSADOR STATED FIRST THAT HE OF COURSE "HAD A PRETTY GOOD IDEA" ABOUT THE IDENTITY OF SOME OF OUR SOURCES BUT HAD NOT BEEN SPECIFICALLY ADVISED OF THE IDENTITIES OF ANY OF THEM, HE FOUND THE SITUATION ACCEPTABLE HOWEVER, FIRST BECAUSE HE HAD BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH COS PERSONALLY FOR THE LAST TWENTY YEARS AND HAD CONFIDENCE THAT

S E C R E T

COPIES DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF.	FILE	VR.	1
					2
ACTION #					3
					4
					5
					6
T 389723		EIA645		PAGE 03	
		TOR: _____		IN 716124	
				43389	

COS WOULD ADVISE HIM OF ANYTHING HE OUGHT TO KNOW. LOOKING BACK ON THE RECORD OF THE PAST TWENTY-FIVE YEARS, THE AMBASSADOR SAID HE CONCLUDES THAT IN FACT THE AMBASSADORS IN _____ HAD BEEN KEPT APPROPRIATELY ADVISED AND THE ABSENCE OF FLAPS WOULD SUBSTANTIATE THE JUDGMENT EXERCISED BY THE RESPECTIVE STATION CHIEFS OVER THE YEARS.

5. MR. _____ DISCUSSED AT SOME LENGTH WITH THE AMBASSADOR THE FEASIBILITY OF CHARGING THE AMBASSADOR WITH GREATER DIRECT RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUPERVISION OF THE CLANDESTINE EFFORT. AMBASSADOR STATED THAT IF IT WERE ASSIGNED TO HIM AS A MISSION HE WOULD MANIFESTLY HAVE TO DISCHARGE IT AND WOULD AS HE SAW IT PROBABLY NEED A STAFF OF AT LEAST TWO COMPETENT OFFICERS TO CARRY OUT THE PRACTICAL STAFF WORK ON HIS BEHALF. MR. _____ THEN RAISED THE QUESTION OF THE BRITISH SYSTEM ABOUT WHICH THE AMBASSADOR MADE THE PERTINENT OBSERVATION THAT IT WAS A QUESTION FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO DECIDE. IF IT WAS DECIDED TO SUBORDINATE CLANDESTINE INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS IN THE FIELD DIRECTLY TO THE

AMBASSADOR, ANY APPROPRIATE ARRANGEMENT COULD AND WOULD BE MADE. THE AMBASSADOR WAS LEFT WITH THE IMPRESSION BY MR. _____ THAT AT LEAST A NUMBER OF THE MEMBERS OF THE _____ ARE

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

SECRETREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADDITIONAL COPIES REQUIRED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR. REF.

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 389723

EIA645

PAGE 04-04

IN 716124

SECRET

TORI

43389

GIVING SERIOUS CONSIDERATION TO THE BRITISH SYSTEM AS A MEANS OF
INVESTING AMBASSADORS WITH DIRECT AUTHORITY OVER CLANDESTINE
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. E2 IMPDET.

SECRET

ST
1
2
3

FILE

SECRET

085983 EIA898 PAGE 01 IN 715761

TOP [] 43369

SECRET 161302Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 43369

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLKMBALL

1. MR. [] MADE FOLLOWING COMMENTS AT LUNCHEON []

SAID HE WAS []

[]

[] SAID

HE FELT COMMITTEE WOULD CONCLUDE ITS WORK IN FEBRUARY AS CURRENTLY SCHEDULED.

2. [] SAID IT HIS OPINION THAT AS CONCERNED WRONGDOINGS CIA'S RECORD WAS PROBABLY BETTER THAN SOME OF THE OTHER INDEPENDENT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. HE MENTIONED THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IN THIS CONTEXT. HE SAID IF WE FELT THAT CIA HAVING DIFFICULT TIME WITH CHURCH COMMITTEE WE SHOULD WAIT AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS TO FBI WHO WERE "GOING TO HAVE THEIR CLOCKS CLEANED." SAID FBI HAD GONE 50 YEARS WITHOUT REVISION AND VAST AMOUNT OF WRONGDOING HAD RESULTED FROM THIS SITUATION.

3. ALSO OF INTEREST []

[] WHO WAS PRESENT DURING [] SESSIONS WITH ARMY

SECRET

*Recommend dissemination
to D/OLC & DCI (Review)

BLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

VANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

TION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

TION #

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 388983

EIA898

PAGE 02-02

IN 715761

TOR

43369

COMPONENTS, INFORMED US 15 OCTOBER THAT HIS IMPRESSION BASED ON
TALKS WITH MR. [] LATTER WOULD LIKE SEE CIA TAKE OVER POSITIVE
COLLECTION ELEMENT OF THE [] MR. [] MADE SIMILAR
COMMENTS TO US, AS WE REPORTING SEPARATELY. E2 IMPDET.

PER

EUK 30

TOTAL COPIES

6-5

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION
ISSUING OFFICE

UNIT NOTIFIED

ORIGINAL COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

LINK UNIT

RF

FILE

VR

DDO

ACTION #

I
N
F
O

EYES ONLY

T 389706

EIA620

PAGE 01

IN 716119

TOR

66194

S E C R E T 161650Z OCT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 66194

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT PLKMBALL

REF A. DIRECTOR 752556

B. DIRECTOR 754586

1. AT [] REQUEST, COS BRIEFED HIM FOR AN HOUR AND FIFTEEN MINUTES ON THE AFTERNOON OF 16 OCT. IN RESPONSE TO HIS QUESTIONS, COS BRIEFED HIM IN GENERAL TERMS ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE [] AND THE FUNCTIONING OF THE [] COS ALSO DESCRIBED, WITHOUT OPERATIONAL DETAIL, THE INTERFACE WITH THE [] AND THE FUNCTIONS OF THE STATION AND OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF THE EMBASSY. [] DID NOT ASK FOR FINANCIAL DETAILS OR PERSONNEL FIGURES.

2. IN A WIDE RANGING DISCUSSION AFTER THIS BRIEFING,

[] PURSUED THE FOLLOWING MAIN LINES OF QUESTIONING:

A. HE ASKED IF A RECRUITMENT ATTEMPT COORDINATED WITH THE [] WOULD BE CLEARED WITH THE AMBASSADOR, COS REPLIED THAT THIS COULD BE A MATTER OF JUDGMENT, BUT CERTAINLY

S E C R E T

Copy to Walker

WEN

ACTION		I		EP		VR		STAFF	
		N						1	
		P						2	
		O						3	

T 389706 EIA622 PAGE 02 IN 716119

TOR: [REDACTED] 66194

IF THERE WAS ANY POLITICAL RISK OR SENSITIVITY HE WOULD PROBABLY ALERT THE AMBASSADOR. COS POINTED OUT THE FACT OF COORDINATION WITH THE [REDACTED] AUTHORITIES REDUCED THE RISK CONSIDERABLY.

B. HE ASKED IF A LARGE SCALE ACTION TYPE PROGRAM COORDINATED WITH THE [REDACTED] SUCH AS MIGHT BE INVOLVED IN [REDACTED] WOULD BE CLEARED WITH THE AMBASSADOR. AFTER HE HAD REPHRASED THE QUESTION TO LEAVE OUT ANY MENTION OF [REDACTED], COS STATED THAT HE WOULD SEEK AUTHORITY FROM WASHINGTON TO INFORM THE AMBASSADOR OF ANY SUCH SITUATION.

C. HE ASKED IF COS WOULD APPROACH THE [REDACTED] DIRECTLY OR THROUGH [REDACTED] IN ORDER TO COORDINATE SUCH A PROGRAM. COS REPLIED THAT NORMALLY HE WOULD GO DIRECTLY TO [REDACTED] AND THAT THEY WOULD INSURE COORDINATION WITH THE [REDACTED] OFFICE.

D. HE ASKED IF [REDACTED] HAD TO CLEAR BOTH INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION AND ACTION OPERATIONS WITH THE [REDACTED] AND THE [REDACTED] AMBASSADOR IN THE FIELD. COS REPLIED THAT ACTION OPERATIONS HAD TO BE CLEARED WITH THE [REDACTED] BUT THAT HE IS NOT SURE AS TO HOW MUCH COORDINATION WAS REQUIRED ON

S E C R E T

DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		RL	AY	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			SECRET				
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED							
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED						STAFF	
ACTION UNIT		BY	AT	Z			
ACTION #	I	RF. FILE. VR.				1	4
	N					2	5
	F					3	6
O							
T 389706		EIA620		PAGE 03		IN 716119	
TOR:						66194	

COLLECTION OPERATION, [] WILL UNDOUBTEDLY PURSUE THIS POINT IN HIS MEETING THIS AFTERNOON WITH [] AND [] AND WE WILL REPORT ANY PLAYBACK WE GET. HE ASKED WHETHER IN COS'S JUDGMENT IT WOULD BE AN IMPROVEMENT ON PRESENT PROCEDURES FOR THE STATE DEPARTMENT TO REQUIRE PRIOR CLEARANCE WITH OUR AMBASSADORS OF ALL INTELLIGENCE AND ACTION OPERATIONS. COS REPLIED THAT IF ALL THE AMBASSADORS WERE AS ABLE AND AS INFORMED AS THIS ONE AND SOME OTHERS HE HAD KNOWN, THAT THIS MIGHT WORK. THE PROBLEM WAS THAT MANY AMBASSADORS HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OR ACQUAINTANCE WITH THE INTELLIGENCE FIELD AND NO BASIS FOR MAKING INFORMED JUDGMENTS, AND THE RESULT, THEREFORE, OF SUCH A RULE MIGHT BE TO SERIOUSLY INHIBIT AND PREVENT MANY OPERATIONS THAT SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN.

E. IN ANSWER TO ANOTHER QUESTION, COS CONFIRMED THAT BOTH THE AMBASSADOR AND THE []

[]

[]

3. AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE DISCUSSIONS, COS TRIED TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING POINTS:

THE [] WERE SERIOUSLY CONCERNED BY THE LEAKS AND

SECRET

TOTAL PAGES		RUN BY		REPRODUCTION BY	
10025 S E C R E T				ISSUING OFFICE	
WRITTEN BY		AT		Z	
FILE		VR		DATE	
ACTION					
				STAFF	
				1	4
				2	5
				3	5
T 369701		EIA620		PAGE 04	
				IN 716119	
TOR				6619	

CONTINUING PUBLICITY IN WASHINGTON. THE [] BEEN REASSURED BY US THAT WE WOULD PROTECT THE [] AND THE PRODUCT, AND TO DATE THERE HAD BEEN NO DIMINUTION OF THE INTELLIGENCE THEY WERE PREPARED TO GIVE US. [] SAID HE WOULD ALSO REASSURE [] AND [] ON THIS POINT AS FAR AS THE [] IS CONCERNED.

B. COS POINTED OUT [] ENJOYED AN ENVIABLE DEGREE OF PROTECTION AS A RESULT OF THE [] THE ABSENCE OF PARLIAMENTARY INVESTIGATIONS AND THE VERY EFFECTIVE [] FOR [] REPRESENTATIVES SERVING OVERSEAS. COS TOLD [] THAT ONE OF THE USEFUL THINGS THE [] MIGHT DO WOULD BE TO CALL FOR NEW LEGISLATION THAT MIGHT OFFER SOME PROTECTION SIMILAR TO THE KIND THAT THE [] ENJOY. [] SAID THAT [] WAS IN FACT LOOKING INTO THIS PROBLEM.

C. COS REVIEWED THE PUBLIC EVIDENCE AVAILABLE AS TO WHAT PHIL AGEE HAS BEEN UP TO AND POINTED OUT THE KIND OF DAMAGE THAT CAN BE DONE IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY EFFECTIVE SANCTIONS. COS DUCKED A QUESTION FROM [] AS TO HOW MUCH

S E C R E T

DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RI _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
					3
ACTION #					4
					5
					6
T 389706		EIA620		PAGE 05-05	
				IN 716119	
TOR1161931Z OCT 75				66194	

COVERAGE ON AGEE [] []

4. IN CONCLUSION, COS STATED THAT WE HAD EVIDENCE THAT THE CONTINUING PUBLICITY IN WASHINGTON IS BEGINNING TO AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO RECRUIT AND MAINTAIN OUR AGENTS. [] REPLIED THAT IF SECSTATE KISSINGER HAD BEEN WILLING TO PROVIDE THE CHURCH COMMITTEE WITH THE INFORMATION THEY REQUESTED THE HEARINGS WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER IN SEPTEMBER. HE SPECULATED THAT THE WAY THINGS WERE NOW GOING, THE CHURCH COMMITTEE WOULD FINISH UP NEXT FEBRUARY. THE ENTIRE MEETING WAS CARRIED OUT IN A COURTEOUS TONE AND NO CONFRONTATIONS OCCURRED. E2 IMPDET

6.0875 1658

Review Staff: 75/3149/aDate 6 October 1975*Marge File*

TO : CIA Task Force

FROM : The Review Staff, Walter Elder

SUBJECT : SSC/HSC Request

RECEIVED: Date 3 October Time 1905

The attached letter requests an orientation briefing for [redacted] who is travelling again this time to [redacted]

Comments:

*1st Meeting - Briefing
on 8 Oct 1975 by Det Elder & Fendig*

2nd Briefing - 10 Oct 75 by Fendig

	Action	Info
SC/DCI		X
A/DDA		X
A/DDI		X
A/DDO	<i>SS/H</i>	
A/DDS&T		X
OGC		X
OLC		X
IG		X
B. Evans		X
SA/DDO	<i>✓</i>	

75-3149

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARTZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

October 5, 1975

[REDACTED]
Assistant to the Director
Room 6D0120
Central Intelligence Agency
Langley, Virginia

Dear Mr. Bolten:

This letter is to request an orientation briefing for a visit to the Federal Republic of Germany which a member of the Select Committee staff, David Aaron, has been asked to make this month.

Although plans are not yet precise, it is expected that he will be in Geneva Sunday, October 12; in the Federal Republic of Germany October 13-15; and in London October 16 and 17.

The primary purpose of this travel is to discuss [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] In Geneva, Mr. Aaron plans to interview Ambassador U. Alexis Johnson on the broad issue of the role of the Department of State in the control of intelligence activities.

Sincerely,

Bill

William G. Miller

copy to Mr. Hyland, State Department

52. H. 50 2 8 100

FRANK MURPHY, IDAHO, C. IAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE MAN
PHILIP A. HART, MICH. HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MICH. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. CHARLES MCC. MATTHIAS, JR., MD.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.
GARY HART, COLO.
WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

October 3, 1975

REVIEW STAFF

75-3150

Mr. William G. Hyland
Director
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Mr. Hyland:

This letter is to request an orientation briefing for a visit to the Federal Republic of Germany which a member of the Select Committee staff, David Aaron, has been asked to make this month.

Although the plans are not yet precise, it is expected that he will be in Geneva Sunday, October 12; in the Federal Republic of Germany October 13-15; and in London October 16 and 17.

The primary purpose of this travel is to discuss [redacted]

[redacted] In Geneva, Mr. Aaron plans to interview Ambassador U. Alexis Johnson on the broad issue of the role of the Department of State in the control of intelligence activities.

Sincerely,

William G. Miller

copy to [redacted] CIA

52.11.50 2 8 130

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

John Waller
2B-1415

EXTENSION

9135
9018

NO.

DATE

26 September 1975

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

*Mr. Rogers**10/6**WC*

2.

John Waller
File

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Notes:
Attached is
worth reading.
I report on a
trip of Daniel
Laron and Bill
Trichard (both S&C)
to Latin America.
Notes page 18,
BRIL 24723.

Thanks!
m

Signature

7 OCT 75 14 50

SEC DISSEM BY g PER LA 3 (E.O.) TOTAL COPIES 6-9 RUN L.
 UNIT NOTIFIED SECRET

28
 REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
 ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ISSUE CO. LA 3 SLOTTED BY AT Z
 CTDS UNIT RF FILE VR DDO
 N F O
EYES ONLY

STAFF

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 333576

EIA022

PAGE 01

IN 695650

TOR1242029Z SEP 75

21403

SECRET 241945Z SEP 75 STAFF

CITE 21403 SECTION 1 ⁷⁸² OF 2TO: IMMEDIATE INFO DIRECTOR.NACT

RYBAT PLVWCADET

1. COS HAD TWO-HOUR SESSION WITH TRUEHART AND AARON LATE MORNING 24 SEPTEMBER, GENERAL IMPRESSION IS THAT BOTH WERE BUSINESS-LIKE; QUESTIONS WERE INCISIVE AND DESIGNED TO ASCERTAIN HOW A STATION FUNCTIONS IN AN EMBASSY, PARTICULARLY VIS-A-VIS THE AMBASSADOR, RATHER THAN HOW STATION IS FUNCTIONING IN HENCE MANY QUESTIONS WERE HIGHLY HYPOTHETICAL. MOST IMPORTANT IMPRESSION WAS THAT FORMER AMBASSADOR TRUEHART (WHOM COS HAD MET IN YEARS AGO) FEELS THAT AN AMBASSADOR SHOULD BE FAR MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT ALL REPEAT ALL STATION OPERATIONS IN ORDER TO JUDGE POSSIBLE "FLAP POTENTIAL".

2. COS DID NOT SHOW OD TO VISITORS SINCE THEY DID NOT ASK TO READ IT BUT INSTEAD READ PORTIONS OF IT TO THEM AND DISCUSSED IT WITH THEM.

3. THEY ASKED INITIALLY WHAT THE AMBASSADORS INJUNCTIONS WERE WITH RESPECT TO PROHIBITED OPERATIONS, COS SAID

SECRET

BLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN _____

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

ACTION UNIT

1.

RF

FILE

VR

ACTION #

N

F

O

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 333576

EIA022

PAGE 02

IN 695650

TOR:242029Z SEP 75

21403

AMBASSADOR DOES NOT WANT COVERT OPERATIONS OF A POLITICAL NATURE IN [] WITHOUT HIS PRIOR APPROVAL NOR DOES HE WANT US TO MOUNT "ELECTRONIC" OPERATIONS WITHOUT APPROVAL. COS OPINED THIS LATTER INJUNCTION MEANT UNILATERAL EFFORTS. AARON ASKED IF WE HAD ON-GOING [] COS SAID WE DID HAVE SOME BUT THESE WERE OF ROUTINE NATURE. AARON PURSUED MATTER, ASKED IF AMBASSADOR WAS FULL Y AWARE OF THIS TO WHICH COS RESPONDED THAT HE PRESUMED SO. COS ADDED HE HAD NOT SPECIFICALLY COVERED THIS POINT WITH THE AMBASSADOR SINCE COS ARRIVAL HERE LESS THAN ONE MONTH AGO.

4. BOTH AARON AND TRUEHART QUESTIONED AT LENGTH WHAT KIND OF THINGS WE COORDINATE WITH THE AMBASSADOR AND IN WHAT DEPTH PRIOR TO INITIATION OF AN OPERATION. WHAT KIND OF AGENTS WOULD WE IDENTIFY BY NAME TO THE AMBASSADOR? COS SAID HE WOULD BE INCLINED TO REVEAL TO THE AMBASSADOR THE NAME OF ANY SENIOR GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL WITH WHOM THE AMBASSADOR WAS DEALING OFFICIALLY AND INTIMATELY, BUT THIS WOULD IN LARGE PART DEPEND ON THE AMBASSADORS WISHES HIMSELF. WOULD WE INFORM THE POLITICAL SECTION CHIEF OF THE IDENTITY OF ONE OF OUR AGENTS WHOM THE POLITICAL OFFICER WAS TRYING TO DEVELOP?

S E C R E T

ADLER SEC DISSEM BY

PAR

TOTAL COPIES

RU:

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 333576

EIA022

PAGE 03

IN 695650

TOR:242029Z SEP 75

21403

COS ANSWERED WE WOULD FIRST INSTRUCT THE AGENT HOW TO HANDLE HIS OVERT CONTACT BUT, DEPENDING UPON RELATIONS, MIGHT ALSO DISCUSS THE MATTER FRANKLY WITH POLITICAL OFFICER. WHAT ABOUT DEFENSE ATTACHE? ANSWER: THE SAME,

5. THIS LED TO DISCUSSION OF INTER-AGENCY SOURCE REGISTER. HAVING JUST FINISHED WITH DEFENSE ATTACHE, AMBASSADOR TRUEHART SAID DEFATT INDICATED HE HAD MANY SOURCES REGISTERED AND THEREFORE WE WERE PROSCRIBED FROM RECRUITING THEM. COS POINTED OUT SOURCE REGISTER IS FOR COVERT AGENTS ONLY AND SINCE DEFATT HERE IS PROSCRIBED FROM RUNNING COVERT AGENTS, NONE OF HIS SOURCES ARE IN INTER-AGENCY SOURCE REGISTER. COS ADDED THAT MANY DEFENSE ATTACHES MISCONSTRUE THEIR REGISTERING OF THEIR AGENTS IN PENTAGON SERIES AS SYNONOMOUS WITH REGISTRATION IN THE INTER-AGENCY SOURCE REGISTER,

6.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/ELOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 333576

EIA022

PAGE 04

IN 695650

TOR1242029Z SEP 75

21403

7. TRUEHART ASKED TO WHAT EXTENT WE WORK WITH LIAISON ON THE SOVIET TARGET AND WHETHER WE HAVE TELTAPS. HE WAS ADVISED LIAISON [REDACTED] AND THAT LIAISON IS PREPARED TO MOUNT JOINT OPERATIONS WITH US AGAINST SOVIET TARGET, THAT WE ARE NOW INTENDING TO APPROACH THIS MATTER WITH LIAISON IN A MORE PLANNED WAY. AARON ASKED HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION WOULD WE ADVISE AMBASSADOR IN ADVANCE OF MOUNTING JOINT OPERATION WITH LIAISON TO BUG RESIDENCE OF A SOVIET OFFICIAL, WE SAID PROBABLY NOT SINCE THERE WOULD BE NO FLAP POTENTIAL. AARON WANTED TO PURSUE THIS BUT STRANGELY ENOUGH TRUEHART AGREED FULLY AND EXPLAINED THAT IF SOME ELEMENT WENT AWRY THE LIAISON SERVICE WOULD BE THERE TO SET IT RIGHT.

8. AARON AND TRUEHART SPENT CONSIDERABLE TIME ANALYZING OD

[REDACTED] INVOLVING [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] THIS PARAGRAPH WAS READ SEVERAL TIMES TO THEM ON THEIR REQUEST, THEY WANTED TO KNOW HOW LONG THE [REDACTED] PROGRAM HAD BEEN IN EXISTENCE, IN [REDACTED] (ANSWER: TWO OR

S E C R E T

ABLE SEC DISSEM BY	PER	TOTAL COPIES	RUN
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED		SECRET	

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

ACTION #

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

1	4
2	5
3	6

T 333576

EIA022

PAGE 05

IN 695650

TOR1242029Z SEP 75

21403

THREE YEARS IN ITS PRESENT FORM THOUGH WE HAVE BEEN DOING THIS
KIND OF THING FOR YEARS.) WE STRESSED THAT THIS PARAGRAPH IN
TWO PLACES CONTAINED THE PHRASE "SUBJECT TO POLICY APPROVAL"
WHICH MEANT THE AMBASSADOR AND WASHINGTON, WHILE THEY UNDERSOOTOOD
THIS POINT THEY WANTED TO KNOW IF WE WOULD COORDINATE IN ADVANCE
WITH THE AMBASSADOR OR THE DEFENSE ATTACHE ON THE RECRUITMENT OF
A SENIOR [REDACTED] AS A POSSIBLE [REDACTED]
ANSWER: NO. DO WE HAVE ANY RECRUITED [REDACTED] IN
[REDACTED] ANSWER: NO. ARE WE WORKING ON THIS? ANSWER: YES.
THEN AARON ASKED IF IT WAS TRUE THAT AT THE PRESENT TIME
WE DID NOT HAVE THE CAPABILITY THROUGH COVERT [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] TO COUNTER SOVIET INITIATIVE WHICH PRESUMABLY
COULD THREATEN PRIORITY U.S. INTERESTS IN [REDACTED] ANSWER:
TRUE AT THE PRESENT TIME; WE PROBABLY WOULD HAVE TO TURN TO
OTHER AGENTS POSSIBLY INCLUDING LIAISON SHOULD IT BE NECESSARY
IN THE NEAR FUTURE, PRIOR TO OUR ACQUISITION OF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] AARON ASKED WHY THAT PARAGRAPH IN OD SPOKE OF
MAINTAINING THE CAPABILITY TO COUNTER SOVIET INITIATIVES THAT
THREATEN THE STABILITY OF [REDACTED] SINCE THERE IS LITTLE U.S.
COMMERCIAL INTEREST IN [REDACTED] WE ANSEWEREED THAT [REDACTED] IS

SECRET

1 MJD, 3/76

FILE NO. DISSEM BY PER TOTAL COPIES RUN

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR.

1
2
34
5
6

ACTION #

T 333576

EIA022

PAGE 06-00

IN 695650

TORI242029Z SEP 75

21403

CLEARLY IN THE WESTERN CAMP, VOETES ANTI-COMMUNIST IN
INTERNATIONAL FORUM AND IS CONSIDERED A FRIEND OF THE U.S.
AARON ASKED IF SIMILAR PROVISIONS WERE WRITTEN INTO THE OD'S OF
OTHER STATIONS IN [REDACTED] I.E. TO COUNTER SOVIET
INITIATIVES THAT THREATEN INTERNAL STABILITY. WE SAID WE DID NOT
KNOW, CONTINUING DISCUSSIONS ON THE MILITARY, TRUEHART
POSED HYPOTHETICAL CASE ABOUT RECRUITMENT FOR FI PURPOSES OF
A PRIVATE SECRETARY TO A MINISTER. WOULD WE COORDINATE THIS
ORIGINALLY AT SEPARATE ARE WE FOR

SECRET

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		P	BY	REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			S E C R E T					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED		BY	AT	Z	STAFF			
ACTION UNIT	I	RF	FILE	VR				
	N				1		4	
	F				2		5	
ACTION #	O				3		6	
T 333589		EIA034		PAGE 07		IN 695658		
TOR:242034Z SEP 75					21403			

S E C R E T 241945Z SEPT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 21403 FINAL SECTION OF 2

TO: IMMEDIATE [] INFO DIRECTOR.

NI ACT []

RECRUITMENT IN ADVANCE WITH THE AMBASSADOR. ANSWER: NO. TRUEHART THEN POSTULATED CASE WHERE RECRUITED SECRETARY CONFESSES TO MINISTER THAT SHE HAD BEEN FURNISHING US WITH COPIES OF THE MINISTERS CLASSIFIED CORRESPONDENCE. WOULD THIS NOT HAVE FLAP POTENTIAL HE ASKED? ANSWER: YES. TRUEHART THEN MUSED THAT AMBASSADOR SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO KNOW PRIOR TO OUR RECRUITMENT OF THE SECRETARY. HE DID NOT SEEM SATISFIED WITH THE EXPLANATION THAT WE ARE THE OPERATIONAL PROFESSIONALS WHO WOULD JUDGE THE RISK PRIOR TO RECRUITMENT AND WOULD BE MONITORING THE CASE THROUGHOUT. WE PRESUME, THEREFORE, BY EXTRAPOLATION TRUEHART WOULD WANT THE AMBASSADOR TO BE THE SUPER-CASE OFFICER MONITORING ALL OUR OPERATIONS FOR POSSIBLE FLAP POTENTIAL.

9. SOME OF THE EASIER QUESTIONS WERE ALLOCATION OF MAN POWER (ABOUT [] OFFICERS NOW ON SOVIET/BLOC TARGET, HOPE PUSH THIS TO THREE WHILE REMAINING OFFICERS COVER OTHER

S E C R E T

BLK SEC DISSEM BY		PER		TOTAL COPIES		RU:	
WBU/UNIT NOTIFIED				SECRET			
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED							
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED		BY		AT		Z	
ACTION UNIT		RF		FILE		VR	
ACTION #		I		N		F	
		O					
		1		4			
		2		5			
		3		6			
		08		50			
T 333589		EIA034		PAGE 02		IN 695658	
		TOR:242034Z SEP 75				21403	

OBJECTIVES). HOW IS OVER-ALL BUDGET ARRIVED AT (DIALOGUE WITH HEADQUARTERS, SYSTEM STILL IN FLUX) AND IS BUDGET BOVIDED BY OBJECTIVES (YES) WITH SUBORDINATE LINE ITEMS CALLED WHAT (PROJECT ACTIVITIES), WAS THERE ANY FLEXIBILITY DURING THE COURSE OF THE FISCAL YEAR? ANSWER: SOME WITHIN PROJECT ACTIVITIES AND OBJECTIVES BUT NOT BETWEEN OBJECTIVES WITHOUT HEADQUARTERS APPROVAL. DO WE HAVE A REGULAR PROGRAM OF BRIEFING REGULAR EMBASSY OFFICERS ON OUR ACTIVITIES SO THEY UNDERSTAND BETTER? ANSWER: NOT IN ANY FORMAL SENSE. AARON IMPLIED THIS MIGHT BE DONE BETTER AT THE WASHINGTON LEVEL.

10. IN DISCUSSIONS ON TERRORISM AND NARCOTICS, WE POINTED OUR MOST OF OUR TARGETS ARE IN [] COULD WE RUN AGENTS FROM HERE AGAINST THOSE TARGETS IN [] ANSWER: YES, BUT ONLY IF COORDINATED WITH AND APPROVED BY HQS AND [] STATION. CONCERNING MANPOWER, WE SAID WE ARE AT PRESENT UNDERTAKING A REVIEW OF BOTH TERRORIST AND NARCOTICS TARGET TO DECIDE THE EXTENT OF THE PROBLEM AND THEN THE MANPOWER REQUIRED SO THESE EFFORTS ARE IN A STATE OF FLUX. WE SAID WE WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT [] MAY NOT HAVE NARCOTICS IN ITS OD BUT THAT THEY HAVE A VERY FULL PLATE

SECRET

BLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN _____

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE. VR.

ACTION #

T 333589

EIA034

PAGE 03-03

IN 695658

TOR:242034Z SEP 75

21403

OF OTHER THINGS TO DO.

11. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION ON [] WE ADVISED WE H
NONE IN [] E2, IMPDET.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 6 PER LH, E.O. TOTAL COPIES 6-11 9

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

SECRET

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED LA E.O. BY C AT 1518 23 2 06 PM '75

STAFF PRECEDENCE			
1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RE FILE VR

000

ACTION

EYES
ONLY

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 01

IN 694147

TOR1231523Z SEP 75

24723

SECRET 231409Z SEP 75 STAFF

CITE 24723 SECTION 1 OF 2

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR, ROUTINE

RYBAT PLVWCADET

1- SAIDDO
J. WALLER

1. HAD TWO HOUR SESSION WITH AARON AND TRUEHEART
AFTERNOON 22 SEPT, TRUEHEART AWAY PART OF TIME TO TALK TO
OTHERS IN EMBASSY AS AARON WAS CONCENTRATING ON STATION'S
ACTIVITIES.

2

2. QUESTIONS RAN ALONG LINES OF THOSE INDICATED IN
DIRECTOR 744266, PLUS QUITE A FEW EXTRAS. FOL IS HIGHLIGHT
OF FIVE AND ONE-HALF HOURS OF DISCUSSIONS HELD STAURDAY AND MONDAY.

3. AARON MOST INTERESTED IN HOW STATION RAN ITS
OPERATIONS--E.G. LINE OF COMMAND FROM HQS AND STATION'S
RELATIONSHIP WITH BASES. HE WENT OVER OD MINUTELY, THEN
PERUSED EXAMPLES OF TELENOTE REQUIREMENTS SENT FROM
STATION TO BASES INCLUDING SUCH AS HBBS 933. A NUMBER OF
QUESTIONS WERE RAISED ON HOW CONTROL WAS EXERCISED OVER
OUR OPERATIONS. BASICALLY, HE WAS TOLD THAT ALL
ACTIVITIES--CENTERED AROUND THE OD, OUR PROJECTS,
FUNDS, AND MANPOWER ALLOCATION WERE DESIGNED TO MEET

SECRET

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ R _____ JY _____

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/BLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 02

IN 694147

TOR1231523Z SEP 75

24723

THE PRIORITY REQUIREMENTS AS ENUNCIATED IN THE OD. PERIODIC REPORTS WERE SUBMITTED TO HQS TO APPRISE THEM OF WHAT WE HOPED TO DO WITH REFERENCE TO OUR OD PRIORITIES, AND THIS WAS FOLLOWED BY REPORTS ON WHAT HAD ACTUALLY BEEN ACCOMPLISHED AGAINST THESE PRIORITIES. A "REPORT CARD" SYSTEM EXISTED WHEREIN WE WERE GRADED ON THE WORK DONE. AARON WAS INTERESTED IN HOW A CASE OFFICER (THIS IS THE TERM HE USED) WORKING ON THE HARD TARGETS WAS GRADED RELATIVE TO ONE WHOSE TARGETS WERE LESS HARD, AND WHETHER LACK OF ULTIMATE SUCCESS (RECRUITMENT OF A SOV, FOR EXAMPLE) WAS HELD AGAINST THE C/O. THE EXPLANATION WAS THAT THE RECRUITMENT OF SOMEONE IN THE HARD TARGET AREAS WAS THE ULTIMATE TEST, AND FAILURE TO RECRUIT RECEIVED A ZERO SCORE. HOWEVER, IF THE C/O WORKING ON THE HARD TARGET HAD BEEN IMAGINATIVE, HAD GOTTEN A NUMBER OF ACCESS AGENTS, HAD MANAGED TO HAVE DIRECT CONTACT WITH ONE OR MORE TARGET PERSONALITIES, AND ALL IN ALL DID HIS HOMEWORK, WORKED HARD, WAS AGGRESSIVE, BUT WAS NOT SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE THE TARGET WAS IN FACT NOT RECRUITABLE, HE WAS GIVEN A GOOD GRADE. AARON MUSED WHETHER LACK OF SUCCESS IN RECRUITING A HARD TARET INDIVIDUAL EVER HELD

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		R. BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____				STAFF _____	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF.	FILE	VR	
ACTION #					
T 329488		EIA040		PAGE 03	
				IN 694147	
		TOR:231523Z SEP 75		24723	

UP A C/O'S PROMOTION, AND HE WAS TOLD THAT IN OUR EXPERIENCE THIS WAS NOT SO, SO LONG AS THE OTHER ELEMENTS THAT EARMARK A GOOD C/O WERE PRESENT.

4. THE [] PROGRAM HAD A CERTAIN FASCINATION FOR BOTH AARON AND TUREHEART. THEY WERE NOT INQUISITIVE ABOUT NAMES OR [], BUT DID WANT TO KNOW THE REASON FOR [] [] IN CASE THE STATION HAD TO BE ABANDONED), WHETHER CUTOUTS WERE USED IN CONTACTING [] (NO, DIRECT [] UNDER SCRUPULOUS SECURITY CONDITIONS), WHETHER THEY WERE WORTH THE MONEY OUTLAY (YES, IF PRODUCTIVE AGENTS WERE GIVEN THEM AND [] WERE SUFFICIENTLY EXPERIENCED AND ADEPT IN GETTING THE MAXIMUM FROM THE AGENTS BEING HANDLED).

5. AARON WAS MOST SPECIFIC IN QUERYING ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT BY STATION IN ACCOMPLISHMENT OF INDIVIDUAL OBJECTIVES. HE WANTED TO KNOW HOW A CO/O SPENT HIS DAY, THE PROCESS HE WENT THROUGH IN CONTACTING AN AGENT, HOW DID WE ENSURE THAT C/OS DID NOT TRY TO RECRUIT THE SAME INDIVIDUAL (CONTACT REPORTS) AND TRACE REQUESTS ALLOWED ADEQUATE CONTROL), WITH REFERENCE TO CI, HOW MUCH TIME WAS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/BLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
ACTION #					2
					3
T 329488		EIA040		PAGE 04	IN 694147
TOR:231523Z SEP 75					24723

DEVOTED TO THIS AND HOW DID WE MANGAGE IT [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] AND JOINT EFFORT WITH LIAISON--TELETAPS,
 SURVEILLANCE, ETC.--AGAINST HARD TARGETS). DISCUSSIONS ON
 COVERT ACTION TOOK UP CONSIDERABLE TIME. DESPITE HQS
 BRIEFING ON THIS SUBJECT, AARON WANTED TO KNOW THE
 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A [REDACTED] AND A [REDACTED] ACTION OP.
 MUCH STRESS WAS PLACED ON WHETHER WE HAD ANY PLUMBING FOR
 [REDACTED] OPS SHOULD WE BE REQUESTED TO GET INTO
 THAT ACTIVITY. IT WAS EXPLAINED THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN IN
 [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED] FOR YEARS, THAT WE HAD NO PLUMBING AS
 SUCH, AND THAT IF A REQUEST WAS TO BE MADE FOR SUCH ACTION,
 THE STATION WOULD LIKELY NEED TO START FROM POINT ZERO

~~PAGE 3 BRASILIA 24723 S E C R E T~~

UNLESS SOME OF THE AGENTS NOW AVAILABLE WERE USABLE. IT WAS
 EXPLAINED THAT WE DID HAVE A SMALL [REDACTED] AS WELL AS
 AN [REDACTED] PROGRAM BUT THAT THESE IN NO WAY
 RESEMBLED THE KIND OF COVERT POLITICAL ACTION OF THE
 [REDACTED] VARIETY.

6. AARON HAD A FASCINATION FOR TRADESCRAFT, WANTED TO
 KNOW HOW LONG COS HAD BEEN IN THE BUSINESS AND APPROXIMATELY

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____		AT _____	
ACTION UNIT _____		RF. FILE _____		VR _____	
ACTION # _____		INFO _____		STAFF	
				1	4
				2	5
				3	6
T 329488		EIA040		PAGE 05	
				IN 694147	
		TOR:231523Z SEP 75		24723	

HOW MUCH TIME IN TOTAL COS SPENT IN TRAINING (COULDN'T HAZARD A GUESS AS TO HOW MUCH TIME SPENT IN TRAINING BUT SAID THAT THERE WAS A LONG COURSE ON ENTERING AGENCY, THAT OVER THE YARS THERE WAS TRAINING COVERING A VARIETY OF SUBJECTS INCLUDING SECRET WRITING, CLANDESTINE PHOTOGRAPHY, COMMUNISM, MANAGEMENT INCLUDING THE STUDY OF GRIDS, REPORTS WRITING, ETC. MENTION WAS MADE OF MID-CAREER COURSE, PLUS SENIOUR SEMINARS AVAILABLE TO OFFIERS, PLUS ATTENDANCE AT SAFF COLLEGES SUCH AS THAT PROVIDED BY STATE, ARMY, ETC.) (AARON WAS FASCINATED WITH THE REPORTS WRITING COURSE AND ASKED FOR PARTICULARS.)

7. ON INTERAGENCY RELATIONSHIPS, BOTH AARON AND TUREHAEART WERE INTERESTED IN HOW INTEL REPORTS WERE DISTRIBUTED WITHIN THE EMBASSY, WHETHER COB HAD AUTHORITY TO SEND AN INTEL REPORT DIRECTLY TO HQS WITH INFO TO STATION (YES, ON MATTERS WHICH WERE PECULIAR TO THE LOCAL SCENE BUT THOSE IMPINGING ON BROADER GOB MATTERS WERE FIRST SENT TO [] FOR ADDITIONS, MODIFICATIONS, ETC. PRIOR TO ONWARD TRANSMISSION.) THEY WERE TOLD THAT THE AMBASSADOR SEES ALL REPORTS, THAT OTHER SECTIONS OF THE EMBASSY DID SEE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/BLOTTED _____		BY _____		AT _____ Z _____	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF. FILE VR		STAFF	
				1	4
				2	5
ACTION #				3	6
T 329488		EIA040		PAGE 06-06	
				IN 694147	
		TOR:231523Z SEP 75		24723	

THOSE REPORTS WHICH WERE PERTINENT TO THEM. INTEL ON MAJOR ITEMS-SUCH AS THOSE WHICH HAD A BEARING ON GOB POLICIES VIS-A-VIS THE U.S.-WAS SHOWN TO THE AMBASSADOR PRIOR TO SUBMISSION, STATION MADE IT A PRACTICE TO CHECK INTEL OUT WITH CONCERNED SECTIONS WITHIN THE EMBASSY PRIOR TO TRANSMISSION IF THIS WAS CONSIDERED NECESSARY AND BENEFICIAL BY THE STATION. THERE ARE OCCASIONS WHEREIN THE STATION IS REQUIRED TO LIMIT A REPORT TO THE AMBASSADOR ONLY WHEN SENSITIVE INFO AND/OR A SENSITIVE SOURCE IS INVOLVED. THIS HAD NOT HAPPED IN THE COS'S SHORT TENURE IN

S E C R E T

CABLE REC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RU. BY _____

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 329420

EIA971

PAGE 01

IN 694101

TOR:231508Z SEP 75

24723

S E C R E T 231409Z SEPT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 24723 FINAL SECTION OF TWO

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR, [] ROUTINE []

RYBAT PLVWCADET

[] BUT IN [] FOR EXAMPLE, CERTAIN REPORTS
CONSIDERED HIGHLY SENSITIVE WERE OFTEN LIMITED TO THE
AMBASSADOR, THE [] AND THE []

[] OR TO THE AMBASSADOR ONLY. SECURITY WAS THE
CARDINAL FACTOR IN SUCH LIMITING OF DISTRIBUTION.

8. AARON WANTED TO KNOW WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF ONE OF
THE STATION'S AGENTS WAS BEING SEEN BY A MEMBER OF THE
POLITICAL SECTION--WOULD WE DISCUSS IT WITH THE POLITICAL
OFFICER? (FIRST STEP WOULD BE TO TALK TO THE AGENT ON THE
PROBLEMS THAT WOULD BE ENCOUNTERED BY SUCH ACTION ON HIS
PART, THAT THIS NORMALLY DID SUFFICE IF IN FACT HE WAS A
CONTROLLED AGENT. IF HIS ACCESS WAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY
SENSITIVE, HE WOULD BE ALLOWED TO SEE THE POLITICAL OFFICER
SO LONG AS HE REMEMBERED WHO HE WORKED FOR AND ACTED
ACCORDINGLY).

9. AS TO KEEPING THE AMBASSADOR APPRISED ON POSITIVE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		REC BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
ACTION #					2
					3
T 329420 EIA971 PAGE 82					IN 694101 47
TOR:231508Z SEP 75					24723

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

COLLECTION OPERATIONS, THIS WAS DONE AS A MATTER OF COURSE BUT WITHOUT SPECIFIC DETAILS UNLESS THE AMBASSADOR WAS IN FACT SEEING AN AGENT OF OURS REGULARLY AS PART OF HIS AMBASSADORIAL DUTIES.

10. THE LIAISON SERVICES WITH WHOM WE WERE IN TOUCH WERE NAMED, INCLUDING OUR [REDACTED] PLUS OUR [REDACTED]

11. BOTH AARON AND TRUEHEART WERE SHOWN THE KIOS AND THE CIRLS AND TAILORED REQUIREMENTS THAT WERE SENT PERIODICALLY. THEY BOTH REMARKED THAT THE AGENCY HAS A MORE SOPHISTICATED SYSTEM FOR GETTING REQUIREMENTS TO THE FIELD THAN SAY, STATE.

12. VERY LITTLE WAS ASKED ABOUT [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED] EXCEPT THAT THEY BOTH WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT [REDACTED] WAS RUNNING THE SHOW. THEY DID ASK ABOUT CUSTOMER REACTION TO THE [REDACTED] (AMBASSADOR [REDACTED] IS AN AVID CUSTOMER AND WE WERE OF THE OPINION THAT AGENCIES IN WASHINGTON CONCERNED WITH THE [REDACTED] PROGRAM WERE HAPPY WITH THE RESULTS.)

13. ON HEARING THAT COS HAD BEEN IN [REDACTED], QUESTIONS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

R1

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 329420

EIA971

PAGE 03

IN 694101

TOR:231508Z SEP 75

24723

WERE RAISED ON AGREED ACTIVITIES. THEY WERE BOTH
SURPRISED TO FIND OUT THAT THE STATION IN [] HAD TO
[] ACTIVITIES ENGAGED IN BY
U.S. ELEMENTS IN THE AREA, INCLUDING GETTING PROJECT INFO
MUCH LIKE THAT REQUIRED IN THE AGENCY WHICH GAVE NAMES OF
[]
ETC.

14. ~~AARON~~ AARON EVINCE GREAT INTEREST []

[] HE WAS TOLD THAT [] COULD
BE IMPROVED UPON, THAT WE DID HAVE A SMALL NUMBER OF
OFFICERS []
THAT THEY GAVE [] ON THE ACTUAL
[], THEN SPENT []
DAY PLUS A LARGE DOSE [] FOR WHICH
THEY RECEIVED NO OVERTIME BUT DID THIS OUT OF HIGH MOTIVATION
AND THE DESIRE TO GET AT OUR OBJECTIVES.

15. AARON KNEW OF THE OLD OPC AND OSO SEPARATIONS OF THE
PAST AND PROBED ABOUT HOW THE FINAL AMALGAMATION AFFECTED
MOST PEOPLE. (NOTHING TRAUMATIC, OPC AND OSO OFFICERS HAD
A COMMON DENOMINATOR IN BASIC TRADECRAFT AND OTHER

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DIBSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

R _____

IV _____

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

ACTION UNIT

I

RF

FILE

VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

N

F

O

T 329420

EIA971

PAGE (04)

IN 694101

TOR:231508Z SEP 75

24723

TRAINING. A GOOD OPC TYPE COULD DO EQUALLY GOOD WORK IN THE OSO FIELD, AND VICE VERSA.)

16. ABOVE ARE ONLY THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THE DISCUSSION. AARON IS IN CHARGE, HE ASKED MOST OF THE QUESTIONS, AND TOOK COPIOUS NOTES. BOTH AARON AND TRUEHEART WERE ESSENTIALLY LOW-KEY BUT THE QUESTIONS WERE SHARP, PROBING, AND AARON ESPECIALLY HAS A QUIETLY INCISIVE WAY OF GETTING AT THINGS. IT'S DIFFICULT TO TELL WHETHER THE TWO ARE FAVORABLY DISPOSED TOWARD THE AGENCY OR NOT. CERTAINLY NO ANIMOSITY WAS SHOWN, BUT NEITHER WAS THERE ANY SHOW OF GREAT LOVE FOR THE AGENCY. AN OBJECTIVE APPROACH (AT) THE MATTER AT HAND APPEARS TO BE AN APT DESCRIPTION OF HOW THEY WORKED.

17. AARON AND TRUEHEART WERE CARED FOR BY THE EMBASSY WHILE IN [] BUT ON SATURDAY IN [] AARON SEEMED TO HAVE BEEN LEFT ALONE BY THE CONGEN. COB AND COS TOOK AARON OUT TO DINNER AFTER THE BRIEFING SESSION AND HE SEEMED TO ENJOY AND APPRECIATE THE [] [] AND [] MIGHT WISH TO KEEP IN MIND THAT AARON IS INTERESTED IN TRYING THE BETTER KNOWN LOCAL FOODS, IS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		R
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			S E C R E T		
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/NOTED BY AT Z					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
ACTION #					3
T 329420		EIA971		PAGE 05-05	IN 694102
TOR:231508Z SEP 75					24723

A WINE LOVER AND WANTS TO SAMPLE THE BEST OF THE LOCAL PRODUCT.

18. AT DINNER ON SATURDAY NIGHT, AARON WAS ASKED BY THE COS WHAT HE THOUGHT MIGHT HAPPEN TO THE AGENCY. HE OPINED THAT THE DDO MIGHT BE SPLIT OFF FROM THE MAIN BODY OF THE AGENCY, AND PUT UNDER AN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MADE UP OF MEMBERS FROM STATE AND OTHER AGENCIES IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH MORE CONTROL OVER ITS ACTIVITIES. E2, IMPDET.

--
S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY

PER

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

C/LA BY 1-AT 1635z

STAFF PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

RF. FILE

VR

DCI, O/DCI, DDO C/SF

ACTION #

"EYES ONLY"

T 344592

EIA750

PAGE 01

IN 699994

TOR:291627Z SEP 75

34519

S E C R E T 291547Z SEP 75 STAFF

CITE [] 34519

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR INFO []

RYBAT PLVWCADET

REF [] 34511

(n 69826S)*

1. VISITORS MET WITH AMBASSADOR [] FOR ONE HOUR AND A HALF EVENING 27 SEP AT HIS RESIDENCE AND THEN WENT DIRECTLY TO AIRPORT. AFTER INTRODUCING VISITORS TO AMB, COS WITHDREW AND WAITED IN ANOTHER ROOM. (UPON DEPARTING COS HEARD AMB ASK VISITORS FOR THEIR CREDENTIALS.) AMB LATER TOLD COS HE SUGGESTED TO VISITORS THAT COS BE PRESENT DURING DISCUSSION BUT THEY PREFERRED TO TALK WITH HIM PRIVATELY. COS WAS CALLED IN, HOWEVER, TO PARTICIPATE IN LAST FEW MINUTES OF DISCUSSION. IN COS PRESENCE, MR. AARON ASKED AMB WHETHER HE THOUGHT HE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO SEE ALL INFORMATION PRODUCED WITHIN HIS MISSION, TO WHICH AMB REPLIED AFFIRMATIVELY. AARON THEN ASKED AMB WHETHER HE IN FACT SAW ALL INFO. AMB REPLIED HE DID NOT KNOW, BUT HAD CONFIDENCE IN COS IN THIS REGARD. AARON THEN ASKED COS WHETHER HE WAS AUTHORIZED TO SHOW AMB ALL STATION REPORTING. ANSWER: OPERATIONAL REPORTING IDENTIFYING AGENTS OR CLANDESTINE METHODS OF OPERATION COULD NOT BE SHOWN TO AMB WITHOUT

S E C R E T

TABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	
ACTION #					
T 344592		EIA750		PAGE 02	
				IN 699594	
		TOR:291627Z SEP 75		34519	

HQS APPROVAL. OTHER INFO COULD BE SHOWN AT DISCRETION OF COS. SUBJ OF ROGERS LETTER RE SHOWING INTEL REPORTS TO AMB PRIOR TO DISSEMINATION WAS THEN RAISED BY AMB. AMB SAID HE TOOK POSITION THIS WAS POLICY MATTER WHICH HAD TO BE SOLVED IN WASHINGTON AND HAD SO INFORMED ROGERS. (COS SAW DRAFT OF LETTER TO ROGERS TO THIS EFFECT.) AT CONCLUSION OF MEETING AMB HANDED COS A NEWS ITEM [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND ASKED THAT ARTICLE BE SHOWN TO VISITORS IN [REDACTED] AMB TRUEHEART READ ARTICLE AND COMMENTED SOMETHING TO EFFECT THAT ARTICLE DID NOT SEEM TO HIM TO BE ANYTHING TO BE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT. MR. AARON DID NOT READ IT. POUCHING COPY. (AMB SUBSEQUENTLY TOLD COS ARTICLE HAD BEEN GIVEN TO HIM IN WASHINGTON BY DEPUTY ASSISTANT RYAN.)

2. ON WAY TO AIRPORT AFTER MEETING WITH AMB, TRUEHEART MENTIONED AMB'S REQUEST TO SEE THEIR CREDENTIALS. SINCE THEY HAD NONE, THEY SHOWED THEIR PASSPORTS. (AMB LATER TOLD COS HE HAD ASKED TO SEE CREDENTIALS SINCE HE WANTED TO SEE FOR HIMSELF EXACTLY WHAT THE LIMITS OF THEIR AUTHORITY WERE.) COS INFERRED THAT VISITORS WERE TAKEN ABACK BY AMB'S REQUEST BUT MEETING WITH AMB

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____					STAFF
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1
					2
ACTION #					3
T 344592		EIA750		PAGE 03	
				IN 699594	
		TOR:291627Z SEP 75		34519	

WAS NONETHELESS HARMONIOUS. (AMB LATER CONFIRMED THIS IMPRESSION.)

3. AMB TELEPHONED COS MORNING 28 SEP AND GAVE HIGHLIGHTS OF DISCUSSION WITH VISITORS. FULLER ACCOUNT GIVEN IN HIS OFFICE EARLY MORNING 29 SEP. AMB CHARACTERIZED VISITORS AS INTELLIGENT AND BUSINESSLIKE. AMB HAD IMPRESSION THEY SATISFIED WITH VISIT TO [REDACTED] AND WITH COOPERATION THEY RECEIVED FROM EVERYONE. HE SPECULATED THAT THEIR REPORT WOULD BE WRITTEN IN MANNER WHICH SERVES INTERESTS OF CHURCH COMMITTEE. AMB SAID HE TOLD VISITORS HE SATISFIED WITH BKHERALD OPERATION IN [REDACTED] ONLY AREA WHERE HE HAD A QUARREL WITH BKHERALD IS THAT OF [REDACTED] AMB BELIEVES STATE DEPT [REDACTED] HE HAS NO OBJECTION TO BKHERALD [REDACTED] ALSO. REGARDING HIS KNOWLEDGE OF BKHERALD ACTIVITY, AMB SAID HE TOLD VISITORS THAT BECAUSE OF TERRORIST PROBLEM HE DID NOT WANT TO KNOW ABOUT BKHERALD ACTIVITIES IN DETAIL AND TRUSTS COS TO TELL HIM WHATEVER HE NEEDS TO KNOW. VISITORS SHOWED GREAT INTEREST IN THIS VIEWPOINT. AMB SAID HE HAD HAD A PROBLEM WITH ONLY ONE STATION CHIEF WHO NOW DECEASED, WIN SCOTT, AND WITH ONE FORMER DIRECTOR, RICHARD HELMS. AMB EXPLAINED THAT BEFORE HE WENT TO [REDACTED] AS AMB, DIRECTOR HELMS HAD TOLD HIM SIXTY PERCENT OF [REDACTED] STATION'S EFFORTS WERE DIRECTED AGAINST

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		RUN BY	REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			S E C R E T				
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED						STAFF	
BY AT Z							
ACTION UNIT	I	RF	FILE	VR		1	4
	N					2	5
	F					3	6
ACTION #	O						
T 344592		EIA750		PAGE 04		IN 699594	
TOR:291627Z SEP 75						34519	

CUBANS. ONLY LATER DID AMB FIND OUT BY CHANCE ABOUT [REDACTED] EFFORT DIRECTED AGAINST [REDACTED]. AMB SAID MR. HELMS AWARE OF HIS SENTIMENTS AS IS GENERAL WALTERS. EVIDENTLY ON BASIS OF COMMENT BY VISITORS, AMB TOLD COS THAT AMB CRIMMINS HAD BEEN CRITICAL OF BKHERALD, BUT DID NOT ELABORATE.

4. CURIOUSLY, AARON ASKED DCOS' SECRETARY FOR DATE EVENING 26 SEP. ALTHOUGH IT TURNED OUT BE PRIMARILY SOCIAL EVENT AND HE DID NOT ATTEMPT ELICIT INFORMATION FROM SECRETARY, AARON DID MAKE FOLLOWING COMMENTS OF INTEREST:

(A) HE SAID HE HAD BEGUN TRIP WITH CERTAIN AMOUNT ANTI-BKHERALD BIAS BUT THIS HAD BEEN MUTED SOMEWHAT BY FAVORABLE IMPRESSIONS HE HAD OBTAINED OF VARIOUS BKHERALD OFFICERS IN THE FIELD WITH WHOM HE HAD SPOKEN.

(B) HE SAID HE THOUGH [REDACTED] EMBASSY POLITICAL SECTION WAS "JEALOUS" OF BKHERALD.

(C) HE IMPLIED HIS EGO HAD BEEN BRUISED ON TRIP BECAUSE PEOPLE FREQUENTLY ASSUMED AMBASSADOR TRUEHEART, NOT HE, WAS SENIOR OFFICER. HE SAID HE NEXT PLANS TRIPS TO EUROPE AND ELSEWHERE, HEADQUARTERS, THEREFORE, MIGHT WISH ALERT FUTURE STATIONS HE WILL VISIT OF PECKING ORDER.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF

FILE

VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 344592

EIA750

PAGE 05-05

IN 699594

TOR:291627Z SEP 75

34519

5. VISITORS DEPARTED [] 27 SEP AT 2130 HOURS ON
SCHEDULE.

6. AMB SAID HE HAD A GOOD TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH MR. RICHARD
SAMPSON IN WASHINGTON, MENTIONING ESSENTIALLY SAME POINTS REPORTED
DIRECTOR 744995.

7. NO FILE. E2 IMPDET.

CS Comment: *Aaron and Trueheart's 26 September conversations with COS
and DCOS.

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY Q PER LA 8(0) TOTAL COPIES 6-8 BY 24REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

CLASSED BY

AT

Z

STAFF

PRECEDENCE

ACTION UNIT

RE

FILE

VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 337339

EIA706

PAGE 01

IN 697014

TOR:252337Z SEP 75

34503

S E C R E T 252234Z SEP 75 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 34503 SECTION 1 OF 2

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR INFO [REDACTED]

RYBAT PLVWCADET

REF DIRECTOR 745626

1. COS AND DCOS HELD TWO-HOUR DISCUSSION WITH AMBASSADOR WILLIAM TRUEHEART AND MR. DAVID AARON MORNING 25 SEP AND THEN ATTENDED LUNCHEON AT WHICH CHARGE D'AFFAIRES [REDACTED] EMBASSY POLITICAL COUNSELOR [REDACTED] AND FBI REP [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ALSO PRESENT. EARLIER IN MORNING THE TWO VISITORS MET PRIVATELY WITH CHARGE [REDACTED] AND IN AFTERNOON TRUEHEART TALKED PRIVATELY WITH [REDACTED] (AARON BECAME ILL AND REMAINED IN HOTEL.) COS AND DCOS ALSO HAD DINNER ALONE WITH VISITORS EVENING 24 SEP.

2. AARON CLEARLY IN CHARGE. (AT LUNCHEON TRUEHEART EXPLAINED THAT AARON IS HEAD OF THE COORDINATION AND CONTROL WORKING GROUP, ONE OF FOUR WORKING GROUPS OF CHURCH COMMITTEE, AND TRUEHEART IS SERVING AS CONSULTANT.) AARON ASKED MOST OF QUESTIONS. BY NATURE AND TONE OF SOME OF AARON'S QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS, WE SUSPECT THAT HE HAD PREFORMED NOTIONS WHICH ANTI-BKHERALD. (E.G., ATTITUDE TOWARD SOVIET PROGRAM DISCUSSED BELOW.)

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		RUN BY	REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED		SECRET					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED					BY	AT	Z
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	STAFF		
					1		4
					2		5
ACTION #					3		6
T 337339		EIA706		PAGE 02		IN 697014	
TOR:252337Z SEP 75							34503

3. IN DISCUSSING BKHERALD APPROACH TO SOVIET TARGET, AARON ASKED IF IT REALLY MADE SENSE TRY RECRUIT SOVIET [REDACTED] [REDACTED] HERE SOVIET, EVEN IF RECRUITED, WOULD NOT LIKELY HAVE ACCESS TO INFORMATION OF VALUE TO USG. WE EXPLAINED THAT OUR INTERESTS NOT PRIMARILY IN [REDACTED] POINTED OUT ACCESS SOVIETS HAVE TO THIS INFO EVEN WHILE ABROAD, SAID [REDACTED] ALSO POINTED OUT [REDACTED] [REDACTED] NONE OF THIS SEEMED IMPRESS AARON, WHO CONTINUED QUESTION VALUE OF BKHERALD SOVIET OPS IN MANY (BUT UNDEFINED) AREAS, SAID HE HAD WORKED IN WHITE HOUSE WHERE HE SAW ALL INTEL, FOUND IT HARD JUSTIFY BKHERALD SOVIET PROGRAM INPUT ON BASIS INTEL PRODUCTION. WE ARGUED THIS IS ONE PROGRAM WHERE LARGE AMOUNT CASE OFFICER TIME REQUIRED ON WORLD-WIDE BASIS IN ORDER ACQUIRE THE FEW GOOD SOURCES WHO CAN BE PICKED UP AND WHO ARE PICKED UP. TRUEHEART ASKED FOR EXAMPLES, AND WE REFERRED THEM TO HQS FOR DETAILS. TRUEHEART SAID IT HIS IMPRESSION THAT BKHERALD NOW IN A "SOVIET PHASE", WHEREAS IN EARLIER YEARS IT HAD BEEN IN COVERT ACTION OR OTHER PHASES. WE SAID SOVIETS [REDACTED] AND OUR APPROACH TO THEM HAS BEEN REFINED OVER THE YEARS.

SECRET

CABLE REC DIRM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		BY	REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			SECRET				
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED					BY	AT	Z
ACTION UNIT	I	RF	FILE	VR	STAFF		
	N					1	4
	F					2	5
ACTION #	O					3	6
T 337339		EIA706		PAGE 03		IN 697014	
TOR1252337Z SEP 75							34503

4. KEEN INTEREST WAS SHOWN IN STATION RELATIONS WITH AMBASSADOR AND DEGREE TO WHICH STATION ALLOWED AMBASSADOR EXERCISE CONTROL OVER, OR AT LEAST HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF, ITS OPERATIONS. SPECIFICALLY, DEGREE TO WHICH WE WOULD COORDINATE RECRUITMENT PROPOSAL OF [] PRIOR TO MAKING PITCH. ANSWER: AMB WOULD BE INFORMED IF UNUSUAL SENSITIVITY OR HIGH RISK INVOLVED, WHICH HAS NOT BEEN THE CASE HERE THUS FAR. ASKED WHO DETERMINES IF AMB SHOULD BE INFORMED. ANSWER: COS. ASKED WHETHER AMB HAD PLACED CERTAIN POTENTIAL RECRUITMENT TARGETS OFF LIMITS. ANSWER: HE HAD NOT. THEN ASKED WHETHER, IF SUCH INJUNCTION EXISTED, WE WOULD ADHERE TO IT OR TRY TO CIRCUMVENT IT. ANSWER: WE WOULD ADHERE TO IT. ASKED WHETHER AMB SATISFIED WITH WORK OF STATION AND ITS MISSION. ANSWER: WE BELIEVE SO, BUT DEFER TO AMB (WHO WILL RETURN [] MORNING 26 SEP AND WILL TALK WITH TRUEHEART.)

5. BOTH WANTED KNOW HOW STATIONS'S REPORTING REQUIREMENTS ON LOCAL POLITICAL SCENE WERE GENERATED (I.E., PRIMARILY BY HQS OR THROUGH DISCUSSION WITH AMB AND OTHER EMBASSY OFFICERS). IT CLEAR THAT THRUST OF QUESTION WAS TO DETERMINE DEGREE TO WHICH STATION COOPERATED/COORDINATED WITH AMB AND OTHER SENIOR EMBASSY OFFICERS.

SECRET

CABLE REC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE. VR.

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION #

T 337339

EIA706

PAGE 04

IN 697014

TOR1252337Z SEP 75

34503

ANSWER: WE RECEIVED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FROM HQS ON CONTINUING BASIS, AND THESE FORM GOOD DEAL BUT NOT ALL OF GUIDANCE WE FOLLOW ON [REDACTED] WE ASSURED VISITORS WE TAKE INTO ACCOUNT REPORTING FROM OTHER EMBASSY COMPONENTS AND THEIR NEEDS. VISITORS PROBED DEEPLY INTO MANNER IN WHICH STATION COORDINATES ITS REPORTING AND FORUMS THROUGH WHICH IT RECEIVES THIS GUIDANCE. WE MENTIONED TWICE WEEKLY POLITICAL MEETING [REDACTED] AND FREQUENT INFORMAL CHATS WITH AMB AND OTHER OFFICERS. WE ALSO POINTED TO OUR PROCESS OF SHOWING REPORTS PRIOR TO DISSEMINATION TO POL SECTION AND OTHER INTERESTED COMPONENTS. REGARDING VOLUME OF REPORTS, WE SAID AVERAGE IS OVER 20 PER MONTH. REGARDING DISTRIBUTION OF HQS DISSEMINATED REPORTS, WE SAID AMB RECEIVES ALL REPORTS AND, EXCEPT FOR EXTREMELY SENSITIVE ITEMS (WHICH ARE RARE), OTHER INTERESTED OFFICERS DO ALSO.

6. IN RESPONSE THEIR QUERIES, WE ACKNOWLEDGED PRODUCTIVE LIAISON RELATIONSHIP WITH LOCAL INTEL SERVICE (WHICH NOT IDENTIFIED BY NAME) BUT DID NOT GO INTO DETAIL. SAID [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ASKED WHETHER WE IN TOUCH WITH

[REDACTED] ANSWER: YES, BUT THIS NOT SUBSTANTIVE RELATIONSHIP. THEY WANTED KNOW HOW WE HAD MET HIM, AND WE SAID RELATION-

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 337339

EIA706

PAGE 05

IN 697014

TOR:252337Z SEP 75

34503

SHIP HAS EXISTED FOR YEARS, AND CONTACT IS PASSED FROM ONE PERSON TO ANOTHER. ASKED WHETHER THERE [] REP IN [] ANSWER: WE NOT AWARE OF ANY. NO MENTION MADE OF ANY OTHER LIAISON CONTACTS AND SUBJECT OF LOCAL POLICE NOT RAISED.

7. SUBJECT OF [] NOT RAISED.

8. ASKED WHETHER WE HAD ANY COVERT ACTION PROGRAMS. ANSWER: NONE OTHER THAN A FEW CONTACTS [] WE STRESSED INFO COLLECTION ROLE OF THESE AGENTS AND CONTINGENCY NATURE OF CONTACT IN COVERT ACTION CONTEST. ASKED WHETHER WE RECRUITING NEW AGENTS. ANSWER: WE CONSTANTLY STRIVE TO UPGRADE CALIBER OF OUR REPORTING SOURCES AND THEREFORE ARE RECRUITING NEW ONES AND AT SAME TIME WEEDING OUR LESS PRODUCTIVE ONES. WE EXPLAINED HOW ACCESS OF GIVEN AGENT CAN CHANGE FROM ONE TIME TO ANOTHER DUE TO CHANGES IN POLITICAL SCENE.

9. FEW QUESTIONS ASKED REGARDING STATION STAFFING. WE EXPLAINED IN RESPONSE QUESTIONING WHETHER STAFFING ADEQUATE THAT STATION CUT BY OVER 30 PERCENT OVER LAST 18 MONTHS OR SO, INCLUDING CASE OFFICERS. WE ADMITTED THIS RESULTED IN CUTTING OF SOME LESS IMPORTANT CONTACTS BUT NO LET UP IN EFFORTS AGAINST HARD TARGETS. WE EXPLAINED FUNCTIONS OF EACH CASE OFFICER AND STRESSED TEAM

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 337339

EIA706

PAGE 06-06

IN 697014

TOR:252337Z SEP 75

34503

CONCEPT IN WORKING AGAINST HARD TARGETS. OD OBJECTIVES AND
PRIORITIES WERE IDENTIFIED BY OD INSELF NOT PROVIDED.

S E C R E T

CABLE REC'D BY		PER		TOTAL COPIES		RE BY	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED				S E C R E T			
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED						STAFF	
ACTION UNIT		BY		AT		Z	
ACTION #		RF		FILE		VR	
I		2		4			
N		3		5			
F				6			
O							
T 337290		EIA660		PAGE 07		IN 6970	
TORI252301Z SEP 75				34503			

S E C R E T 252234Z SEP 74 STAFF

CITE [] 34503 FINAL SECTION OF 2

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR INFO []

RYBAT PLVWCADET

10. CONSIDERABLE INTEREST SHOWN IN SUBJECT OF TERRORISM. WE DESCRIBED TWO PRINCIPAL TERRORIST GROUPS [] AND STRESSED [] AARON SAID THAT CHARGE D'AFFAIRES HAD MENTIONED TO HIM THE CASE OF SOURCE FROM ANOTHER AREA WHO HAD PROVIDED COVERAGE IN THIS AREA. (AMB AWARE OF COVERAGE BY [] FROM TIME OF HIS FIRST VISIT AND HAD MENTIONED IT TO []) WE EXPLAINED HOW SOURCE SUCH AS THIS CAN ESTABLISH GOOD CONTACTS AT HIGHER LEVEL AND PROVIDE GOOD INFO. WE STRESSED THAT OUR INTEREST WAS IN COLLECTING INFO BEARING ON SECURITY OF U.S. PERSONNEL AND PROPERTY. AARON ASKED WHETHER WE WOULD PROVIDE TO LIAISON INFO FROM OUR AGENT WHICH WOULD ENABLE THEM TO CAPTURE TERRORISTS DURING MEETING WITH OUR AGENT. ANSWER: NO, FOR SEVERAL (OBVIOUS) REASONS, WHICH WE SPELLED OUT.

11. EVENING 25 SEP AARON APPEARED TO HAVE RECOVERED FROM ILLNESS, WENT SHOPPING WITH TRUEHEART. BECAUSE [] STORES WILL BE CLOSED 26 SEPT AND BECAUSE AARON NOT LEAVING

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

08-08

14

T 337290

EIA660

PAGE ~~02-02~~

IN 6970

TOR:252301Z SEP 75

34503

UNTIL NIGHT 26 SEP AND TRUEHEART NIGHT 27 SEP, WE ANTICIPATE WE
WILL HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM. THESE WILL BE COVERED
IN NEXT CABLE.

12. WISH THANK INFO ADDEES FOR THEIR PROMPT AND USEFUL WRAP-UPS.

E2 IMPDET

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 3 PER LAB (50) TOTAL COPIES 611 RUN BY 8
 PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
 ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

FF FILE VR DDO

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION #

EYES ONLY

T 345167

EIA304

PAGE 01-01

IN 699882

TOR 291957Z SEP 75

24777

SECRET 291925Z SEPT 75 STAFF

CITE 24777

TO: INFO DIRECTOR.

RYBAT PLVWCADET

REF: 34519 (IN 699594)

REF PARA 3 SENTENCE "EVIDENTLY ON BASIS OF COMMENT
 BY VISITORS, AMBASSADOR TOLD COS THAT AMBASSADOR CRIMMINS
 HAD BEEN CRITICAL OF BKHERALD, BUT DID NOT ELABORATE."

FYI, AMBASSADOR CRIMMINS ON HOME LEAVE AND DCM,
 WAS CHARGE AT TIME OF AARON'S AND TRUEHEART'S VISIT TO

IN THE SHORT TIME COS HAS BEEN IN
 CRIMMINS HAS BEEN MORE THAN COOPERATIVE AND APPEARED TO
 BE MORE APPRECIATIVE OF BKHERALD'S EFFORTS THAN MOST STATE
 OFFICERS. WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF YOU COULD GET
 ELABORATION ON REMARKS ON LOW KEY BASIS. E2, IMPDET.

SECRET

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 6 PER LH 8E.O. TOTAL COPIES 6-11 RUN BY 2

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITEDADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED LA E.O. BY E AT 1510 Z

STAFF PRECEDENCE	
1	4
2	5
3	6

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
ORE FILE VR 000

ACTION #

**EYES
ONLY**

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 01

IN 694147

TOR1231523Z SEP 75

24723

S E C R E T 231409Z SEP 75 STAFF 2CITE 24723 SECTION 1 OF 2TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR, ROUTINE

RYBAT PLVWCADET

1. HAD TWO HOUR SESSION WITH AARON AND TRUEHEART AFTERNOON 22 SEPT. TRUEHEART AWAY PART OF TIME TO TALK TO OTHERS IN EMBASSY AS AARON WAS CONCENTRATING ON STATION'S ACTIVITIES.

2. QUESTIONS RAN ALONG LINES OF THOSE INDICATED IN DIRECTOR 744266, PLUS QUITE A FEW EXTRAS. FOL IS HIGHLIGHT OF FIVE AND ONE-HALF HOURS OF DISCUSSIONS HELD STAURDAY AND MONDAY.

3. AARON MOST INTERESTED IN HOW STATION RAN ITS OPERATIONS--E.G. LINE OF COMMAND FROM HQS AND STATION'S RELATIONSHIP WITH BASES. HE WENT OVER OD MINUTELY, THEN PERUSED EXAMPLES OF TELENOTE REQUIREMENTS SENT FROM STATION TO BASES INCLUDING SUCH AS HBBS 933. A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS WERE RAISED ON HOW CONTROL WAS EXERCISED OVER OUR OPERATIONS. BASICALLY, HE WAS TOLD THAT ALL ACTIVITIES CENTERED AROUND THE OD, OUR PROJECTS, FUNDS, AND MANPOWER ALLOCATION WERE DESIGNED TO MEET

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____ BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

ACTION UNIT _____

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION # _____

STAFF

1		4
2		5
3		6

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 02

IN 694147

TOR:231523Z SEP 75

24723

THE PRIORITY REQUIREMENTS AS ENUNCIATED IN THE OD. PERIODIC REPORTS WERE SUBMITTED TO HQS TO APPRISE THEM OF WHAT WE HOPED TO DO WITH REFERENCE TO OUR OD PRIORITIES, AND THIS WAS FOLLOWED BY REPORTS ON WHAT HAD ACTUALLY BEEN ACCOMPLISHED AGAINST THESE PRIORITIES. A "REPORT CARD" SYSTEM EXISTED WHEREIN WE WERE GRADED ON THE WORK DONE. AARON WAS INTERESTED IN HOW A CASE OFFICER (THIS IS THE TERM HE USED) WORKING ON THE HARD TARGETS WAS GRADED RELATIVE TO ONE WHOSE TARGETS WERE LESS HARD, AND WHETHER LACK OF ULTIMATE SUCCESS (RECRUITMENT OF A SOV, FOR EXAMPLE) WAS HELD AGAINST THE C/O. THE EXPLANATION WAS THAT THE RECRUITMENT OF SOMEONE IN THE HARD TARGET AREAS WAS THE ULTIMATE TEST, AND FAILURE TO RECRUIT RECEIVED A ZERO SCORE, HOWEVER, IF THE C/O WORKING ON THE HARD TARGET HAD BEEN IMAGINATIVE, HAD GOTTEN A NUMBER OF ACCESS AGENTS, HAD MANAGED TO HAVE DIRECT CONTACT WITH ONE OR MORE TARGET PERSONALITIES, AND ALL IN ALL DID HIS HOMEWORK, WORKED HARD, WAS AGGRESSIVE, BUT WAS NOT SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE THE TARGET WAS IN FACT NOT RECRUITABLE, HE WAS GIVEN A GOOD GRADE, AARON MUSED WHETHER LACK OF SUCCESS IN RECRUITING A HARD TARET INDIVIDUAL EVER HELD

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RUN BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T		REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF _____	
ACTION UNIT	I	RF	FILE	VR	1
	N				2
	F				3
ACTION #	O				4
					5
					6
T 329488		EIA040		PAGE 03	
				IN 694147	
		TOR:231523Z SEP 75		24723	

UP A C/O'S PROMOTION, AND HE WAS TOLD THAT IN OUR EXPERIENCE THIS WAS NOT SO, SO LONG AS THE OTHER ELEMENTS THAT EARMARK A GOOD C/O WERE PRESENT.

4. THE [] PROGRAM HAD A CERTAIN FASCINATION FOR BOTH AARON AND TUREHEART. THEY WERE NOT INQUISITIVE ABOUT NAMES OR [] BUT DID WANT TO KNOW THE REASON FOR [] [] IN CASE THE STATION HAD TO BE ABANDONED), WHETHER [] WERE USED IN CONTACTING [] UNDER [] SECURITY CONDITIONS), WHETHER THEY WERE WORTH THE MONEY OUTLAY (YES, IF PRODUCTIVE AGENTS WERE GIVEN THEM AND [] WERE SUFFICIENTLY EXPERIENCED AND ADEPT IN GETTING THE MAXIMUM FROM THE AGENTS BEING HANDLED).

5. AARON WAS MOST SPECIFIC IN QUERYING ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE OF TIME SPENT BY STATION IN ACCOMPLISHMENT OF INDIVIDUAL OBJECTIVES. HE WANTED TO KNOW HOW A CO/O SPENT HIS DAY, THE PROCESS HE WENT THROUGH IN CONTACTING AN AGENT, HOW DID WE ENSURE THAT C/OS DID NOT TRY TO RECRUIT THE SAME INDIVIDUAL (CONTACT REPORTS) AND TRACE REQUESTS ALLOWED ADEQUATE CONTROL), WITH REFERENCE TO CI, HOW MUCH TIME WAS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE. VR.

ACTION #

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 04

IN 694147

TOR:231523Z SEP 75

24723

DEVOTED TO THIS AND HOW DID WE MANGAGE IT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AND JOINT EFFORT WITH [REDACTED]

SURVEILLANCE, ETC.--AGAINST HARD TARGETS). DISCUSSIONS ON
 COVERT ACTION TOOK UP CONSIDERABLE TIME. DESPITE HQS
 BRIEFING ON THIS SUBJECT, AARON WANTED TO KNOW THE
 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A [REDACTED] AND A [REDACTED] OP.
 MUCH STRESS WAS PLACED ON WHETHER WE HAD ANY PLUMBING FOR
 [REDACTED] OPS SHOULD WE BE REQUESTED TO GET INTO
 THAT ACTIVITY. IT WAS EXPLAINED THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN IN
 [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED] FOR YEARS, THAT WE HAD NO PLUMBING AS
 SUCH, AND THAT IF A REQUEST WAS TO BE MADE F N SUCH ACTION,
 THE STATION WOULD LIKELY NEED TO START FROM POINT ZERO

~~PAGE 3 BRASILIA 24723 S E C E~~

UNLESS SOME OF THE AGENTS NOW AVAILABLE WERE USABLE. IT WAS
 EXPLAINED THAT WE DID HAVE A SMALL [REDACTED] AS WELL AS
 AN [REDACTED] PROGRAM BUT THAT THESE IN NO WAY
 RESEMBLED THE KIND OF COVERT POLITICAL ACTION OF THE
 CHILEAN VARIETY.

6. AARON HAD A FASCINATION FOR TRADESCRAFT, WANTED TO
 KNOW HOW LONG COS HAD BEEN IN THE BUSINESS AND APPROXIMATELY

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 05

IN 694147

TOR:231523Z SEP 75

24723

HOW MUCH TIME IN TOTAL COS SPENT IN TRAINING (COULDN'T HAZARD A GUESS AS TO HOW MUCH TIME SPENT IN TRAINING BUT SAID THAT THERE WAS A LONG COURSE ON ENTERING AGENCY, THAT OVER THE YARS THERE WAS TRAINING COVERING A VARIETY OF SUBJECTS INCLUDING SECRET WRITING, CLANDESTINE PHOTOGRAPHY, COMMUNISM, MANAGEMENT INCLUDING THE STUDY OF GRIDS, REPORTS WRITING, ETC. MENTION WAS MADE OF MID-CAREER COURSE, PLUS SENIOUR SEMINARS AVAILABLE TO OFFIERS, PLUS ATTENDANCE AT SAFF COLLEGES SUCH AS THAT PROVIDED BY STATE, ARMY, ETC.) (AARON WAS FASCINATED WITH THE REPORTS WRITING COURSE AND ASKED FOR PARTICULARS.)

7. ON INTERAGENCY RELATIONSHIPS, BOTH AARON AND TUREHAEART WERE INTERESTED IN HOW INTEL REPORTS WERE DISTRIBUTED WITHIN THE EMBASSY, WHETHER COB HAD AUTHORITY TO SEND AN INTEL REPORT DIRECTLY TO HQS WITH INFO TO STATION (YES, ON MATTERS WHICH WERE PECULIAR TO THE LOCAL SCENE BUT THOSE IMPINGING ON BROADER GOB MATTERS WERE FIRST SENT TO [] FOR ADDITIONS, MODIFICATIONS, ETC. PRIOR TO ONWARD TRANSMISSION.) THEY WERE TOLD THAT THE AMBASSADOR SEES ALL REPORTS, THAT OTHER SECTIONS OF THE EMBASSY DID SEE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE . VR .

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 329488

EIA040

PAGE 06-06

IN 694147

TOR1231523Z SEP 75

24723

THOSE REPORTS WHICH WERE PERTINENT TO THEM. INTEL ON MAJOR ITEMS-SUCH AS THOSE WHICH HAD A BEARING ON GOB POLICIES VIS-A-VIS THE U.S.-WAS SHOWN TO THE AMBASSADOR PRIOR TO SUBMISSION. STATION MADE IT A PRACTICE TO CHECK INTEL OUT WITH CONCERNED SECTIONS WITHIN THE EMBASSY PRIOR TO TRANSMISSION IF THIS WAS CONSIDERED NECESSARY AND BENEFICIAL BY THE STATION. THERE ARE OCCASIONS WHEREIN THE STATION IS REQUIRED TO LIMIT A REPORT TO THE AMBASSADOR ONLY WHEN SENSITIVE INFO AND/OR A SENSITIVE SOURCE IS INVOLVED. THIS HAD NOT HAPPED IN THE COS'S SHORT TENURE IN

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		RUN BY
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED		S E C R E T			
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED		BY	AT	Z	
ACTION UNIT	I	RF	FILE	VR	
	N				
ACTION #	F				
	O				
T 329420		EIA971		PAGE 01	IN 694101
		TOR:231508Z SEP 75			24723

S E C R E T 231409Z SEPT 75 STAFF

CITE [] 24723 FINAL SECTION OF TWO

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR, [] ROUTINE []

RYBAT PLVWCADET

[] BUT IN [] FOR EXAMPLE, CERTAIN REPORTS
CONSIDERED HIGHLY SENSITIVE WERE OFTEN LIMITED TO THE
AMBASSADOR, THE [] AND THE []
[] OR TO THE AMBASSADOR ONLY. SECURITY WAS THE
CARDINAL FACTOR IN SUCH LIMITING OF DISTRIBUTION.

8. AARON WANTED TO KNOW WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF ONE OF
THE STATION'S AGENTS WAS BEING SEEN BY A MEMBER OF THE
POLITICAL SECTION--WOULD WE DISCUSS IT WITH THE POLITICAL
OFFICER? (FIRST STEP WOULD BE TO TALK TO THE AGENT ON THE
PROBLEMS THAT WOULD BE ENCOUNTERED BY SUCH ACTION ON HIS
PART, THAT THIS NORMALLY DID SUFFICE IF IN FACT HE WAS A
CONTROLLED AGENT. IF HIS ACCESS WAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY
SENSITIVE, HE WOULD BE ALLOWED TO SEE THE POLITICAL OFFICER
SO LONG AS HE REMEMBERED WHO HE WORKED FOR AND ACTED
ACCORDINGLY).

9. AS TO KEEPING THE AMBASSADOR APPRISED ON POSITIVE

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF: FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 329420

EIA971

PAGE 86

IN 694101 47

TOR:231508Z SEP 75

24723

COLLECTION OPERATIONS, THIS WAS DONE AS A MATTER OF COURSE BUT WITHOUT SPECIFIC DETAILS UNLESS THE AMBASSADOR WAS IN FACT SEEING AN AGENT OF OURS REGULARLY AS PART OF HIS AMBASSADORIAL DUTIES.

10. THE LIAISON SERVICES WITH WHOM WE WERE IN TOUCH WERE NAMED, INCLUDING OUR [REDACTED] PLUS OUR [REDACTED] FOR CI AND POSITIVE INTEL.

11. BOTH AARON AND TRUEHEART WERE SHOWN THE KIDS AND THE GIRLS AND TAILORED REQUIREMENTS THAT WERE SENT PERIODICALLY. THEY BOTH REMARKED THAT THE AGENCY HAS A MORE SOPHISTICATED SYSTEM FOR GETTING REQUIREMENTS TO THE FIELD THAN SAY, STATE.

12. VERY LITTLE WAS ASKED ABOUT [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED] EXCEPT THAT THEY BOTH WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT [REDACTED] WAS RUNNING THE SHOW. THEY DID ASK ABOUT CUSTOMER REACTION TO THE [REDACTED] (AMBASSADOR CRIMMINS IS AN AVID CUSTOMER AND WE WERE OF THE OPINION THAT AGENCIES IN WASHINGTON CONCERNED WITH THE [REDACTED] WERE HAPPY WITH THE RESULTS.)

13. ON HEARING THAT COS HAD BEEN IN [REDACTED] QUESTIONS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		TOTAL COPIES _____		RL BY _____	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____		S E C R E T			
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED					
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____		BY _____ AT _____ Z _____		STAFF	
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF FILE VR		1	4
				2	5
				3	6
ACTION # _____					
T 329420		EIA971		PAGE 03	
				IN 694147	
		TOR:231508Z SEP 75		24723	

WERE RAISED ON AGREED ACTIVITIES. THEY WERE BOTH SURPRISED TO FIND OUT THAT THE STATION IN [] HAD TO [] ACTIVITIES ENGAGED IN BY U.S. ELEMENTS IN THE AREA, INCLUDING GETTING PROJECT INFO MUCH LIKE THAT REQUIRED IN THE AGENCY WHICH GAVE NAMES OF [] ETC.

14. ~~AARON~~ EVINCE GREAT INTEREST [] [] HE WAS TOLD THAT [] COULD BE IMPROVED UPON, THAT WE DID HAVE A SMALL NUMBER OF OFFICERS [] THAT THEY GAVE [] ON THE ACTUAL [] THEN SPENT [] DAY PLUS A LARGE DOSE [] FOR WHICH THEY RECEIVED NO OVERTIME BUT DID THIS OUT OF HIGH MOTIVATION AND THE DESIRE TO GET AT OUR OBJECTIVES.

15. AARON KNEW OF THE OLD OPC AND OSO SEPARATIONS OF THE PAST AND PROBED ABOUT HOW THE FINAL AMALGAMATION AFFECTED MOST PEOPLE. (NOTHING TRAUMATIC, OPC AND OSO OFFICERS HAD A COMMON DENOMINATOR IN BASIC TRADECRAFT AND OTHER

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE. VR.

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

T 329420

EIA971

PAGE 04

IN 694107

TOR:231508Z SEP 75

24723

TRAINING. A GOOD OPC TYPE COULD DO EQUALLY GOOD WORK IN THE OSO FIELD, AND VICE VERSA.)

16. ABOVE ARE ONLY THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THE DISCUSSION. AARON IS IN CHARGE, HE ASKED MOST OF THE QUESTIONS, AND TOOK COPIOUS NOTES. BOTH AARON AND TRUEHEART WERE ESSENTIALLY LOW-KEY BUT THE QUESTIONS WERE SHARP, PROBING, AND AARON ESPECIALLY HAS A QUIETLY INCISIVE WAY OF GETTING AT THINGS. IT'S DIFFICULT TO TELL WHETHER THE TWO ARE FAVORABLY DISPOSED TOWARD THE AGENCY OR NOT. CERTAINLY NO ANIMOSITY WAS SHOWN, BUT NEITHER WAS THERE ANY SHOW OF GREAT LOVE FOR THE AGENCY. AN OBJECTIVE APPROACH (AT) THE MATTER AT HAND APPEARS TO BE AN APT DESCRIPTION OF HOW THEY WORKED.

17. AARON AND TRUEHEART WERE CARED FOR BY THE EMBASSY WHILE IN [] BUT ON SATURDAY IN [] AARON SEEMED TO HAVE BEEN LEFT ALONE BY THE CONGEN. COB AND COS TOOK AARON OUT TO DINNER AFTER THE BRIEFING SESSION AND HE SEEMED TO ENJOY AND APPRECIATE THE CHURRASCO. [] AND [] MIGHT WISH TO KEEP IN MIND THAT AARON IS INTERESTED IN TRYING THE BETTER KNOWN LOCAL FOODS, IS

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY		PER	TOTAL COPIES		RU	REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED			SECRET				
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED		BY	AT	Z	STAFF		
ACTION UNIT	I N F O	RF	FILE	VR	1	4	
					2	5	
ACTION #					3	6	
T 329420		EIA971		PAGE 11-11		IN 694101	
				TOR:231508Z SEP 75		24723	

A WINE LOVER AND WANTS TO SAMPLE THE BEST OF THE LOCAL PRODUCT.

18. AT DINNER ON SATURDAY NIGHT, AARON WAS ASKED BY THE COS WHAT HE THOUGHT MIGHT HAPPEN TO THE AGENCY. HE OPINED THAT THE DDO MIGHT BE SPLIT OFF FROM THE MAIN BODY OF THE AGENCY, AND PUT UNDER AN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MADE UP OF MEMBERS FROM STATE AND OTHER AGENCIES IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH MORE CONTROL OVER ITS ACTIVITIES. E2, IMPDET.

--
SECRET

*file under
SSC travel*

S E C R E T

20 August 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, LA Division

SUBJECT : Transmittal of SSC Memo Announcing
the Intention of SSC Staffers to
Visit Latin America

1. Attached is a xerox of a letter from the SSC Staff Director (Review Staff 75-2399) describing travel plans to Latin America on the part of SSC Staffers. This is for your information. You will be alerted when this becomes firm and an itinerary is established.

2. Also attached is an agreed upon memo giving guidelines of such trips. Supplementing this should be a briefing of the travelling of SSC Staffers before they go and a notification of the stations involved as to what the guidelines are.

John H. Waller
SA/DDOAttachment
As stated aboveDistribution:
Orig & 1 - Addressee w/att
2 - SA/DDOE2 IMPDET
CL BY 055636

S E C R E T

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Members of the Select Committee and
Their Staffs While Traveling Abroad

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and talk to U.S. embassy and intelligence personnel. It is essential that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious diplomatic and security problems. The following specific guidelines are applicable.

I. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC SHOULD NOT PUBLICLY APPEAR TO BE
ABROAD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INVESTIGATING U.S. INTELLIGENCE
INSTALLATIONS.

Most of these installations, including all CIA stations abroad, for example, are under some form of cover, or are maintaining a low profile. Certainly all have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are U.S. intelligence activities behind the iron curtain. These clearly need maximum protection. The mere visit of SSC representatives could be noted as evidence of U.S. intelligence presence within the country. Even in those countries where U.S. intelligence efforts enjoy the shielding and other benefits flowing from close liaison with the host government, care is required to avoid the potentially grave political repercussions

6/25/75

that could result to U.S. and host country activities from exposure of such liaison. Many countries that wish to cooperate with the United States in intelligence endeavors simply cannot afford public exposure of such relationships. To help maintain the discreet nature of the visit, it would be preferable if some reason other than SSC business could be given to explain any visits by SSC members and staff. No deliberate contact should be made with the local press, nor should comments on SSC matters be supplied if investigating officers are contacted by the press. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to the host government.

In sum, except in the case of especially sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be made for SSC visits to certain U.S. intelligence activities overseas on a case by case basis, and with senior officers within the covering embassies. Such visits are contingent upon the SSC member not publicly declaring himself to be on SSC business at the time of the visit or upon his return, and his proceeding on a basis satisfactory to the Ambassador.

II. THE AMBASSADOR AT THE EMBASSY VISITED WILL BE IN CHARGE OF THE VISIT.

All arrangements and contacts will be made by him, and because of his knowledge of local situations, his advice will be binding.

III. FIELD DISCUSSIONS WITH U.S. INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SHOULD BE CONDUCTED ONLY IN GENERAL TERMS.

It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. Field intelligence elements will only discuss operations in general terms--operational priorities, the kinds of operations conducted, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy and defense attaches, etc. In all cases, such discussions will only be conducted in physical surroundings which meet appropriate security standards.

Members and staff of the SSC should refrain from asking to see texts of or general notes on intelligence agreements between the U.S. and the host government. These are usually considered by the host government as extremely sensitive, politically and operationally, and cannot be divulged without the consent of the host government.

IV. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC WILL NOT INTERVIEW AGENT PERSONNEL.

Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members would be to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage would be done to agent morale and motivation if their identity were to be revealed to Members or staff of the SSC.

V. MEMBERS OF HOST COUNTRY INTELLIGENCE OR SECURITY SERVICES
WILL BE CONTACTED ONLY IN EXCEPTIONAL CASES.

Many foreign intelligence services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with U.S. intelligence activities, the information they pass, and the cooperation extended are all most sensitive matters. Regardless what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with members or staff of the SSC. The very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause the host service to reassess the desirability of a relationship with U.S. intelligence activities.

VI. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC SHOULD NOT VISIT FOREIGN
TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE INSTALLATIONS.

In those countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host would be firmly opposed to access by U.S. Congressional representatives, especially where there is public knowledge of the Congressional investigating interests. If such a visit is considered essential, a special request must be negotiated with the host country in advance.

VII. AN ORIENTATION BRIEFING WILL BE PROVIDED ALL MEMBERS AND STAFF, PRIOR TO DEPARTURE.

This briefing will be conducted by the DCI and other representatives of the Intelligence Community (CIA, NSA, DIA, State, etc.), as appropriate. The purpose of this briefing is to familiarize the visitor with the types of activities conducted at each location, the restraints which are imposed upon U.S. activities in each case and the considerations which preclude the discussion of operational details, names and terms in an overseas environment.

Members and staff of the SSC should bear in mind at all times that they and their activities are matters of great interest to opposition intelligence services, as well as to the press. Committee and staff members, particularly those who are publicly prominent, can hardly travel inconspicuously and will be easily recognized.

MURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOY, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

HOWARD M. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

LE, MINN.
LESTON, KY.
AN, N.C.
COLO.


United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

August 12, 1975



[Redacted]
Assistant to the Director
Room 6D0120
Central Intelligence Agency
Langley, Virginia

Dear Mr. [Redacted]

This letter is to confirm an oral request by Committee staff for an orientation briefing for a visit to South America which two members of the staff, David Aaron and William Trueheart, have been asked to make next month.

Although plans are not yet firm, it is expected that they will be free to travel during the second and third weeks of September.

[Redacted]

Messrs. Aaron and Trueheart are not expected to consult with officials of the host government and, so far as the Committee is concerned, the latter need not be informed of the visit.

Sincerely yours,

Bill

William G. Miller

copy to Mr. Hyland, State Department

*Done: C/LA + 3 LA officers
completed briefing
on 17 Sept 1975
mcy*

OUTGOING MESSAGE

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MHO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1	4	7
2	3	8
3	6	9

PAGE 1 OF 2

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

STAFF

022049Z

DIRECTOR

714410

CONF: EUR 8 INFO: FILE IFAN DDO DDO DDO OLC
 ICS PS/PG

0 INDEX DISSEM BY SS
 0 NO INDEX
 0 RETURN TO PER
 0 IP FILE #

TO: PRIORITY

REF: STATE 137637, 30 JUNE 1975 Y

1. SENATOR TOWER, MEMBER OF SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE (ASC) AND VICE CHAIRMAN OF SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) INVESTIGATING CIA, IS TRAVELING IN EUROPE IN HIS ASC CAPACITY BY U.S. AIR FORCE JET AND ^{ITH} AIR FORCE ESCORT. STATE HAS ADVISED THAT SENATOR TOWER WILL BE IN [REDACTED] 3-7 JULY WHERE HE WILL CALL ON [REDACTED]

R 2. ALTHOUGH TRAVELING ON ASC BUSINESS, SENATOR TOWER MAY TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK INTO CIA AND OTHER U.S. INTEL SERVICE ACTIVITY [REDACTED] IF HE REQUESTS A GENERAL BRIEFING. STATION SHOULD BE COOPERATIVE AND FORTHCOMING IN PROVIDING HIM WITH ONE. YOU MAY COVER THRUST OF OUR GENERAL INTEL EXCHANGE WITH THE [REDACTED] BUT SEE NO NEED TO TOUCH ON ANY JOINT OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY. STATION SHOULD NOT OFFER ARRANGE CONTACT BETWEEN SENATOR TOWER AND [REDACTED] CONTACTS.

3. FOR BACKGROUND GUIDANCE, IN THE EVENT SSC BUSINESS ARISES: HQS IS CURRENTLY WORKING WITH THE SSC TO ESTABLISH GUIDELINES FOR

DATE:
 ORIG:
 UNIT:
 EXT:

CLASSIFYING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICER

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION

FOR THAN

FOR THAN

FOR THAN

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY									
CO:	ACF	TOL	TPL	MRC	DCI				
0	0	0	0	0	0				
CLASSIFICATION						MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER			
SECRET									
MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR									
STAFF									
DATE-TIME GROUP						CITE			
CONF:						DIRECTOR			
INFO: FILE						71410			
						<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO <input type="checkbox"/> HP FILES			
						DISSEM BY: PER #			

ITS DEALINGS WITH ITS MEMBERS AND THEIR STAFFS. ALTHOUGH NOT FINAL HQS BELIEVES IT IS MORE APPROPRIATE THAT WASHINGTON BE THE PLACE WHERE OPERATIONAL DETAILS OF CIA ACTIVITY BE CONVEYED TO THE SSC. FIELD ELEMENTS SHOULD ONLY DISCUSS THEIR OPS AND ACTIVITIES IN GENERAL TERMS, I.E., OPERATIONAL PRIORITIES, TYPES OF OPERATIONS, PARTICULAR PROBLEMS AS EVOLVE FROM THE LOCAL OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT AND RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE EMBASSY AND LOCAL MILITARY SERVICES. IN ALL CASES DISCUSSIONS WILL MEET APPROPRIATE SECURITY STANDARDS IN TERMS OF PHYSICAL SURROUNDINGS. HQS IS ASKING THE SSC TO REFRAIN FROM ASKING TO SEE THE TEXTS OF OR GENERAL NOTES ON INTELLIGENCE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE U.S. AND HOST GOVERNMENTS SINCE THESE ARE CONSIDERED BY THE HOST GOVERNMENTS AS EXTREMELY SENSITIVE^{IV}, POLITICALLY AND OPERATIONALLY, AND CANNOT BE DIVULGED WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE HOST GOVERNMENT. E2 IMPDET.H

DATE: 2 JULY 1975

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

{TELECOORD}
 SA/DDO - WALLER IN SUBSTANCE
 OLC - MILLER R. CHAN

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

SECRET

CL BY: 012591

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

STAFF

011756Z

DIRECTOR

713701

CONF: EUR 8

INFO:

FILE

1P/AN, OLC, C/OP 2
PS/EG 2, DDO/DDO/DO
SA/DDO ICS, PS/PG

DISSEM BY: 7
INDEX
NO INDEX
RETURN TO PER
IF FILES #

TO: IMMEDIATE

REF: 00382 | IN 621567 | 4

1. AS YOU AWARE, IN ADDITION TO BEING A MEMBER OF THE SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE (ASC) SENATOR TOWER IS VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) INVESTIGATING CIA. SENATOR TOWER IS TRAVELLING TO EUROPE IN HIS ASC CAPACITY BY U.S. AIR FORCE JET AND WITH AIR FORCE ESCORT. WE HAVE NOT DETERMINED HIS DETAILED ITINERARY BUT WILL ADVISE YOU AS APPROPRIATE WHEN WE DO. 4

2. ALTHOUGH TRAVELLING ON ASC BUSINESS SENATOR TOWER MAY WELL TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK INTO CIA AND OTHER U.S. INTEL SERVICE ACTIVITY IN [REDACTED] SHOULD HE DO SO, THIS ASPECT OF HIS VISIT SHOULD NOT BE PUBLICIZED, BUT, IF HE REQUESTS A GENERAL BRIEFING, THE STATION SHOULD BE COOPERATIVE AND FORTHCOMING IN PROVIDING HIM WITH ONE. YOU MAY COVER THRUST OF OUR GENERAL LIAISON EXCHANGE WITH [REDACTED] OMITTING THE DETAILS INVOLVED WITH OUR INTEL EXCHANGE AND JOINT OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY. THE STATION SHOULD NOT OFFER TO ARRANGE CONTACT BETWEEN SENATOR TOWER AND ITS [REDACTED] CONTACTS.

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2

IMPDET

CL BY:

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

CONF:

INFO: FILE

0 INDEX DISSEM BY:
0 NO INDEX
0 RETURN TO PER
0 IF FILES #

THE COS WILL PROBABLY WISH TO MAKE HIMSELF AVAILABLE TO PARTICIPATE
IN ANY BRIEFING SENATOR TOWER MAY REQUEST. 4

3. FOR BACKGROUND GUIDANCE, ^{IN THE} EVENT SSC BUSINESS ARISES: HQS IS
CURRENTLY WORKING WITH THE SSC TO ESTABLISH GUIDELINES FOR ITS
DEALINGS WITH ITS MEMBERS AND THEIR STAFFS. ALTHOUGH NOT FINAL HQS
BELIEVES IT IS MORE APPROPRIATE THAT WASHINGTON BE THE PLACE WHERE
OPERATIONAL DETAILS OF CIA ACTIVITY BE CONVEYED TO THE SSC. FIELD
ELEMENTS SHOULD ONLY DISCUSS THEIR OPS AND ACTIVITIES IN GENERAL
TERMS, I.E., OPERATIONAL PRIORITIES, TYPES OF OPERATIONS, PARTICULAR
PROBLEMS AS EVOLVE FROM THE LOCAL OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT AND
RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE EMBASSY {CONSULATE} AND LOCAL MILITARY
SERVICES. IN ALL CASES DISCUSSIONS WILL MEET APPROPRIATE SECURITY
STANDARDS IN TERMS OF PHYSICAL SURROUNDINGS. HQS IS ASKING THE SSC
TO REFRAIN FROM ASKING TO SEE THE TEXTS OF OR GENERAL NOTES ON
INTELLIGENCE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE U.S. AND HOST GOVERNMENTS SINCE
THESE ARE CONSIDERED BY THE HOST GOVERNMENTS AS EXTREMELY SENSITIVE,
POLITICALLY AND OPERATIONALLY AND CANNOT BE DIVULGED WITHOUT THE
CONSENT OF THE HOST GOVERNMENT. E2 IMPDET.H

DATE: 1 JULY 1975

ORIG: GALEN PEARY/HF select

UNIT: E/G/CIL

EXT: 1582

DC/E

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT BY THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

C/SS/CAG

3D0004

EXTENSION

R9125

NO.

DATE

28 July 1975

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Mr. John Waller
SA/DDO 2B1415

29 JUL 1975

ONLY Allowed to
Review in DIRECTOR

2.

3.

Review Staff 6 D 0120

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET
SENSITIVE

28 July 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

Review Staff

VIA:

Mr. John Waller
Special Assistant to the DDO

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

1. Pursuant to your request, forwarded as attachment A is a paper providing examples of [REDACTED] governments, which is responsive to a question from the Senate Select Committee.

2. All of the cases in the attached summary are considered sensitive by the area divisions and some are considered extremely sensitive up to today. In many ways public disclosure of some of these operations could impact more severely on our foreign policy interest than the other disclosures on our foreign involvements. For example, a disclosure of our [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] With this problem in mind, we have broken the operations into two categories: Category I are those operations about which full committee could be briefed, if necessary, and Category II are those operations considered extremely sensitive about which the committee Chairman and Co-Chairman might be briefed, if absolutely necessary.

3. In addition to the attachment A summary, we also approve the use (with the full committee) of the case summary on our operations to assist [REDACTED] which was provided to you directly [REDACTED].

4. Obviously, we would prefer to forego providing this information to the committee. However, should it be necessary to do so, we would appreciate being advised promptly regarding the time and circumstances of any use of this material outside of the Agency.

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 055377

SECRET
SENSITIVE

SECRET
SENSITIVE

5. We are also providing you as attachments B, C and D copies of memoranda from EUR, AF and EA Divisions which provides additional background on division attitudes and caveats concerning the provision of this material to the Select Committee.


Chief, Covert Action Group
Services Staff

Attachments, a/s

SECRET

SENSITIVE

SECRET
SENSITIVE

28 July 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

Review Staff

VIA:

Mr. John Waller
Special Assistant to the DDO

SUBJECT:

Summary of Covert Action Operations Targeted at
Right-Wing Governments

1. Pursuant to your request, forwarded as attachment A is a paper providing examples of covert action operations targeted at right-wing governments, which is responsive to a question from the Senate Select Committee.

2. All of the cases in the attached summary are considered sensitive by the area divisions and some are considered extremely sensitive up to today. In many ways public disclosure of some of these operations could impact more severely on our foreign policy interest than the other disclosures on our foreign involvements. For example, a disclosure of our

[REDACTED] now underway. With this problem in mind, we have broken the operations into two categories: Category I are those operations about which full committee could be briefed, if necessary, and Category II are those operations considered extremely sensitive about which the committee Chairman and Co-Chairman might be briefed, if absolutely necessary.

3. In addition to the attachment A summary, we also approve the use (with the full committee) of the case summary on our operations to assist the survival of [REDACTED], which was provided to you directly by [REDACTED]

4. Obviously, we would prefer to forego providing this information to the committee. However, should it be necessary to do so, we would appreciate being advised promptly regarding the time and circumstances of any use of this material outside of the Agency.


E2 IMPDET
CL BY 055377

SECRET
SENSITIVE

SECRET

SENSITIVE

5. We are also providing you as attachments B, C and D copies of memoranda from EUR, AF and EA Divisions which provides additional background on division attitudes and caveats concerning the provision of this material to the Select Committee.



Chief, Covert Action Group
Services Staff

Attachments, a/s

SECRET

SENSITIVE

SECRET
SENSITIVE

Covert Action Operations Concerning Right-Wing Regimes

CATEGORY I

1. [] movement was first approved for FY 1965. The purpose of the support was to encourage the development of the [] as a democratic force and as one of the few mass representative organizations in []. The project was terminated at the end of FY 1973. The total approved budget for this project from its inception in CY 1964 to its termination in CY 1973 was []. Covert support to the [] was approved by the Special Group on 22 August 1967, on 25 March 1970, on 26 May 1971, and on 8 May 1972.

2. SOUTH VIETNAM: From 1955 to 1975, a series of projects was begun designed to build up grass social institutions as a means of strengthening the democratic foundations of the country. One of the most long-lasting of these was aimed at supporting the soundly democratic labor movement through the provision of guidance and funds to facilitate its growth among the workers and rural village peasants and tenant farmers.

3. [] In 1972-73, [] with access to the government succeeded in dissuading the President from military action against [].

4. [] As early as 1963, the Special Group discussed ways and means of influencing the []. In 1967, a modest project was approved for the support of [] for the purpose of offsetting "the inflammatory propaganda broadcasts to []

5. [] In 1963, CIA was directly involved in the successful efforts to prevent the return to the [] of [] and forestall his leadership of a right-wing coup designed to prevent the holding of free elections.

6. [] From 1948 to 1960, CIA exploited an asset in a neo-[] political movement both as a source of intelligence concerning its plans and actions and as a means of covertly sabotaging the movement and its [] counterparts.

SECRET
SENSITIVE

SECRET
SENSITIVE

CATEGORY II

1. [] In 1959, after consultation with the Special Group, the DCI approved an overall expenditure of [] to a leading [] political figure designed to encourage the creation of a new political party. This party was established [] The objective was to influence the liberalization of [] by having a legally constituted party in opposition [] The activity was terminated in 1962.

2. [] A project was initiated in 1962 to encourage the development of a [] which opposed [] It was funded through a [] State Department approval was received in June 1963. A total of [] was spent on this activity. The project was terminated in 1968.

3. [] In 1963, the Special Group approved a covert action project designed to encourage "emerging civilian government" vis-a-vis the military leadership following [] resignation and in anticipation of forthcoming elections; it proposed to support a group of National Assembly members.

4. [] The Special Group approved in 1967 a project designed to support a private, rural self-help organization to encourage more participation by rural farmers in local and national politics to increase their influence on the Government of the [] it involved [] It was terminated in 1970 as a project, but the movement has been continued under the auspices of the government.

5. [] Between 1950 and 1955, efforts of the CIA were directed at countering [] program to obtain wide support in Latin America for [] which was designed as one element of his campaign to expand his influence in the area. Partially as the result of CIA's efforts, the movement never caught on and it was abandoned []

6. [] From the early 1960's through the [] and the assumption of power by the conservative [], to 1972, CIA supported a [] This support was provided in spite of strong opposition to the movement first by the [] and then by [] military authorities in that area and in spite of the effect particularly after 1974 on liaison and diplomatic relations if this support by the USG were discovered. The project was terminated in 1972.

SECRET
SENSITIVE

SECRET
SENSITIVE

7. []: During the period 1962 to 1966, CIA participated in efforts to interdict moves by [] to purchase arms for military actions against his neighbors.

8. [] In 1963, the Special Group approved a program to train [] a year outside of [] to build an effective cadre of [] In 1966, the Special Group approved a modest program designed to strengthen the [] [] through supportive efforts with youth, political and labor elements.

SECRET
SENSITIVE

SECRET

22 JUL 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. John Waller
Special Assistant to DDO

SUBJECT: Summary of Covert Action Operations
Against Right-Wing Governments

REFERENCE: SS/CAG Memorandum of 18 July 1975,
Same Subject

We have reviewed referent memorandum and have the following comments on those paragraphs which concern

13. [] While this case is somewhat bizarre and does not represent a productive operation, we pose no objection to its being presented to the Senate Select Committee.

14. [] We have no objection to the presentation of these programs to the Senate Select Committee for review although we share concern expressed by SS/CAG that a leak of this information would be detrimental to the base negotiations.

signed/William W. Wells

William W. Wells
Chief, European Division

DDO/DC/E/NW [] (22 July 75)

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee
1 - SS/CAG
1 - C/EUR
1 - DC/E/NW
1 - E/G
1 - E/IB

B2 IMPDET
CL BY 061414

SECRET

SECRET/SENSITIVE

25 JUL 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Covert Action Group, Services Staff

SUBJECT : Covert Action Operations Against Right
Wing Regimes

1. Attached are revisions of the descriptions of the three covert action operations [] cited in your memorandum to Mr. John Waller dated 18 July 1975.

2. In the case of [] we understand from your discussions with [] that the [] has not been eliminated from your list but that the Director will request that discussion of it be limited to the Chairman and Vice-Chairman only of the Senate Select Committee. The Director should be informed of the potentially embarrassing procedural errors in the presentation and review of this project before the 303 Committee, and the risks of exposure to two former assets who have been jailed by the [] regime.

3. [] was undertaken without 303 Committee knowledge or approval. Subsequently, the project was presented to the 303 Committee on 22 August 1967 and Mr. Walt Rostow, then Chairman of the Security Council, asked why it had not been brought before the Committee before. He was told that for projects costing [] it had been the DCI's discretion to determine if a given project was sufficiently politically sensitive to warrant bringing it before the committee. Mr. Rostow directed that the 303 Committee should examine all political action projects. Nevertheless, the project was not submitted to the Committee for review in 1968, 1969 or 1973.

4. In addition to these procedural errors, the arrest in 1974 and continued detention of [] who are former assets under this project, and accusations in

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 009904**SECRET/SENSITIVE**

SECRET/SENSITIVE

the [] press that the [] received support from the U.S. Government, make any disclosure of CIA interest in the [] potentially dangerous to our former assets. Neither of the former assets was arrested because of his CIA connections, and neither of the two assets nor the [] have been accused of CIA involvement. An admission now of CIA support to [] or to the two [] in jail could be a sentence of death for them.

5. In the case of [] CIA support to a leading [] political figure to encourage the creation of a [] remains a very sensitive matter. The principal agent is still a [] for the [] and is a [] of the [] Exposure of the operation could lead to his identification which would be extremely damaging to him, to the opposition party and to our government's relations in []. For these reasons, we believe it would be desirable for the DCI to limit discussion of this operation to the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Select Committee only.

6. The [] which was used to fund the development of a [] organization in [] was the [] located in []. The [] and organizations which received funds from [] were not aware that the actual origin of the funds was the United States Government and presumably do not now know of U.S. Government or CIA involvement. Aside from exposing a U.S. Government involvement where none is known to have existed, [] was used to support a number of other covert action operations in [] and elsewhere and exposure of the [] would have ramifications other than in []. The Director should be briefed on [] and its range of activities on behalf of CIA if he has not already been informed.

[]
Deputy Chief, Africa Division

Attachments:
As Stated Above

SECRET/SENSITIVE

SECRET

21 JUL 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR : Mr. John Waller
Special Assistant to the DDO

SUBJECT : Covert Action Operations Against
Right Wing Governments

REFERENCE : Chief, SS/CAG Memorandum, dated
18 July 1975
Subject: "Summary of Covert Action
Operations Against Right Wing Governments"

1. EA Division is concerned about Reference and its possible use in briefing the Senate Select Committee. Specifically, our concern centers on describing the EA operations contained in the attachment to Reference as "were mounted against" "right wing governments". None of the EA operations -- DNSCOOP, DNSPROUT (both of which took place in [] and TUMIX (which took place in [] -- were or should be so described as being mounted against the government then in power. In fact, just the opposite is true. All of these operations, while covert in the sense that the U.S. Government hand was never disclosed, were primarily designed to broaden the base of the governments in power and enhance its stayability by providing advice and support to "grass root elements" in an attempt to get these elements to function as a more cohesive organization in seeking participation in the governmental process.

2. While all of the operations have been terminated, the DNSCOOP operation is perhaps the most sensitive of the three, in that it represented an initial effort by the Agency to establish contact with opposition elements in []. As noted above, the purpose of this contact was not to overthrow the ruling government but rather to assist the opposition in establishing itself as a more viable force in its effort to establish a two-party system in []. The Agency today

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 014848

SECRET

SECRET

maintains contact with the major opposition leaders in [redacted] and this contact is well known to and approved by the U.S. Ambassador in [redacted] as well as the Assistant Secretary for East Asia and Pacific Affairs, Department of State.

3. We see no real value to be gained if any of these operations were to be raised with the Senate Select Committee.

[redacted]
Acting Chief, East Asia Division

cc: DC/Operations Staff
C/SS/CAG

SECRET

SECRET

July 20

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Members of the Select
Committee and Their Staffs While
Traveling Abroad

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and be in conversation with our Stations. It is important that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious security problems from arising. The following specific guidelines are considered important:

- Members of the SSC and members of its staff should not publicly appear to be abroad for purposes of investigating CIA installations. CIA Stations abroad, all of which are under some form of cover, have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are Stations behind the iron curtain or in other particularly sensitive areas which need maximum protection. At the other extreme are Stations which enjoy close liaison with the host government and are thus less sensitive. In the first case, Stations existing under strict cover conditions in sensitive environments, the very presence of SSC members could be highly provocative by flaunting the fact of CIA's presence. But even in countries in which we enjoy a good liaison with the host country's security service, there remains a need to be discreet. Many countries whose services want to be cooperative with CIA cannot afford public or parliamentary exposure of the relationship. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to a host government.

SECRET

SECRET

- 2 -

In sum, except in the case of very sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be arranged for SSC visits to CIA's overseas stations and with senior officers within the covering Embassy provided the SSC member does not publicly declare himself to be on SSC business and maintains his Station contacts on a discreet basis.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not question Station personnel on specific operational detail. It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. It is at Headquarters where the guidelines are best understood, where the most complete and authentic records are kept (many Stations finding themselves in dangerous crisis situations, have periodically destroyed their files). There is no reason, however, that Station Chiefs should not discuss operations in general terms -- operational priorities, the kinds of operations, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy, the service attaches, etc.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not interview agent personnel. Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members would be to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage could be done to agent morale and motivation if they were to think their role had been revealed to members of Congress.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not in most cases be in contact with members of host country's intelligence or security services. Many services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with CIA, the information they pass us and the sort of cooperation extended as a most sensitive matter.

SECRET

SECRET

- 3 -

No matter what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with the SSC or its staff, and the very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause them to reassess the desirability of a relationship with CIA or other U.S. intelligence agencies. Exceptions can perhaps be made in special cases.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not visit foreign technical intelligence installations. Again there may be exceptions to this which can be arranged, but in most countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host service would be opposed to access by Congressional representatives.

SECRET

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 15

TOTAL COPIES 15-0

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

FILE

VR

C/IR/AN, DDD, D/OLC
ICS, C/OPS, C/PS/EG2, C/PS/PG
CY-SA/DDO

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

ACTION #

T 126005

EIA165

PAGE 01

IN 620738

TOR1301301Z JUN 75

628897

S E C R E T 301128Z JUN 75 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 628897

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT

REF DIRECTOR 712872

1. IN MEETING WITH COS ON 30 JUNE, AMBASSADOR TOLD COS THAT HE HAD LUNCH WITH SENATOR MONDALE ON 28 JUNE AND ENGAGED IN BRIEF GENERAL DISCUSSION OF INVESTIGATION OF BKHHERALD BY SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE. AMBASSADOR FOUND SENATOR MONDALE ENTIRELY REASONABLE IN HIS APPROUACH AND FULLY AWARE OF DIFFICULTY OF JUDGING EVENTS AND DECISIONS OF EARLY 1960'S BY STANDARDS OF 1975.

2. AMBASSADOR REPORTED THAT SENATOR MONDALE STATED THAT HE HAD MADE SOME EFFORT TO CONTACT [REDACTED] ON JUNE 28 WITHOUT SUCCESS. IN LIGHT OF REF, COS DID NOT ATTEMPT TO CONTACT SENATOR MONDALE ON 28 JUNE. IN CONVERSATION WITH COS ON 30 JUNE,

[REDACTED]
IN WASHINGTON LAST WEEK FORWARDED REQUEST FROM SENATOR MONDALE TO MEET [REDACTED] ON 30 JUNE. SINCE [REDACTED] HAD TO BE IN PARIS ON 28 JUNE AND [REDACTED] HAD NOT YET RETURNED FROM HIS ASIAN

S E C R E T

EAGLE REC DISSEM BY

REF

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

S E C R E T

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

STAFF

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 126005

EIA165

PAGE 02-02

IN 620738

TOR1301301Z JUN 75

628897

TRIP [REDACTED] WASHINGTON WAS ASKED TO INFORM MONDALE
 THAT THEY WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE AT THAT TIME. THIS IS FOR
 YOUR BACKGROUND IN EVENT SENATOR RAISES THE POINT WITH HQS.
 E2 IMPDET.

S E C R E T

CABLE NO. DISSEM BY

REF

TOTAL COPIES

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBIT

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

FILE

VR

C/PLANNING

DDO

1

4

2

5

3

6

ACTION #

C/PLANNING

clal.p3.

T 121509

EIA815

PAGE 01

IN 619528

TOR1271651Z JUN 75

41001

S E C R E T 271217Z JUN 75 STAFF

CITE [REDACTED] 41001

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT

FROM [REDACTED]

REF DIRECTOR 708791

1. IT WILL GREATLY FACILITATE PLANNING SCHEDULE FOR SENATOR MONDALE IF A MORE SPECIFIC ITINERARY CAN BE OBTAINED TOGETHER WITH SOME INDICATION OF HOW MUCH TIME THE SENATOR PLANS TO MAKE AVAILABLE TO US DURING HIS [REDACTED] VISIT. IN VIEW OF THE UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCE [REDACTED] GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES ARE NOT CENTRALLY LOCATED IN ONE PLACE, PLUS THE SPECIAL NATURE OF [REDACTED]

I AM GIVING THOUGHT TO HAVING CHIEFS OF BASE [REDACTED] PARTICIPATE BUT AS OF PRESENT TIME WE UNCERTAIN EVEN WHAT DAY SENATOR WILL ACTUALLY BE IN [REDACTED]

2. WE ALSO PERPLEXED BY PARA 6 REF RE "SIMILAR INDEPENDENT CONTACT WITH [REDACTED] SINCE, AS HQS AWARE, [REDACTED] IS LOCATED [REDACTED] WE ARE EQUALLY PUZZLED BY THE COMMENT IN PARA 7 ABOUT 'SEEING THE [REDACTED] FACILITY' WHICH SEEMS TO SUGGEST RESURGENCE OF LONG-STANDING MISCONCEPTION THAT [REDACTED] IS A

S E C R E T

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ TOTAL COPIES _____ RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____ AT _____ Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF. FILE VR

ACTION #

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 121509

EIA815

PAGE 02-02

IN 619528

TOR:271651Z JUN 75

41001

PHYSICAL FACILITY HOUSING [REDACTED] THERE
 IS NO [REDACTED] FACILITY AS SUCH, JUST A FEW SAFE APARTMENTS
 [REDACTED] AND I PLAN TO BRIEF THE SENATOR ON [REDACTED]
 ACTIVITIES AS PART OF THE [REDACTED] PRESENTATION. IF THE SENATOR
 PLANS TO VISIT ANY OTHER LOCATION [REDACTED] IN
 ADDITION [REDACTED] WE URGENTLY REQUEST EARLY ADVICE THEREOF.

3. ASSUME SENATOR HAS BEEN BRIEFED IN GENERAL TERMS AT LEAST
 ON AGENCY PROCEDURES FOR TRACING AND CLEARING OPERATIONAL SOURCES,
 REPORTING ON THEIR ACTIVITIES, AND AGENCY FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING
 PROCEDURES, BUT WOULD PLAN USE THESE PROCEDURES AS FRAMEWORK
 IN DESCRIBING EXERCISE OF CONTROL AND SUPERVISION IN THE FIELD,
 IN ADDITION TO PROVIDING GENERALIZED DESCRIPTION OF MAJOR AREAS
 OF STATION OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY.

4. WILL GREATLY APPRECIATE ETA OF SENATOR AND PARTY [REDACTED]
 AS SOON AS THIS CAN POSSIBLY BE PROCURED. E2 IMPDET.

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2 70

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 2 PERTOTAL COPIES 1-1

RUN B

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

4EUR BY A AT1145 ZSTAFF **PRECEDENCE**

ACTION UNIT

4EUR 6

ACTION #

I
N
F
ORF. FILE VR 4HAN, DDO, OLC, ICS,
4KOP 3, 9OPS, 4PSIEG 2

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 120565

EIA892

PAGE 01

IN 619109

TOR:271119Z JUN 75

62823

S E C R E T 271112Z JUN 75 STAFF

CITE 62823

TO: IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR.

RYBAT

REF A. DIRECTOR 708791

B. STATE 146723 DTD 21 JUNE

C. STATE 150884 DTD 26 JUNE

1. WE NOTE CHANGES IN SENATOR MONDALE TRIP.

2. REFS B & C INDICATE MONDALE ARRIVING ~~LOS ANGELES~~ ^{LONDON} 28 JUNE
DEPARTING 29TH, TRAVELLING NORWAY THEN RETURNING TO WASHINGTON
VIA LONDON ON 7 JULY. NO MENTION OF OTHER MEMBERS OF PARTY
EXCEPT MRS. MONDALE. REALIZE INFO MAY BE COVER FOR TRIP, BUT
WOULD LIKE CLARIFICATION. DOES SENATOR EXPECT BRIEFINGS

 ON 28TH VICE 30 JUNE AND 1 JULY?

3. PLS ADVISE. E2 IMPDET

* NO RECORD IN CABLE SECRETARIAT

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 1 PER TOTAL COPIES 17-1RUN BY 28PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

FILE

VR

O/I/P/A/N

D/D/O

C/M/EZ-2

ACTION #

N
F
O

D/O/LC

C/M/EZ-3

40RS

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 112809

EIA332

PAGE 01

IN 616087

TORI241622Z JUN 75

62701

S E C R E T 241451Z JUN 75 STAFF

CITE 62701

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT

REF A, DIRECTOR 708791

B. 62615

(013590)

1. RE PARA 5 REF A,

INFORMED COS AT LUNCH 24 JUNE THAT AS RESULT OF REQUEST FROM

SENATOR MONDALE ARE TENTATIVELY PLANNING TO MEET WITH SENATOR MONDALE

ON 1 JULY TO DISCUSS BOTH HOW POLICY CONTROL IS EXERCISED OVER

2. MADE IT CLEAR THAT HE AND WERE THE
ONLY ONES AUTHORIZED TO DEAL WITH SENATOR MONDALE AND THAT
SENATOR MONDALE WILL NOT BE MEETING WITH GNGRAPH OR JAGUAR
REPS.

3. WOULD APPRECIATE REPLY TO GUIDANCE REQUEST IN REF B.

E2 IMPDET

S E C R E T

SECRET

Review Staff: 75/1009
24 June 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Senator Gary Hart's Request to Talk
to QJWIN While Traveling in Europe

This is for your information concerning Senator Gary Hart's request to talk to a former agent while the senator is traveling in Europe.

The agent is QJWIN. He was first approached in 1958 through the head of the [redacted]. At first he was used mainly to spot potential agents in various [redacted] countries. Later he became the major asset under ZRRIFLE. In this capacity he made a brief visit to [redacted] but took no actions.

On the weekend of 7 June 1975, members of the Senate Select Committee staff spent many hours at Headquarters reading files, including QJWIN and ZRRIFLE, among others. QJWIN's true name contract is in the file which they read. So is a street and post office address as of 1964, the time when we ended our contract with this agent. We have not contacted him since.

We have heard that David Aaron of the Senate Select Committee staff telephoned QJWIN. This report remains unconfirmed. We have protested to Bill Miller.

It is difficult to imagine how a U.S. senator could talk to a former agent without arousing the interest of the local intelligence service [redacted] and of the host government who might wonder about the propriety of investigations being conducted on their territory and involving one of their citizens.

In any event, an approach of this nature would be of interest to the Department of State, and the senator might wish to consult with the Department before proceeding.

Walter Elder
Walter Elder

CB: 007789
E2 IMPDET

SECRET

SECRET

Talking Points For Use With Senator Gary Hart On His Proposed
Contact With QJWYN

1. Senatorial contact with a former CIA agent would run the risk of his exposure to his local government and the press. Because of his former activities and relationships he might be subjected to reprisals from abroad, possible prosecution for espionage at home from his local government and harassment from the media.
2. Active as well as former CIA agents abroad would have further cause to believe that the American intelligence service is incapable of protecting its informants from disclosure. Contacting an agent under the insecure conditions involved would violate the Agency's promise to this former informant to protect the confidentiality of the relationship.
3. Foreign intelligence services with which the CIA is in liaison would find this approach highly unprofessional and risky. It is bound to raise further questions about the dangers of continued confidential cooperation with the CIA.
4. It is possible that the local government involved might lodge a formal protest to the US mission protesting the unusual procedure of a Senate investigating committee interrogating its nationals in violation of its sovereignty without requesting formal permission.

6/24/75

Classified by <u>014359</u>
Exempt from general declassification authority of E.O. 11652 except where schedule 01 (1), (2), (3) Automatically declassified on Date impossible to determine

SECRET

SECRET

Exec. Reg. Registry

75-6905

Review Staff: 75/868
20 June 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

This is for your information concerning Senator Gary Hart's request to talk to a former agent of ours while traveling in Europe.

You should know that we have been told that the Senate Select Committee staff has already been in touch with this agent by telephone. We do not know how they learned his true name or his whereabouts. We have already advised David Aaron of the Senate Select Committee staff of a potential problem area in connection with such a proposed meeting. The agent in question is, of course, a subject of interest to the local intelligence service and we doubt that the host government or the intelligence service would agree that a United States senator, especially a member of the Senate Select Committee, may appropriately conduct such investigations within its territory without clearance to do so.

In any event, an approach of this nature would be of interest and indeed of concern to the Department of State and the senator might be well advised to consult with them before proceeding.

For your information, the agent referred to is QJWIN and we have not been in touch with him since about 1951.

/s/
Walter Elder

EB

CB: 007789
E2 IMPDET

SECRET

*Handcarried
orig to DCI.*

MEMORANDUM FOR: DCI

6/25 DDO *[initials]*
ADDO *[initials]* ←
SA/DOO —

More facts, per your request, on the QJWIN matter.

Talking points for your use with Sen. Gary Hart are included.

H. Knoche 6-24-75
(DATE)

PROPOSED DOD
CHANGES SHOWN
HERE, PP 2 and 5,
WITHIN THE HEAVY
BRACKETS,

SUBJECT: GUIDELINES FOR MEMBERS OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE AND
THEIR STAFFS WHILE TRAVELING ABROAD

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and talk to U.S. embassy and intelligence personnel. It is essential that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious diplomatic and security problems. The following specific guidelines are applicable.

I. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC SHOULD NOT PUBLICLY APPEAR TO BE ABROAD FOR THE PURPOSE OF INVESTIGATING U.S. INTELLIGENCE INSTALLATIONS.

Most of these installations, including all CIA stations abroad, for example, are under some form of cover, or are maintaining a low profile. Certainly all have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are U.S. intelligence activities behind the iron curtain. These clearly need maximum protection. Their mere visit of SSC representatives could be noted as evidence of U.S. intelligence presence within the country. Even in those countries where U.S. intelligence efforts enjoy the shielding and other benefits flowing from close liaison with the host government, care is required to avoid the potentially grave political repercussions that

25 June - latest version, incorporating
proposed changes by DoD.

could result to U.S. and host country activities from exposure of such liaison. [Several foreign governments or entities thereof now willing to cooperate privately with the U.S. in intelligence agreements-exchanges maintain strong^{ly} neutral or anti-U.S. foreign policies; continuation of such agreements-exchanges is therefore dependent upon avoidance of their public disclosure. In many cases termination of such agreements would result in the loss of unique strategic intelligence, and alternate collection methods are either not available or are prohibitively expensive.]

To help maintain the discreet nature of the visit, it would be preferable if some reason other than SSC business could be given to explain any visits by SSC members and staff. No deliberate contact should be made with the local press, nor should comments on SSC matters be supplied if investigating officers are contacted by the press. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to the host government.

In sum, except in the case of especially sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be made for SSC visits to certain U.S. intelligence activities overseas on a case by case basis, and with senior officers within the covering embassies. Such visits are contingent upon the SSC member not publicly declaring himself to be on SSC business at the time of the visit or upon his return, and his proceeding on a basis satisfactory to the Ambassador.

II. THE AMBASSADOR AT THE EMBASSY VISITED WILL BE IN CHARGE OF THE VISIT.

All arrangements and contacts will be made by him, and because of his knowledge of local situations, his advice will be binding.

Agreement
III. FIELD DISCUSSIONS WITH U.S. INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SHOULD BE CONDUCTED ONLY IN GENERAL TERMS.

Barre
It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. Field intelligence elements will only discuss operations in general terms--operational priorities, the kinds of operations conducted, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy and defense attaches, etc. In all cases, such discussions will only be conducted in physical surroundings which meet appropriate security standards.

Members and staff of the SSC should refrain from asking to see texts of or general notes on intelligence agreements between the U.S. and the host government. These are usually considered by the host government as extremely sensitive, politically and operationally, and cannot be divulged without the consent of the host government.

IV. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC WILL NOT INTERVIEW AGENT PERSONNEL.

Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members

would be to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage would be done to agent morale and motivation if their identity were to be revealed to Members or staff of the SSC.

V. MEMBERS OF HOST COUNTRY INTELLIGENCE OR SECURITY SERVICES
WILL BE CONTACTED ONLY IN EXCEPTIONAL CASES.

Many foreign intelligence services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with U.S. intelligence activities, the information they pass, and the cooperation extended are all most sensitive matters. Regardless what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with members or staff of the SSC. The very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause the host service to reassess the desirability of a relationship with U.S. intelligence activities.

VI. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC SHOULD NOT VISIT FOREIGN TECHNICAL
INTELLIGENCE INSTALLATIONS.

In those countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host would be firmly opposed to access by U.S. Congressional representatives, especially where there is public knowledge of the Congressional investigating interests. If such

a visit is considered essential, a special request must be negotiated with the host country in advance.

[Senate Select Committee principals and staff should understand the distinction between U.S. intelligence installations abroad and joint U.S.-foreign intelligence installations or foreign intelligence installations supported by the U.S. intelligence community. Access to the former is at the discretion of the U.S. Ambassador; access to the latter two is at the discretion of the foreign government involved.]

VII. AN ORIENTATION BRIEFING WILL BE PROVIDED ALL MEMBERS AND STAFF, PRIOR TO DEPARTURE.

This briefing will be conducted by the DCI and other representatives of the Intelligence Community (CIA, NSA, DIA, State, etc.), as appropriate. The purpose of this briefing is to familiarize the visitor with the types of activities conducted at each location, the restraints which are imposed upon U.S. activities in each case and the considerations which preclude the discussion of operational details, names and terms in an overseas environment.

Members and staff of the SSC should bear in mind at all times that they and their activities are matters of great interest to opposition intelligence services, as well as to the press. Committee and staff members, particularly those who are publicly prominent, can hardly travel inconspicuously and will be easily recognized.

FORM 8000
7-71 MFG. 2/75CABLE SEC DISSEM BY **38** PERTOTAL COPIES **17-2**

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY AT Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

REF. FILE VR *cl/plan, cl/stop3, cl/ops.*

ACTION #

I
N
F
O*cl/plan, cl/ops, cl/ops.*

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

T 105747

EIA405

PAGE 01

IN 613590

TOR1201921Z JUN 75

62615

S E C R E T 201745Z JUN 75 STAFFCITE **62615**

TO: DIRECTOR,

RYBAT

REF A. DIRECTOR 707518

B. DIRECTOR 708791

1. THANK YOU FOR ADVICE REGARDING SENATOR MONDALE'S
PROPOSED VISIT, AND AMBASSADOR AND MINISTER HAVE BOTH BEEN
ALERTED.

2. IN RESPONSE TO PARA 6 REF A, COS PLANS TO BRIEF SENATOR
MONDALE ON STRUCTURE

AND THE NATURE OF OUR INTERFACE WITH IT WITH PARTICULAR STRESS
ON THE GROUND RULES GOVERNING OUR RELATIONSHIP. COS WILL ALSO
COVER THE STATION'S ORGANIZATION, PRIORITIES, AND THE GENERAL
KINDS OF OPERATIONS BEING RUN. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE
ANSWERS TO A NUMBER OF SPECIFIC QUESTIONS THAT OCCUR TO US:

A. WHAT CLEARANCES DO SENATOR MONDALE AND HIS TWO STAFF
ASSISTANTS ~~HAVE?~~ ^{HAVE?} B. TO WHAT EXTENT HAS THE SENATOR BEEN INFORMED
ABOUT AGEE CASE, AND IN WHAT DETAIL SHOULD THIS CASE BE COVERED
WITH HIM AND HIS TWO ASSISTANTS? C. TO WHAT EXTENT SHOULD

S E C R E T

7-71 MFG. 2/75

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY _____ PER _____

TOTAL COPIES _____

RUN BY _____

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

S E C R E T

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIB

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED _____

BY _____

AT _____

Z _____

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

RF

FILE

VR

ACTION #

1

4

2

5

3

6

T 105747

EIA405

PAGE 02-02

IN 613590

TOR1201921Z JUN 75

62615

SPECIFIC CA PROJECTS BE DESCRIBED. ASSUME THERE IS NOT OBJECTIONS
TO POINTING OUT THE DAMAGE DONE BY LEAKAGE IN WASHINGTON TO
TOHAWKBIT, AS A WAY OF DRAMATIZING THE NEED FOR SECURITY IN THE
COURSE OF THE SENATE INVESTIGATION. D. IF THE STATION
IS TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SENATOR'S HOTEL AND TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS,
WE WOULD APPRECIATE BEING SO INFORMED. E2 IMPDET

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY										PAGE OF PAGES	
AGE	COI	ACP	TOG	TPE	MRO	OCI	1	2	3	4	5
OMF	0	0	0	0	0	0					
CLASSIFICATION											

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

STAFF

101733Z

DIRECTOR

708791

CONF: C/ECR6 INFO: FILE C/PLAN, DOD, DOD/DOO,
C/PELEG2, P/OLC, D/ICS
C/CLAP3

0 INDEX DISSEM BY: 2
0 NO INDEX
0 RETURN TO PER

TO:

RYBATY

REF: DIRECTOR 707518 (NOT NEEDED)

IP FILES

1. (REF CONCERNED VISIT OF SENATOR MONDALE TO EUROPE IN EARLY JULY ON SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES.) Y

2. SENATOR MONDALE'S ITINERARY IS NOT YET FIRM. NOW APPEARS HE WILL ARRIVE [] ON 30 JUNE FOR TWO-DAY STAY THEN PROCEED OSLO AND HELSINKI FOR VISITS NOT CONNECTED WITH SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES. CURRENT PLANS BRING HIM TO [] 7 JULY FOR TWO-DAY STAY THEN TO [] (1 DAY) AND [] (2 DAYS). NO DECISION YET AS TO WHICH CITY WILL BE VISITED ^(DIRECTLY FROM) [] Y

3. MR. DAVID AARON ADVISES THAT SENATOR WISHES THE DISCUSSIONS TO BE GENERIC IN NATURE. HE WANTS TO KNOW THE STATIONS' PRIORITIES AND THE GENERAL KINDS OF OPERATIONS BEING RUN, BUT HE IS NOT SEEKING SPECIFICS. Y

4. MR. AARON STATES THERE WILL BE NO PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT OF SELECT COMMITTEE CONNECTIONS, AND IT IS NOT YET CLEAR WHETHER THE SENATOR'S PARTY WILL BE TRAVELING BY AIR FORCE OR COMMERCIAL

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
CL BY:

012444

OUTGOING MESSAGE

2	5	8	
3	6	9	

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

AGE

COI

ACP

TOG

TPE

MRG

DCI

PAGE

OF

PAGES

OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

2

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

STAFF

DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO: FILE

708790

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:☐ NO INDEX☐ RETURN TO PER☐ IF FILES #

AIRCRAFT.4

5

MR. AARON HAS BEEN IN TOUCH WITH

BUT NOT THROUGH CIA ARRANGEMENT. SENATOR MONDALE HAS

ASKED FOR DISCUSSIONS

WE ARE

NOT ASKED FOR ANY ASSISTANCE IN THIS AFFAIR.4

6

SENATOR MONDALE PLANS A SIMILAR INDEPENDENT

CONTACT

7. IN A GENERAL REVIEW OF THE TRIP, MR. AARON SAID THAT IN

THE SENATOR WOULD WISH TO DISCUSS EAST/WEST RELATIONS AND

HOW THESE AFFECT THE OPERATIONAL PICTURE IN THAT CITY. IN

THE SENATOR WILL WISH TO LOOK INTO RELATIONS AND

MAY ALSO BE INTERESTED IN SEEING THE FACILITY. IN

THE SENATOR WILL EXPECT TO REVIEW THE STATION'S VARIED PROGRAM. 4

8. WE NOTED THE SENATOR'S INVESTIGATION MAY STILL BE SUBJECT

TO HIGHER LEVEL EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT DIRECTION OF WHICH WE HAVE NOT

YET BEEN INFORMED. E2, IMPDET.4

DATE: 17 JUNE 1975

ORIG: WILLIAM W. WELLS

E.H. KNOCH

UNIT: C/EUR

EXT: 1012

WILLIAM W. WELLS, C/EUR

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

JOHN WALLER, SA/DDO

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

CL BY:

SECRET

SECRET

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

DATE AND PLACE: 17 June 1975 1000 - 1100
Room 2E-45

SUBJECT: Conversation by Mr. John Waller, SA/DDO with
Mr. David Aaron, member of Senator Mondale's
staff, with Regard to the Senator's Proposed
Trip to Europe

1. According to Mr. Aaron the Senator and Mr. Aaron's itinerary, while not yet fixed, will be along the following lines:

- a. 30 June - 1 July: []
- b. Monday, 7 June: [], for one day
- c. [] Although the order has not yet been determined, the [] stop would be two days and the [] stop, one day.

In the period between [] the party intends to visit Oslo and Helsinki. The purpose of this part of the trip, however, has nothing to do with the SSC and the party does not want or need []

2. Mr. Aaron agrees that in discussions with Stations, the party should not get into specific operational cases. They want to discuss "more generic" subjects: operational priorities, relations with the Embassy, problems, scope of liaison, operating conditions, etc. Their basic interests are related to "command and control". It had occurred to Aaron that [] would be a good example of a Station which concentrates on "East - West" operations (read third country, Sov and Sov Bloc operations). He recognized [] was a liaison station. Mondale, however, was anxious to talk to []

SECRET

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 055636

SECRET

- 2 -

[] he felt, would provide some understanding of "third world" operations. [] he felt, would be a good example of the inter-relations of a CIA Station with the Military and the Foreign Service.

3. Mr. Aaron stated that he had already sought out [] of the [] requesting that contacts be arranged in [] with the appropriate Foreign Office or Intelligence Offices in order to discuss the [] experiences in "command and control". Aaron is also interested in how the [] works. He had heard that it was proving inadequate. Mr. Aaron stated that [] had not been enthusiastic about the idea but promised to look into it. Aaron felt that it had been better to work directly with [] whom he claimed to know, than to involve the Agency's go-between.

4. Mr. Aaron intended to make a similar approach to the [] Embassy.

5. Mr. Waller commented that such approaches to foreign governments would make our liaison services uneasy and could adversely affect their willingness to cooperate. To this reason, we did not favor involving foreign governments -- at whatever level or wherever in the bureaucracy. Moreover, contacts with foreign governments increased the likelihood that the true purpose of the Senator's trip could leak to the press. Mr. Waller mentioned that he would want to bring this to the attention of others.

6. Mr. Aaron agreed that the Senator, through press conferences or otherwise, would not make public the fact that his trip was connected with Select Committee investigations.

7. Mr. Aaron said that he and the Senator were in touch with Mr. Hyland of the State Department with regard to this trip. He also suggested that they might get in touch with military intelligence services in Washington and in the field. He made specific reference to "Naval Intelligence".

8. Mr. Aaron stressed the fact that the itinerary was still tentative and subject to change.

SECRET

SECRET

- 3 -

9. At one point, Mr. Aaron asked if CIA had any aircraft in Europe which could be put at Senator Mondale's disposal. Mr. Waller replied negatively.

10. Mr. Aaron felt that it was unlikely that Mr. Bader would be a member of the Senator's party.

Distribution:

1 - Mr. Knoche
1 - Mr. Wells
1 - Miss Page

--

SECRET

7-71 200.005

CABLE SEC DISSEM BY 2 PERTOTAL COPIES 8-1

RUN BY

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

S E C R E TREPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN
ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED/SLOTTED

BY

AT

Z

STAFF

ACTION UNIT

I
N
F
O

BF. FILE

VR

411AN, DDO, OLC, ICS,

411OP2, 40PS, 4PSIEG2

1
2
34
5
6

ACTION #

T 092461

EIA462

PAGE 01

IN 608574

TOR1160921Z JUN 75

26077

S E C R E T 166853Z JUN 75 STAFFCITE 26077

TO: DIRECTOR.

RYBAT

REF: DIRECTOR 707518

1. ALTHOUGH WE WOULD GENERALLY PLAN BRIEF SENATOR MONDALE ON STATION'S POSITION PRIMARY TARGETS, ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND DEPLOYMENT FOR OPERATIONS, CAN OF COURSE ADJUST TO SUIT HIS REQUIREMENTS, WHICH HOPEFULLY WILL COME INTO CLEARER FOCUS AFTER HQS BRIEFING MENTIONED PARA 0 REF.

2. IF POSSIBLE, WOULD APPRECIATE CONFIRMATION THAT STAFF AIDE, MR. WILLIAM BADER (PARA 2 REF) IS IDENTICAL WITH PERSON OF SAEM NAME WHO WAS FORD FOUNDATION REPRESENTATIVE IN PARIS LATE SIXTIES AND AT THAT TIME WAS NAVAL RESERVE LIEUTENANT COMMANDER.

3. NO FILE, E2 IMPDET.

Handwritten: D
OHB
JW

S E C R E T

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE NRO DCI
 0MF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP

141651Z

CITE

DIRECTOR

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

707518

CONF: C/WR 6 INFO: FILE DCI, D/DCI, (DAO), CS/RF, OAC,
 C/PLAN, DDO/DO, C/CILOP3, C/OPS, C/LSN/D,

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY: 38
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ ID FILES #

TO:

RYBAT 4

SUBJECT: THE VISIT OF SENATOR MONDALE OF MINNESOTA TO EUROPE
 AT THE END OF THE MONTH. 4

1. THE SENATORIAL PARTY WISHES TO VISIT CIA FIELD STATIONS
 TO EXAMINE AGENCY COMMAND AND CONTROL IN THE FIELD. SENATOR
 MONDALE WILL WISH TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT HOW STATIONS OPERATE AND
 THE RELATIONS BETWEEN THE STATION AND THE EMBASSY. PRESUMABLY
 THE SENATOR WILL ALSO WISH TO EXAMINE OUR RELATIONS. 4

2. SENATOR MONDALE WILL BE TRAVELING WITH HIS PERSONAL
 AIDE, MR. DAVID AARON, WHO IS THE DIRECTOR OF THE TASK FORCE
 ON COMMAND AND CONTROL OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE INVESTI-
 GATING CIA ACTIVITIES. MR. WILLIAM BADER, A SECOND STAFF MEMBER,
 MAY ALSO ACCOMPANY THE SENATOR. 4

3. HEADQUARTERS WILL ^{REQUEST OF} ~~SUGGEST~~ TO SENATOR MONDALE THAT HE USE
 OTHER REASONS THAN THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE INVESTIGATION FOR
 HIS TRAVEL IN HIS DISCUSSIONS WITH THE PRESS. WE DO NOT KNOW,
 HOWEVER, IF HE WILL AGREE. 4

DATE:

ORIG:

UNIT:

EXT:

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET

SECRET

CL BY: 012866

OUTGOING MESSAGE

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
3											
3											

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY
 AGE COI ACP TOG TPE MRO DCI
 OMF 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 CLASSIFICATION

2

SECRET

STAFF

CONF:

INFO: FILE

DATE-TIME GROUP

CITE

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER

DIRECTOR

707518

☐ INDEX DISSEM BY:
☐ NO INDEX
☐ RETURN TO PER
☐ IF FILE #

4. YOU SHOULD ADVISE THE AMBASSADOR OF THE SENATOR'S INTENTIONS TO VISIT HIS MISSION AND THE PURPOSE OF THE VISIT. PLEASE ASK THE AMBASSADOR TO RESTRICT HIS CORRESPONDENCE TO WASHINGTON ABOUT THIS TRIP TO EITHER [REDACTED] LATER RESTRICTIONS MAY BE FORTHCOMING, DEPENDING ON HOW SENATOR MONDALE DECIDES TO HANDLE HIS PUBLIC STATEMENTS. 4

5. THE CODEL'S TENTATIVE ITINERARY IS AS FOLLOWS: DEPART 30 JUNE VIA COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT, ARRIVING [REDACTED]

9 JULY - RETURN TO THE U.S. 4

6. REQUEST EACH COS ADVISE HEADQUARTERS OF HIS PLANS FOR BRIEFING THE SENATOR. 4

7. FOR [REDACTED] SUGGEST YOU CONSIDER A VISIT [REDACTED]

8. HEADQUARTERS WILL OFFER A BRIEFING TO SENATOR MONDALE AND HIS PARTY AT HEADQUARTERS PRIOR TO HIS DEPARTURE. E2, IMPDET

9. MORE specific guidance on operational discussions to follow. *Br*

E.H. KNOCH *E.H. Knoche*LC *Robert*SA/DDO *John S. Walker*

DATE: 13 JUNE 1975
 ORIG: WILLIAM W. WELLS
 UNIT: C/EUR
 EXT: 1012

DCI
 RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

DDO
 AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

E 2 IMPDET
 CL BY:

012866

SECRET

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Members of the Select
Committee and Their Staffs While
Traveling Abroad

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and be in conversation with our Stations. It is important that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious security problems from arising. The following specific guidelines are considered important:

- Members of the SSC and members of its staff should not publicly appear to be abroad for purposes of investigating CIA installations. CIA Stations abroad, all of which are under some form of cover, have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are Stations behind the iron curtain or in other particularly sensitive areas which need maximum protection. At the other extreme are Stations which enjoy close liaison with the host government and are thus less sensitive. In the first case, Stations existing under strict cover conditions in sensitive environments, the very presence of SSC members could be highly provocative by flaunting the fact of CIA's presence. But even in countries in which we enjoy a good liaison with the host country's security service, there remains a need to be discreet. Many countries whose services want to be cooperative with CIA cannot afford public or parliamentary exposure of the relationship. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to a host government.

SECRET

SECRET

- 2 -

In sum, except in the case of very sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be arranged for SSC visits to CIA's overseas stations and with senior officers within the covering Embassy provided the SSC member does not publicly declare himself to be on SSC business and maintains his Station contacts on a discreet basis.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not question Station personnel on specific operational detail. It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. It is at Headquarters where the guidelines are best understood, where the most complete and authentic records are kept (many Stations finding themselves in dangerous crisis situations, have periodically destroyed their files). There is no reason, however, that Station Chiefs should not discuss operations in general terms -- operational priorities, the kinds of operations, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy, the service attaches, etc.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not interview agent personnel. Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members would be to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage could be done to agent morale and motivation if they were to think their role had been revealed to members of Congress.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not in most cases be in contact with members of host country's intelligence or security services. Many services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with CIA, the information they pass us and the sort of cooperation extended as a most sensitive matter.

SECRET

SECRET

- 3 -

No matter what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with the SSC or its staff, and the very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause them to reassess the desirability of a relationship with CIA or other U.S. intelligence agencies. Exceptions can perhaps be made in special cases.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not visit foreign technical intelligence installations. Again there may be exceptions to this which can be arranged, but in most countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host service would be opposed to access by Congressional representatives.

SECRET

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Members of the Select
Committee and Their Staffs While
Traveling Abroad

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and be in conversation with our Stations. It is important that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious security problems from arising. The following specific guidelines are considered important:

- Members of the SSC and members of its staff should not publicly appear to be abroad for purposes of investigating CIA installations. CIA Stations abroad, all of which are under some form of cover, have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are Stations behind the iron curtain or in other particularly sensitive areas which need maximum protection. At the other extreme are Stations which enjoy close liaison with the host government and are thus less sensitive. In the first case, Stations existing under strict cover conditions in sensitive environments, the very presence of SSC members could be highly provocative by flaunting the fact of CIA's presence. But even in countries in which we enjoy a good liaison with the host country's security service, there remains a need to be discreet. Many countries whose services want to be cooperative with CIA cannot afford public or parliamentary exposure of the relationship. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to a host government.

- 2 -

In sum, except in the case of very sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be arranged for SSC visits to CIA's overseas stations and with senior officers within the covering Embassy provided the SSC member does not publicly declare himself to be on SSC business and maintains his Station contacts on a discreet basis.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not question Station personnel on specific operational detail. It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. It is at Headquarters where the guidelines are best understood, where the most complete and authentic records are kept (many Stations finding themselves in dangerous crisis situations, have periodically destroyed their files). There is no reason, however, that Station Chiefs should not discuss operations in general terms -- operational priorities, the kinds of operations, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy, the service attaches, etc.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not interview agent personnel. Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members would be to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage could be done to agent morale and motivation if they were to think their role had been revealed to members of Congress.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not in most cases be in contact with members of host country's intelligence or security services. Many services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with CIA, the information they pass us and the sort of cooperation extended as a most sensitive matter.

- 3 -

No matter what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with the SSC or its staff, and the very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause them to reassess the desirability of a relationship with CIA or other U.S. intelligence agencies. Exceptions can perhaps be made in special cases.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not visit foreign technical intelligence installations. Again there may be exceptions to this which can be arranged, but in most countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host service would be opposed to access by Congressional representatives.

6/18/75

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Members of the Select Committee and
Their Staffs While Traveling Abroad

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and talk to U.S. embassy and intelligence personnel. It is essential that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious diplomatic and security problems. The following specific guidelines are applicable.

I. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC SHOULD PUBLICLY APPEAR
TO BE ABROAD FOR SOME PURPOSE OTHER THAN THE
INVESTIGATION OF U.S. INTELLIGENCE INSTALLATIONS.

Most of these installations, including all CIA stations abroad, for example, are under some form of cover, or are maintaining a low profile. Certainly all have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are U.S. intelligence activities behind the iron curtain. These clearly need maximum protection. The mere visit of SSC representatives could be noted as evidence of U.S. intelligence presence within the country. Even in those countries where U.S. intelligence efforts enjoy the shielding and other benefits flowing from close liaison with the host government, care is required to avoid the potentially grave political repercussions

that could result to U.S. and host country activities from exposure of such liaison. Many countries that wish to cooperate with the United States in intelligence endeavors simply cannot afford public exposure of such relationships. Some reason other than SSC business should be given to explain any visits by SSC members and staff to help maintain the discreet nature of the visit. No deliberate contact should be made with the local press, nor should comments on SSC matters be supplied if investigating officers are contacted by the press. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to the host government.

In sum, except in the case of especially sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be made for SSC visits to certain U.S. intelligence activities overseas on a case by case basis, and with senior officers within the covering embassies. Such visits are contingent upon the SSC member not publicly declaring himself to be on SSC business at the time of the visit or upon his return, and his proceeding on a basis satisfactory to the Ambassador.

II. THE AMBASSADOR AT THE EMBASSY VISITED WILL BE IN CHARGE OF THE VISIT.

All arrangements and contacts will be made by him, and because of his knowledge of local situations, his advice will be binding.

III. FIELD DISCUSSIONS WITH U. S. INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL
SHOULD BE CONDUCTED ONLY IN GENERAL TERMS.

It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. Field intelligence elements will only discuss operations in general terms -- operational priorities, the kinds of operations conducted, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy and defense attaches, etc. In all cases, such discussions will only be conducted in physical surroundings which meet appropriate security standards.

Members and staff of the SSC should refrain from asking to see texts of, or general notes on intelligence agreements between the U.S. and the host government. These are usually considered by the host government as extremely sensitive, politically and operationally, and cannot be divulged without the consent of the host government.

IV. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC WILL NOT INTERVIEW AGENT
PERSONNEL.

Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members would be

to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage would be done to agent morale and motivation if their identity were to be revealed to Members or staff of the SSC.

V. MEMBERS OF HOST COUNTRY INTELLIGENCE OR SECURITY SERVICES WILL BE CONTACTED ONLY IN EXCEPTIONAL CASES.

Many foreign intelligence services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with U.S. intelligence activities, the information they pass, and the cooperation extended are all most sensitive matters.

Regardless what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with members or staff of the SSC. The very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause the host service to reassess the desirability of a relationship with U.S. intelligence activities.

VI. MEMBERS AND STAFF OF THE SSC SHOULD NOT VISIT FOREIGN TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE INSTALLATIONS.

In those countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host would be firmly opposed to access by U.S. Congressional representatives, especially where there is public knowledge of the Congressional investigating interests. If such a visit is considered

essential, a special request must be negotiated with the host country in advance.

VII. AN ORIENTATION BRIEFING WILL BE PROVIDED ALL MEMBERS AND STAFF, PRIOR TO DEPARTURE.

This briefing will be conducted by the DCI and other representatives of the Intelligence Community (CIA, NSA, DIA, State, etc.), as appropriate. The purpose of this briefing is to familiarize the visitor with the types of activities conducted at each location, the restraints which are imposed upon U.S. activities in each case and the considerations which preclude the discussion of operational details, names and terms in an overseas environment.

Members and staff of the SSC should bear in mind at all times that they and their activities are matters of great interest to opposition intelligence services, as well as to the press. Committee and staff members, particularly those who are publicly prominent, can hardly travel inconspicuously and will be easily recognized.

~~SECRET~~
~~SECRET~~

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Members of the Select
Committee and Their Staffs While
Traveling Abroad

There have been already and there will continue to be members of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) who wish to travel abroad and be in conversation with our Stations. It is important that we agree on guidelines to avoid serious security problems from arising. The following specific guidelines are considered important:

- Members of the SSC and members of its staff should not publicly appear to be abroad for purposes of investigating CIA installations. CIA Stations abroad, all of which are under some form of cover, have and need varying degrees of protection from exposure. At one extreme are Stations behind the iron curtain or in other particularly sensitive areas which need maximum protection. At the other extreme are Stations which enjoy close liaison with the host government and are thus less sensitive. In the first case, Stations existing under strict cover conditions in sensitive environments, the very presence of SSC members could be highly provocative by flaunting the fact of CIA's presence. But even in countries in which we enjoy a good liaison with the host country's security service, there remains a need to be discreet. Many countries whose services want to be cooperative with CIA cannot afford public or parliamentary exposure of the relationship. Local press publicity pertaining to an SSC investigation abroad would in almost all cases be distasteful to a host government.

SECRET

SECRET

- 2 -

In sum, except in the case of very sensitive areas, discreet arrangements can be arranged for SSC visits to CIA's overseas stations and with senior officers within the covering Embassy provided the SSC member does not publicly declare himself to be on SSC business and maintains his Station contacts on a discreet basis.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not question Station personnel on specific operational detail. It is more fitting that Washington be the place where operational details are conveyed to the SSC. It is at Headquarters where the guidelines are best understood, where the most complete and authentic records are kept (many Stations finding themselves in dangerous crisis situations, have periodically destroyed their files). There is no reason, however, that Station Chiefs should not discuss operations in general terms -- operational priorities, the kinds of operations, the operational environment, relationships with the Embassy, the service attaches, etc.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not interview agent personnel. Foreign agent personnel frequently are under hostile or local service scrutiny. For them to be placed in touch with SSC members would be to run an unacceptable risk. Moreover, serious damage could be done to agent morale and motivation if they were to think their role had been revealed to members of Congress.
- Members of the SSC and their staff should not in most cases be in contact with members of host country's intelligence or security services. Many services will find contact with the SSC politically difficult and will avoid it. They further regard that their relationships with CIA, the information they pass us and the sort of cooperation extended as a most sensitive matter.

SECRET

SECRET

- 3 -

No matter what assurances are given them, they would find it difficult and awkward to discuss these matters with the SSC or its staff, and the very fact that such matters were under investigation could well cause them to reassess the desirability of a relationship with CIA or other U.S. intelligence agencies. Exceptions can perhaps be made in special cases.

- Members of the SSC and their staff should not visit foreign technical intelligence installations. Again there may be exceptions to this which can be arranged, but in most countries in which we operate joint technical collection sites, the host service would be opposed to access by Congressional representatives.

SECRET