This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

CLASSIFIED



CONFICITI	L	Hit	- 1		IAL
-----------	---	-----	-----	--	-----

STARE

ERRORENZ HANDLING FERDIA REQUEST

SUBJECT: (Optional) Request of David C. MA	ARTIN re: Ar	ngleton v	s Turner F79-0510
		n Nosenko	
Jesse Guajardo 6400		EXTENSION	DATE SENT 29 May 1979 SUSPENSE DATE
DDA/IPS 2E42 HO			12 June 1979
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	RECEIVED FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column-after each comment)
DDO/FPG			Please note interest of:
2.		<u></u>	CI/Staff, 2B1405 X1345
3.			
11			
ч.		- International Property of the Control of the Cont	
5.			
6.		Andrews	·
7.			
8.			
9.			
J.			
0. ;			
1.		`	, `
			·
12.			ACTION: DDO/FPG
DATION VA			
RETURN TO:			
*PRIORITY HA	ANDIN	C	FOIA REQUEST
FORM 3749 SECRET	CONFID	ENTIAL	UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

Lou Brad

This afternoon I talked with Chris Winkle re David Martin's request for documents related to the Angleton case.

His comments were as follows:

Martin obviously got his act together from the Stipulation of Dismissal document on file with the U.S. District Court in Alexandria. (The copy of the Stipulation of Dismissal we had on file in our Litigation folder did not include the "Exhibit A" referred to in paragraph one of the Stipulation.) "Exhibit A" was the 20 March 1979 letter to Angleton's lawyer, Chabot, from Ernest Mayerfeld, outlining the specific items in the litigation that Angleton would "be allowed access to". (We had a copy of it but no indication it was "Exhibit A")

Don't answer his initial request any sooner than necessary. When we do, deny release of the information, maintaining it is still classified and involves protection of sources and methods, (b)(1)(b)(3) of FOIA.

If he appeals we will worry about it later.

But there's no denying that Martin knows of the existence of the specific documents.

•	Chris	said	that	•		is	sending	out	an	interim	respons	e from
IPS.)				 							•

Eleanor

I spoketo Lou D. and reafirmed that what we are proposing to do is to deny these documents to Martin even though some of them were previously prepared for release in sanitize form to Angleton in litigation. We acknowledged that if it had not been for OGC's release of three documents to Angleton without coordination with the DO we would have "Glomarized" Angleton's request. A "Glomar" response is also not possible to Martin for the same reasons pinkexthex but also because exhibit A'on file in U.S. Distric Court in Alexandra and that exhibit lists the documents that Martin is requesting. The proposal now is to list and deny the documents to Martin under (b)(1) and (b)(3) of FOIA.

Brad R. 31 May 79

000 1	SUMMARY	OF FOIA T'A PI	ROCESSING	•					17	h ()	 -		
J ` ∶ ∶	FIL E/COMPONENT	DATE	P= MAITIVE	SEAT CH REES	7-20	REFERRAL	CAS	<u> </u>	10.	(K	//	<u> </u>	
	pg./cis		NE NEGATIVE		1		/				_ {		
=	DC/DCD/STAFF A				PROCESS RECESSOR	ERS	+		ATE	-IN		DATE	·0U
\vdash	913 KEY BLDG. C/FR/PLANS				ANALYBT		_				-		
-	2E-19 TUBE BU-6				·								
	C/CI/RA/I 28-28 TUBE CU-6				SUBJECT (FOIA CARE	ee only)	1			. ('	<i>i</i> >		
	C/OG/SCB , GB-1907 TUBE ES-2				anglitz	_' 1			,'				
2.	IAD-TG/OS GB-704 TUBE ES-2			PREVIO	US FOIA/PA CA	SES	AN	D RI	EFÉR	RAL	5 , ,	·	
į					CHECK: IPS-LOG, IP IPS-HIST PRE 77. LI FREEDOM CASES TH	ST ONLY THOS		و م		/r/.	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	///	/
	OED GG-2709 TUBE BY-5			Shankers or demanded the same and same	SAME SUBJECT AS T		_/a ³				\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	//	
ı 🕂	OG/ARP			*	CASE NO.	REF'ED. BY	//	\dashv	7	17	+	\leftarrow	
-	GH-50 TUBE GT-7		-					4	_	$\bot \bot$			
	ASB/US GC-32												
	DC/CCS/PRG GH-56	,						-		11			
ľ	and the state of t							T	T	Π			
H						,	_	+	+	++	+	-	
<u></u>							_	+	+	$oldsymbol{arphi}$	+	┼	
	F/STAFF A			1-100									
	RES/EXO												
	UR/STAFF A 1406 TUBE BS-3							l					
	A/STAFF B 4 TUBE ET-3							-					
E/:	STAFF B/FOIA	,	,•		DOES FBI HAVE CAS	E	I		•		γ.	ES	I
	E/PO				IS/WAS SUBJECT A D	***************************************				<u> </u>	+-	ES	-
	BO3 TUBE FS-1 MS/MSB				IS/WAS REQUESTER					-	-	ES ES	+
C-4	2				DATE(S) OF COVER					<u> </u>	1.		
RE A-S	DECESSOR RECORDS							•					
	CAS/PB 09 TUBE CU-6												
C//	AD/SOG 846 TUBE CU-6				IS REQUESTER A CU	RRENT EMPLO	YEE,				Y E	S	Ţ,
G/1	IS (Recheck)				IS REQUESTER A FO		EE	<u>.</u>	·····	<u> </u>	7 6	E S	1
	003 TUBE DT-5				DATES OF EMPEOT	MEN .							
	LICANT FILES	4 4	4:										
	VEL LISTING	• . •			CRYP	TONYMS, PSEU	DO N	YM!	OR	AKA	• 5		
	FILES											······································	
C-2	4		•.			attendentungting propagania							
D-4			• •	•			,,						
											•	•	
		e; e;		TOTAL ESTIMATE	201 NO.		CN	o.			<u>-</u>		

0-78) 4078

CONFIDENTIAL

CL. BY: 012170

(13-4

It no as related mr. Angleton's initial request

May 15, 1979

IPS REGISTRY 79-0510 KAUISY

4700 Drummond Ave. Chevy Chase, Md. 20015

George W. Owens Information and Privacy Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Owens,

Under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act, I hereby await the request copies of the following documents as described in the recent case of Angleton v. Turner, 78-865-A, in the U.S. District litigation Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division:

-- "the incoming telegram from Geneva describing the first contact and meeting with Mr. Nosenko and the "long telegram"

Heni 4 +8 first contact and meeting with Mr. Nosenko and the long telegram' which Mr. Angleton sent to Geneva in reply?" Denied in (b)(1) *(b)(3)

-- "the document which supports Mr. Hart's allegation Hem 5 that Mr. Angleton visited the installation in Northern Virginia at which Mr. Nosenko was detained. (Please note that there is no mention of Northern Virginia in Mr. Hart's testimony. See p. 🌽 250, line 4626. The document indicates that the visit by Mr. Angleton was to a facility outside Northern Virginia.);"

-- "any documents . that reflect that Mr. Angleton or any member of the Counter Intelligence Staff participated in any conference, discussion or meeting relating to the alleged hostile interrogation of Mr. Nosenko;"

-- "the memorandum referred to by Mr. Hart (see p. 165 of Har.'s committee testimony) regarding a conversation between Mr. Angleton and the Chief of the Soviet Division;

-- "the tape or transcript of the interview mentioned in Mr. Hart's testimony in which Mr. Angleton is alleged to have referred to Mr. Bagley's having 'caught a big fish' (Nosenko)."

Since these documents have already been identified in response to Mr. Angleton's demands for access to the material, I would expect that my request could be handled with a minimum of delay.

Sincerely,

David C. Martin David C. Martin

PAY 17 3 22 PM 79

OGC 79-02653

Philip L. Chabot, Jr.
Duncan, Brown, Weinberg
and Palmer, P.C.
Suite 1200
1775 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Phil:

Re: Angleton v. Turner Civil Action No. 78-865-A

I am writing you regarding our telephone conversation of 19 March 1979 and Mr. Angleton's acceptance of our pending settlement offer in the above-captioned litigation. The offer outstanding is for your client, James J. Angleton, to be allowed access to the records mentioned in our 9 March 1979 letter to you, viz:

- l. the contract by which Mr. John Hart was employed for the purpose of representing the Central Intelligence Agency in testimony before the House Assassinations Committee (This document has previously been released to Mr. Angleton with some deletions in response to his FOIA request. Under the terms of this offer, Mr. Angleton would be permitted to see the unredacted version.);
- 2. the document by which Mr. Hart was authorized to review agency files in preparation for the Committee testimony (A further review of the files has resulted in the discovery of documents which may have some bearing on this portion of the request. These will be made available to Mr. Angleton pursuant to the terms enumerated in CIA's 9 March 1979 letter to you.);
- 3. the document setting forth the guidelines under which Mr. Hart's testimony was given (Like the document requested per subparagraph 1 above, this document has been previously released to Mr. Angleton. Under the terms of our settlement offer, Mr. Angleton would, likewise, be permitted to view the unexcised version.);

- 4. the incoming telegram from Geneva describing the first contact and meeting with Mr. Nosenko and the "long telegram" which Mr. Angleton sent to Geneva in reply;
- 5. the document which supports Mr. Hart's allegation that Mr. Angleton visited the installation in Northern Virginia at which Mr. Nosenko was detained (Please note that there is no mention of Northern Virginia in Mr. Hart's testimony. See, p. 250, line 4626. The document indicates that the visit by Mr. Angleton was to a facility outside Northern Virginia.);
- 6. any document(s) that reflect that Mr. Angleton or any member of the Counterintelligence Staff participated in any conference, discussion or meeting relating to the alleged hostile interrogation of Mr. Nosenko; and
- 7. the memorandum referred to by Mr. Hart (See, p. 165 of Hart's Committee Testimony) regarding a conversation between Mr. Angleton and the Chief of the Soviet Division.

In addition to the above materials, Mr. Angleton will also be allowed access to item No. 10 requested from CIA in your 11 December 1978 letter to the Freedom of Information Coordinator, i.e.:

the tape or transcript of the interview mentioned in Mr. Hart's testimony in which Mr. Angleton is alleged to have referred to Mr. Bagley's having "caught a big fish" (Nosenko).

Access will be granted to Mr. Angleton on the same basis as mentioned in our 9 March 1979 letter to you. Should Mr. Angleton wish to disclose portions of the contents of these documents to members or staffers of the Select Committee on Assassinations of the U.S. House of Representatives, he should apply for such authority to the Agency via the undersigned.

Acceptance of this offer will terminate our controversy as to all the CIA records requested by your client under the FOIA and allow us to stipulate a dismissal of the pending litigation.

If this letter correctly states our settlement agreement, please sign the enclosed copy in the appropriate place indicated and return to the undersigned.

As soon as your concurrence is received, I will telephone you to make arrangements for Mr. Angleton to come to CIA Headquarters to review the documents.

Sincerely,

Ernest Mayerfeld Associate General Counsel

CONCUR:

Philip L. Chabot, Jr.

Date

13-00000

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

JAMES J. ANGLETON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 78-865-A

STANSFIELD TURNER, DIRECTOR, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,

Defendant.

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

It is hereby stipulated by and between counsel for the parties hereto

- 1. That on 20 March 1979 counsel for the parties entered into a written agreement by which defendant agreed to provide plaintiff with access to those documents set forth in Exhibit A which were requested by plaintiff, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act in order that he might rely upon them in his testimony before the Select Committee on Assassinations, United States House of Representatives.
- 2. That on 29 March 1979 plaintiff was given the opportunity to and did inspect the documents listed above.

It is further stipulated by and between counsel for the parties, subject to the approval of the Court, that this action be dismissed with prejudice, except as to the amount, if any, of attorney's fees and costs to Which plaintiff is entitled pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act.

PHILIP Z. CHABOT, JR. Duncan, Brown, Weinberg & Palmer, P.C.

Suite 1200

1775 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006 TELEPHONE: 202/467-6370 United States Attorney

THOMAS P. MAINS, 605 Prince Street Post Office Box 602

Alexandria, Virginia 22313 TELEPHONE: 703/548-1112 Counsel for Plaintiff

Assistant U.S. Attorney 117 South Washington Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314 TELEPHONE: 703/557-9100

Counsel for Defendant

APPROVED this The day of

30 Jul 79

MEMORANDUM FOR: RECORD

Don Robinson from OS ex 1150 questiined if we had released TS-197124 dated Feb 68 it concern Nosenko and was titled Examination of the Bona Fides of a KGB Defector. He said that the FBI was investigating a xx unauthorized release which was sourced to this document. I informed him that we in FPG had not addressed this document in any FOIA/PA case yet

Brad R/

Date

FORM 101 USE PREVIOUS 5-75 101 EDITIONS

₩U.S.GPO:1977-0-234-508

FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6