This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

|157-10011-10026|

#### JFK Assassination System Identification Form

Date:

7/30/201

Agency Information

AGENCY:

SSCIA

RECORD NUMBER:

157-10011-10026

RECORD SERIES:

TRANSCRIPT

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

R-173

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

**SSCIA** 

FROM:

TO:

TITLE:

TESTIMONY OF COLBY, WILLIAM E.

DATE:

06/04/1975

PAGES:

109

SUBJECTS:

**ASSASSINATIONS** 

CASTRO

COLBY, WILLIAM E.

**WIRETAPS** 

MAFIA

OPERATION MONGOOSE:

**CUBAN OPERATION** 

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** 

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT Unclassified

CLASSIFICATION:

**RESTRICTIONS:** 1A; 1B

**CURRENT STATUS:** 

Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

05/29/1996

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

SSCI Box 239, Folder 16

Unauthorized Disclosure to Criminal Sanctions

# The United States Senate 3 OF 6

R173

Report of Proceedings

INVENTORIED:

Hearing held before

INVENTURIED!

Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations

With Respect to Intelligence Activities

COMMITTEE MEETING

Record Number 157-10011-10026

SSCI Box 239, Folder 16

Wednesday, June 4, 1975

Washington, D. C.

(Stenotype Tape and Waste turned over to the Committee for destruction)

WARD & PAUL

410 FIRST STREET, S. E. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20003

(202) 544-6000

inga special group meeting. And it was left that that was on the
record vague. After the special group meeting, did the CIA
station in Santo Domingo push further for the delivery of weapons
as a gesture of U.S. support of dissidents?

Mr. Colby. Yes, there was considerable discussion with a dissident group down there. And the question -- they made a particular point out of needing some warrant of our serious interest in supporting it. And the station was urging that this be done through the provision of some weapons.

Mr. Schwarz. And did the station indicate that the persons who wanted the weapons had stated that the object of obtaining this kind of help is the elimination of Trujillo?

Mr. Colby. Yes. And two of the individuals on the 15th of February said that the object was the elimination of Trujillo. Instead of using arms or grenades, he began to speak of a bomb and of poisoning.

Senator Baker. That is quoting the man requesting it?
Mr. Colby. Yes, the requestor.

He also described a possible ambush.

Mr. Schwarz. Now, who was the chief of station in Santo Domingo in 1961?

Mr. Colby : Mr.

### 1(A)

Mr. Schwarz. Did the Chief of Station on March 17, 1961 cable the CIA headquarters reiterating his suggestion to send in diplomatic pouches one small sized high-fire power weapon, because Mr. Dearborn — was Mr. Dearborn the Ambassador

	Mr.	Schwarz	z. Ar	nd the	CIA	headqı	ıarte	ers to	ld	him t	hat	no
author	cizat	cion ext	ists t	to sus	pend	the po	uchi	.ng re	gul	atior	ıs	
agains	st sh	nipment	of ar	cticle	s on	March	20,	1961,	is	that	rig	ght?
	Mr.	Colby.	Right	- •				÷				

Mr. Schwarz. Did the Chief of Station reply on March 22 that "he knew that at last two posts received pistols by a pouch for worthy purposes"?

Mr. Colby. Yes.

I think we are talking about pouch as a term of art here. I think there are obviously ways to ship weapons to an embassy, we need them for our Marine guards among other things. And I think the question here was whether they would use the diplomatic pouch in concealing the fact that they were weapons, and that there was no opposition for that.

Mr. Schwarz. And there were some regulations against using the diplomatic pouch for that purpose?

Mr. Colby. The standing regulation does say, you shall not ship firearms in pouches.

Mr.Schwarz. What had been the two posts that (A) had prior to Santa Domingo?

Mr. Breckinridge. Mr. Schwarz told me this morning to find



from April 1951 to July 1954. A Short inferin

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### $1954_{ m 0}$ vatil about July 1960 $_{ m 0}$ be was in

1(A

Mr. Schwarz. stated to headquarters that in response to their comment that you shouldn't send weapons through the diplomatic pouch, he had at his last two posts,

which were , received pistols through the

diplomatic pouch for "worthy purposes."

What were those purposes?

Mr. Colby. I don't know.

Mr. Breckinridge. We don't know.

Mr. Colby. I might add one comment here, that headquarters cabled to station on the 20th of March "Regret no authorization exists to suspend pouch regulations against shipment of articles".

Now, the way many of these messages leave our heaquarters is that they are signed off at the division level. And that could have reflected the division's communicating with the station, saying, I haven't gotten an exception from our normal rules for you yet, really, that the exception might be granted further up in the approval chain, but that as of this day, in response to the station's cable, they had not gotten the exception.

In other words, if we had a good reason to send weapons to a certain station, I am sure we could find a way to do it today.

Mr. Schwarz. Can you find out what the "worthy purposes"

Mr. Colby.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

end nash mhl fols

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

23

24

25

needed those pistols? were pursuant to which Mr.

> We can ask Mr. yes.

Is the reference to W.H. in the March 22 cab Mr Schwarz. cable, which states "WH not prepared take this step" -- and the step being shipment of weapons through the pouch -- to the White House, or to the CIA's WH Division?

Mr. Colby. That is the division I am on.

Senator Baker. Mr. Chairman.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

23

24

25

The Chairman. Senator Baker.

TOP SECRET

Senator Baker. As the Director knows, this caused us great grief at one time.

Mr. Colby. We have charged the name of that since, Senator.

Senator Baker. Could you, for the sake and the gratification of my longstanding concern in that respect, supply for the record a further identification for that as Western Hemisphere instead of White House?

Mr. Colby. I want to make sure that this is what this says. This is a reply from the station. And it sounds to me like in this case that he really means Western Hemisphere Division.

Senator Baker. Is there some way we can determine whether he is talking about White House or Western Hemisphere?

Mr. Colby. This supports my previous comment about the level of approval and authorization. In other words, the Chief of Station normally deals with his division. He thinks of his division as his headquarters, the chain of command for him, with the realization that there are levels of approval above the division which can get exemption to certain regulations if it were appropriate. And from my reading of many cables over the years, I can smell this one pretty clearly as a communication between station and division, talking about Western Hemisphere Division. We can check with

it comes out pretty clearly.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
.9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

	Senator	Tower.	Mr.	still	employed	by	the	Agency?	1(A
ì	Mr. Colb	y. Ye	s.				· .		

Mr. Schwarz. Following the initial turndown of delivery by the pouch, did the Agency in fact ship the revolvers sometime after March 25th and were authorized by Mr. Bissell to do so?

Mr. Colby. I don't think we shipped the revolvers. What we shipped; were carbines.

Mr. Breckinridge. I think that we shipped scme submachine guns.

Mr. Schwarz. If you look at the record for March 25, 1961, it states in a cable authorized by Mr. Bissell, "We support a program to replace the Trujillo regime. We wish to avoid precipitated action if planning is not well organized, as appears to be the case. Mchine guns and ammunition will be supplied to demonstrate good will to the dissidents who requested revolvers and are being pouched."

Mr. Colby. But I think elsewhere in the record it is indicated that we could -- look back on page 39 -- we find notations that the revolvers were actually pouched. We are just not very clear on that.

Mr. Schwarz. The first instance where this document admits that weapons were in fact sent and delivered is under the heading "March 26, 1961." And it refers to three

#### TOP SECRET

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then in March the approval of the passage, I think,
without any substantial difference in policy, expressed in the
interim. Probably that is a formal approval. It would be
considered adequate for the actual passage in March. Now, I
imagine, however, that there was a certain amount of pre-
exchange of information with the Embassy in Dominican Republic
and also with the State Department here.

Mr. Schwarz. On March 31, 1961 the actual passing of the carbines was approved in a cable released by Mr. Bissell, is that right?

Mr. Colby. It was approved, yes, in a cable 31 March.

Mr. Schwarz. And Mr. Bissell released the cable, is that right?

Mr. Colby. Yes.

The Chief of Station, Mr. Mr. Schwarz. to ask for more weapons through the pouch.

Mr. Colby. Right.

Mr. Schwarz. And there is an indication that some approval of pouching more weapons was granted by Headquarters in April 1961, is that right, April 12, 1961?

Mr. Breckinridge. They sent more guns, but never did have authority to release guns.

Mr. Colby. They did approve the shipment of submachine guns, but these were never -- the Station was never authorized to release those to the dissidents.

1.8

The Chairman. From the State Department to the Charge, on what we have speculated must be the instructions of the President. And that remains to be determined positively.

Now, two days later we get this cable from the Chief of Station to the CIA. And those words are quoted in the record: "Assume the 29 May policy cable form State to Dearborn is final word on present policy on which CIA

be based. This for practical purposes retreats from previous policy. However, Headquarters is aware extent to which US Government already associates with an assassination. If we are to at least try to cover up tracks, CIA personnel directly involved in an assassination preparation must be withdrawn now. If an assassination tried and not successful, immediate evacuation of Chief of Station, the operations 1(B)

officer, and the administrative assistant mandatory."

Now, we must have the full cables of course in this case

But I really believe that some very serious questions

are left unanswered by this record.

Senator Mathias. Mr. Chairman, I might recall that Shakespeare anticipated this situation when he said, when you strike a king you must kill him.

Mr. Colby. There was also a companion cable from the Charge to the Department making moreorless the same problem, that there was substantial proof of US involvement in these matters. That cable was dated on 31 May. It was actually