This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

180-10110-10028	JFK Assassination System Identification Form	Date:	10/19/20	
	Agency Information			
AGENCY : RECORD NUMBER :	HSCA 180-10110-10028			
RECORD SERIES :	SECURITY CLASSIFIED TESTIMONY			
AGENCY FILE NUMBER :	014739			
GT 1 Har	Document Information		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
ORIGINATOR : FROM : TO :	HSCA [Restricted]			
TITLE :	·			
DATE : PAGES :	11/20/1978 86			
SUBJECTS :	CIA, METHODOLOGY [Restricted] [Restricted] OSWALD, LEE, POST-RUSSIAN PERIOD, TRAVEL, TRIP TO MEXICO			
DOCUMENT TYPE : CLASSIFICATION : RESTRICTIONS : CURRENT STATUS : DATE OF LAST REVIEW :	TRANSCRIPT Declassified 1A; 1B Redact 02/01/2003			·
OPENING CRITERIA :	-			
COMMENTS :	Notes, two duplicates included. Continues into next folder.Box 9.			

v9.1

	Ì	
SHORT/edm	1	SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS
	2	
	3	Washington, D. C. Monday, November 20, 1978
	4	
5 hE 2 -	5	Deposition of
5.5 1 1	6	ANN GOODPASTURE
(202)	7	called for examination by counsel for the Subcommittee on the
20024 (8	Assassination of John F. Kennedy, pursuant to notice, in the
C. 20	9	offices of the Select Committee on Assassinations, House
e.	10	Annex No. 2, Second and D Streets, S.W., Washington, D.C.,
GTON	11	beginning at ten o'clock a.m., before Annabelle Short, a
	12	Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, when were
ORTERS BUILDING, VASUINGTON,	13	present on behalf of the respective parties:
	14	For the Subcommittee:
	15	Michael Goldsmith, Attorney
44 	16	Edwin Juan Lopez Soto, Researcher
0.128	17	Dan Hardway, Researcher
= s	18	
•	. 19	
L.S.LKELL	20	
11H 71H	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
		TAD OFGRAT



LA La constanta da la constanta da

House of Representatives Select Committee on Assassinations Subcommittee on the Assassination of John F. Kennedy

Deposition of Ann Goodpasture

Washington, D.C.

November 20, 1978

Page

.

$\underline{P \ R \ O \ C \ E \ E \ D \ I \ N \ G \ S}$	المراجع المحمد المراجع
2 Mr. Goldsmith: Would you swear the witness.	
3 Whereupon,	
4 ANN GOODPASTURE	
5 was called for examination by counsel for the Subc	ommittee and,
 5 was called for examination by counsel for the Subc 6 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, 7 amined and testified as follows: 8 Mr. Goldsmith: Miss Goodpasture, I am going 9 the record that this is continuation of a hearing 	was ex-
7 amined and testified as follows:	
8 Mr. Goldsmith: Miss Goodpasture, I am going	to state for
	that was con-
i 10 ducted on April 13, 1978. We are taking testimony	today in the
11 form of a deposition instead of at a formal Congre	ssional
12 hearing.	
 11 form of a deposition instead of at a formal Congre 12 hearing. 13 My name is Michael Goldsmith and pursuant to 14 Resolution 222, I am authorized to take your state 15 oath today. 16 Before I take your statement concerning the v 	the House
14 Resolution 222, I am authorized to take your state	ment under
15 oath today.	
E 16 Before I take your statement concerning the v	arious
17 questions the committee has of you, let me take ca	re of some
18 procedural matters.	
19 Are you hear voluntarily today and without a	subpoena?
20 Miss Goodpasture: Yes.	
19Are you hear voluntarily today and without a20Miss Goodpasture: Yes.21Mr. Goldsmith: Have you received a copy of t21mittee Rules and supporting resolutions?	he Com-
22 mittee Rules and supporting resolutions?	
23 Miss Goodpasture: Yes.	
Mr. Goldsmith: Have you had a chance to read	l Rule
25 Number 4?	
TOP SE	

	-	3
	1	Miss Goodpasture: Let me check it. I looked over this
	2	quickly.
	3	Yes.
	· 4	Mr. Goldsmith: Do you understand Rule Number 4?
345	5	Miss Goodpasture: I think so.
598-2382	6	Mr. Goldsmith: Do you understand that you have a right
(02)	7	to counsel?
24 (S	8	Miss Goodpasture: Yes.
KEPORTERS BUTLDING, VASUINCTON, D.C. 20024 (202)	9	Mr. Goldsmith: Do you waive that right today?
. D. C	10	Miss Goodpasture: I don't think so.
CTON ,	. 11	Mr. Goldsmith: By waiving that right, I mean, do you give
NSIMM	12	up your right to have a counsel present at this hearing today?
ia, W	13	Miss Goodpasture: Yes.
(LDIN	14	Mr. Goldsmith: So you don't want an attorney here today,
00 S)	15	do you?
ORTEL	16	Miss Goodpasture: I do not have an attorney.
	17	Mr. Goldsmith: The question is: Do you want an attorney
s.u.	18	here today?
JOO 7TH STREET.	19	Miss Goodpasture: No.
TI ST	20	Mr. Goldsmith: Fine.
1 001	21	If at any point you should want an attorney present, if
	22	you would just tell us that and we would stop the deposition.
	<u>;</u> 23	Do you understand that?
	24	Miss Goodpasture: Yes.
	25	Mr. Goldsmith: Now, under the Committee Rules you have
		TO SERT

a right to receive a copy of the statement that you give us 1.1 at a deposition. I am going to ask you to give up that right 2 to receive a copy of your statement and let me explain to you 3 why. If you were appearing before the Committee at Executive 4 Session as you did in April, the Committee Rules do not pro-5 vide for you to receive actually in your possession the transб. 1 cript from the hearings. As a matter of convenience to you 7 1 8 and also because of the Committee's relationship with the agency, we are taking this statement in the form of a depo-9 sition. 10

11 The agency has requested us to ask its present and former 12 employees to give up the right that they have under the Com-13 mittee Rules to receive a copy of the transcript because there 14 was classified information in the transcript, and for you to 15 have the transcript in your personal possession would be 16 contrary to security interests.

Now, I want to make it very clear though that even though we are asking you to give up your right to receive a copy of the transcript, you are not giving up your right to get access to the transcript. In other words, you have the right to read it and we can arrange for that at any time. We are simply asking you to give up your right to receive a copy of it. Do you understand that?

Miss Goodpasture: Yes.

25

24

554-2345

(202)

20024

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D.C.

s.w.

STREET,

11.1. 00E

May I ask you something?

Mr. Goldsmith: Certainly.

1

554-2345

20024 (202)

D. C.

BUILDING, VASHINGTON,

REPORTERS.

s. u. .

STREET.

11.1

JAN

Miss Goodpasture: Can the agency receive a copy of the transcript, the Inspector General's Office, so that they would know in case I make a statement which is not true based on faulty memory. If there is no objection to the Committee, I would like for some responsible person in the agency to know what my testimony is so that they could check to see whether my memory is faulty.

9 Mr. Goldsmith: I understand.

10 Miss Goodpasture: But I don't know. I just wanted to 11 raise that question.

Mr. Goldsmith: The Committee Rules do not provide for the witness' testimony to be made available to a third person such as the agency. The agency, if it is interested in receiving a copy of your testimony, would ask the Committee and the Committee would then take a vote on whether or not your testimony should be released.

18 Now, by you indicating on the record that you prefer for 19 the agency to have access to the material, the benefactor would 20 have an effect on the Committee's vote.

I should also add that if the Committee ultimately depends to some extent upon your testimony and uses that testimony to make a finding of fact, we would as a matter of routine tell the agency in advance and if the agency has a problem with that, they can get back to us.



5

Miss Goodpasture: I am just concerned that some of my 1 testimony may be in conflict with records. 2 Mr. Goldsmith: I understand. 3 Miss Goodpasture: Through faulty memory. 1 . Mr. Goldsmith: In any event, do you give up your right 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 to receive a copy of the transcript? 6 Miss Goodpasture: I don't need a copy. 7 Mr. Goldsmith: And you understand that you have the 8 right to have access to it. 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D.C. Miss Goodpasture: Yes. 10 Mr. Goldsmith: Good. 11 I am also going to state for the record that the re-12 porter is now being requested to provide a certification of 13 the transcript certifying it to be an accurate and true 14 transcription of the record that we make here today. 15 Finally, have you had a chance to review the letter 16 dated 23 March 1978 from Acting Director Frank C. Carlucci 17 5.И. to the Chairman of this Committee? 18 STRUCT', Miss Goodpasture: Yes. 19 Mr. Goldsmith: Do you understand that letter? 20 7711 Miss Goodpasture: Yes. 21 100 Mr. Goldsmith: That is the same letter that you were 22 shown in April, is that correct? 23 Miss Goodpasture: I thought the one I saw in April was 24 signed by Turner. 25

6

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 8

		7
	1	Mr. Goldsmith: No, this is the same one.
· .	2	Miss Goodpasture: The same one.
	3	Mr. Goldsmith: In any event, do you understand that this
	· 4	letter authorizes you to testify fully and truthfully before
245	5	this Committee to matters relevant to the Committee's mandate?
554-2345	6	Miss Goodpasture: Within the provisions of this House
	7	Resolution 222.
20024 (202)	8	Mr. Goldsmith: Yes. Anything that is relevant to the
	9	Committee's mandate you would be required to testify about
р. с.	10	truthfully and accurately. Do you understand that?
TON.	11	Miss Goodpasture: Yes.
SHTH	12	Mr. Goldsmith: Let me indicate for the record that this
EFORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	13	corresponds with JFK Exhibit Number 94 that was used at the
LD T NG	14	hearing of April 13, 1978.
	15	Fine.
)NTE:R	16	EXAMINATION
	17	By Mr. Goldsmith:
s.u.	18	Q Miss Goodpasture, who was the Chief of Station in
8) 2000 	19	Mexico City in 1963?
300 TTH STREET.	20	A Winston M. Scott.
1.6 00	21	Q Did you have any special working relationship with Mr
Ä	22	Scott while you were stationed in Mexico City?
	23	A Yes.
R	24	Q In what way was your relationship a special work-
÷	25	ing relationship?
		TO STAT

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

A Well, I was responsible for the day-to-day handling o
 some projects that Mr. Scott had the major decisions on and
 one of those projects was the liaison operations, the one
 that is of interest in this investigation.

8

Q Which liaison project are you referring to?A The telephone tapping operation.

7 Q Did people in the Mexico City station and in head-8 quarters, especially those in Mexico City, consider you to 9 be Win Scott's right-hand person?

А Well, now I cannot really answer that and I don't 10 11 think that there would have been any reason for anyone to have considered me as his deputy, which would be the right-hand 12 13 person because he had a deputy then. I had been in Mexico longer than anyone else in the station except Mr. Scott and 14 15 for that reason, a lot of the people came to me and asked me questions about things that had happened rather than going 16 17 to the files and looking up the answer. I don't know how they looked upon my job there. 18

I saw a memorandum in the files written by Mr. Shaw
in which he referred to me as "Win Scott's secretary who has
been down there for a long time," but I never had that position.

23 24

20024 (202) 554-2345

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D. C.

S.W.

JAA 7TH STREET.

5

6

Q Now, as you know, Miss Goodpasture, the Committee's investigation has focused in Mexico City in many ways and in particular on the operations and workings of the Mexico City 1 station.


554-2345

20024 (202)

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C.

S.W.

340 7TH STREET.



In your personal working relationship with him, did you find him to be a very competent and honest man? A Yes. The ambassador called on him almost every day. I think we went through five ambassadors during the time that I was there and his relationship with all of them was the same.

Q In 1963, was the Mexico City station the largest 21 station in Latin America?

23 24

A I think Panama may have been the largest in size but I believe Mexico City had the largest number of independent operations. Outside of Panama, I think it may have been the largest station but Panama had the most people.



1 Q And it was considered to be a very important 2 station?

A I think so. I don't know how headquarters per4 sonnel considered it but in my opinion, it was.
5 Q When did Mr. Scott retire, approximately?
6 A I came home in 1968 and I think that he retired in
7 June, 1969.

8 Q Do you know why he retired?

9 A It was my understanding that he retired because he
10 had been asked to stay in Mexico until December, 1970. He had
11 asked me to stay in Mexico until December, 1970 because I had
12 been there for a long period of time and it was easier to keep
13 someone there than it was to break in a new person. At that
14 time, the President was Diaz Ordaz and his term expired
15 in December, 1970. I wanted to return to the States because
16 my parents were both not well and I had been outside the
17 United States for the better part of some 28 years, and I
18 wanted to be near them in their very old age.

I also wanted to take advantage of early retirement at age 50 because I wanted to do something else with my life. Mr. Scott let me come back and he came to the States later. I came home at the end of 1968. He came to the States later on consultation or something and he had decided to retire because he received an administrative message saying that he was age 60 and he was eligible; that was mandatory

(202) 554-2345

RUPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 20024

S. W. 2

STREET,

1 retirement age, and he would have to have a special authori-2 zation to remain longer. So, he decided to quit because he 3 felt he had already received that authorization and left in a 4 tiff.

11

5 Q So, how would you describe his position towards the 6 agency when he left?

7 A Well, at the time that he left, I think he felt 8 that he wanted to stay on in Mexico, that he was on good re-9 lations as far as I know with the Director because he was 10 given a medal and he came up to receive that. The agency 11 also, I think, was considering using him in an undercover 12 capacity outside.

So although he was initially annoyed by having re-13 ceived this administrative notice, by the time he left the 14 agency, he was favorably disposed towards it; is that correct? 15 I think so. I think he just decided, well, he would А 16 go ahead and retire while the present administration in 17 Mexico was still there and they could help him with his im-18 migration papers, which took months to get under a new ad-19 ministration, and it would be easier for him to get settled 20 in and if there was any question in Washington about whether 21 they wanted him to stay or whether they did not, it really 22 didn't matter. I don't know but that is my feeling about 23 what happened. 24

25

20024 (202) 554-2345

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

S. N.

JOO TTH STREET,

Q After his retirement, Mr. Scott stayed in Mexico

1 City; is that true?

A Yes.

2

554-2345

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202)

S.W.

300 7TH STREET,

12

13

Q Did Mr. Scott ever write any personal history
4 describing his life as an intelligence officer?

A He never showed me anything. He showed me writings
6 that he had done of the Soviet intelligence operations that
7 was published in Readers' Digest but he never went over any
8 history with me about himself.

9 Q So, you don't know whether or not he wrote a per10 sonal history describing his life as an intelligence officer?
11 A No.

Q He never discussed any such work with you? A He never discussed it with me.

14 Q I am going to ask you to read at this time CIA 15 Number 4008 through 4016 and for the record I will indicate 16 that the agency has made available to the Committee various 17 materials which the agency has assigned numbers to for 18 security purposes and we are using the agency's security 19 numbers to identify the materials that we are using here 20 today.

21 So, I am going to ask you to read at this time 22 CIA Number 4008 to 4016.

A Yes, I saw this draft when I was back here when I 24 was working.

Q What year?

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

A In April, 1977 but this was never discussed with me.
 Mr. Scott had no knowledge of this if he wrote it.

13

Q Let me identify this for the record. It is marked
4 Folder Number 1, Chapter 24 from draft manuscript of "The
5 Foul Foe," the book which purports to be a book of the writer's
6 career in intelligence. The writer is Ian Maxwell, believed
7 to be the pen name of Mr. Win Scott.

8 Now, you testified that Mr. Scott was a competent
9 individual and an honest man. Would you comment upon the
10 accuracy of the contents of this manuscript?

A Well, to me it appears that he was writing this for sales appeal and as far as I could tell, it does conflict with the records. I don't know, but I think that this may have been several years after he stopped working or certainly a long time after the assassination occurred or the investigation occurred and maybe his memory was faulty; I don't know, but I think it conflicts with what we have.

18 Q What sections of the manuscript conflict with the19 record? Let's go through it.

A Well, it leads one to believe that we had photographs here. He says that he is known to have visited both the Soviet Embassy and the Cuban Consulate. Well, we concluded from the conversations that he actually went to this Soviet Embassy but I didn't see any actual proof that he was in the Soviet Embassy from the records.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

554-2345

(202)

20024

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

S. W. 2

STREET.

H.L.C

Now, one telephone call was made, as I remember,
 from the Cuban Embassy but I don't think he made a telephone
 call to the Soviet Embassy. I may be wrong but I don't think
 he did. That is one instance.

5 Q What proof did you have that he went to the Cuban 6 Embassy or Consulate?

A He made a call from inside the Cuban Embassy and we picked it up on the telephone tap line from the Cuban Embassy. I should clarify that by saying a person whose voice was considered to be the same by the transcriber made the call and the conversation in that call was in continuity with a previous conversation in which he identified himself.

Here is another place that I don't think can be checked out with the records. "Persons watching these embassies photographed Oswald as he entered each one and clocked the time that he spent on each vitis."

As far as my mrmory goes, we never photographed As far as my mrmory goes, we never photographed Swald or identified a person as Oswald entering or leaving either place. I said we--the people--that I didn't see any information to that effect.

Q Are there any other sections of that manuscript that you think are inaccurate?

A There may be. You have to take it sentence by 24 sentence to check with the record, which I have done, but 25 I point those two things out.

5-54-2345

(202)

RUPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINCTON, D. C. 20024

S. W. S

STREET.

7.111

15 Well, why don't you read through it again and point 1 out any major inaccuracies in the manuscript. 2 Α May I make a check mark on the side of this? 3 Certainly. 4 0 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 А Paragraph 2 on the first page. That is 4009. ...6 0 : 7 As my memory recalls it, when he made his first A contact with the Soviet Embassy he did not say anything about 8 Crimea. 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 10 On page 2 at the end of paragraph 1. 0 This is page 4010. Use these numbers here. 11 12 A Excuse me. 4010, paragraph 1. 13 I am inclined to go along with the Warren Commission 14. report on that because I believe that when we went back over the records after the assassination, we found the Cuban 15 Embassy contact but I am not sure about that. 16 . Q Excuse me. So, the section here where it states: 17 S. W. 2 "This contact became important after the Warren Commission 18 JAA TTH STREET. report on the assassination of President Kennedy was published 19 20 for on page 777 of that report the erroneous statement was made that it was not known that Oswals has visited the Cuban 21 22 Embassy until after the assassination! Every piece of infor-23 mation concerning Lee Harvey Oswald was reported immediately after it was received to U.S. Ambassador Thomas Mann," et 24 cetera. 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 17

17 the assassination. That period after the assassination we 1 went over those records so many times and made so many trans-2 lations that it is hard to distinguish between the time after 3 that defector cable was received and the assassination in-Δ. 20024 (202) 554-2345 vestigation, but after the defector cable was received we did 5 try to get all the information together. 6 Let me show you at this time CIA Document 243, 7 which is a copy of an article dated 21 October 1964 and 8 specifically there is a paragraph in there that reads: . 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D.C. "The investigators also are trying to determine why 10 the CIA and its pre-assassination report to the State Depart-11 ment on Oswald's trip to Mexico City gave details only of the 12 defector's visit to the Russian Embassy and not the Cuban 13 Embassy. The CIA did not report the latter visit until after 14 Kennedy's assassination in Dallas." 1.5 Please read that paragraph to yourself that I just 16 read. 17 S.W. А Yes. 18 344 7TH STREET. Is that your handwriting in the margin on the right? 19 A Yes. 20 What does that say? Q٠ 21 "The call from the Cuban Embassy was unidentified 22 until headquarters sent traces on Oswald and the voices com-23 pared by Fineglass." He was a transcriber. 24 That is what I said, I thought, but I could not 25

remember whether it was after we got the cable f om head-1 quarters that we learned about the Cuban Embassy or whether 2 it was after the assassination. 3 So now it appears that shortly after you received 0 4 the cable from headquarters, the connection was made between 554-2345 5 Oswald and the Cuban Embassy? 6 20024 (202) I don't know whether someone took those transcripts 7 А to the transcriber and asked him if it was the same person or . 8 if he may have had copies of that tape remaining at that time 9 D. C. but you would have to ask him about that. 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, In any event, the connectionwas made between Oswald 0 11 and Oswald's visits to the Cuban Embassy before the assassina-12 tion? 13 . Yes, according to this it was. A 14 15 0 Fine. Please continue. I could not remember whether it was after the cable А 16 or after the assassination. 17 5. W. And now it appears that it was before the assassina-- 0 18 STREET. tion. 19 Before the assassination. A 20 11.17 DOC Please continue with the manuscript. 21 Q . Page 4014, paragraph 2, I don't recall that Oswald 22 A١ spelled out his name slowly and carefully for the Soviets in 23 the telephone transcript. Maybe I am wrong but I don't think 24 25 that was in there.

18

	e e	19
	1	Q Fine. Please continue.
	2	A That also has the Crimea in there.
	3	I think he may have gotten that Crimea from another
	4	transcript, another call that was made by a transportation
2115	5	agency asking for requirements for a visa to Crimea that was
554-2345	6	on the transcripts in between these calls, but we determined
(20)	7	it was not connected with Oswald.
20024 (202)	8	Page 4015, paragraph 2, persons. To my knowledge
200	9	there were no persons who saw Oswald enter and leave each em-
D. C.	10	bassy and clock the time he spent there.
TON.	11	Those are all the comments that I can make.
SHTING.	12	Q Thank you.
, WA	13	Let me show you CIA Number 183, which is the head-
I.D T NG	14	quarters copy of the cable that was sent to the Mexico City
ORTERS BUTLDING, MASHINGTON,	15	stationI am sorry, this is the Mexico City station copy of
1.1.1	16	that cable. You may recall that we have shown it to you
REPO	17	before. This is the one that makes reference to Oswald as Lee
S. W.	18	Henry Oswald and Win Scott apparently drew an arrow and wrote
. 1.1.	19	down "sic," underlined, pointing to the middle name "Henry."
STR	20	Now, the Committee has received testimony that this
300 7TH STREET,	21	notation was made prior to the assassination and we have
ЧС С	22	here on the one hand a manuscript where Scott says that
	23	Oswald spelled his name slowly and clearly, and we have here
汉	24	Scott aware apparently that Oswald's middle name was not
. 7.	25	Henry. Does that suggest to you that perhaps there was a

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

transcript where Oswald did spell his name slowly and clearly? 1 Well, I don't think so because I saw those trans-A 2 cripts before Mr. Scott did. The way the paper-work ran was 3 that those transcripts were given to the outside contact who Δ brought them to me. I looked at them and in case of someone 5 who was speaking in Russian or a language that the monitors 6 could not transcribe at the listening post, that one copy . 7 of that transcript and the tape went immediately to the person 8 handling the other transcriber. 9

20

In the case of the Soviets it would have been Mr. Manell. Sometimes the outside person could take that tape to the transcriber but I don't recall any instance when Mr. Scott saw the transcript before I did and I don't think that he spelled his name slowly and carefully. That is not the way I remember it.

Q So, in summary, the sections of this manuscript that you have referred to as being in conflict or--let me see if I can clarify this.

Are you saying that they are inaccurate because of your memory or are you saying that they are inaccurate because they conflict with the record?

300 22 23 24

7TH STREET, S.W.

20024 (202) 554-2345

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINCTON, D. C.

A Well, I think both.

Okay.

Q

A Part of the things that I worked on when I came up here in February, 1977 I did not remember until I saw the files. That newspaper clipping I didn't remember at all

		21
	1	until I saw it at the hearing.
·	· 2	Q And essentially you are indicating that this manu-
÷.	,3	script prepared by Mr. Scott is exaggerated?
en de la companya de	4	A In my opinion, it is. The only thing that we could
345	5	compare it with is the record of events.
20024 (202) 554-2345	6	Q Why would Mr. Scott have any reason to exaggerate
02)	7.	these things?
24 (2	8	A Pardon me?
	9	Q Why would Mr. Scott have any reason to exaggerate
D. C.	10	these matters?
TON,	11	A He had written books for sale and I think that he
surnig	12	probably felt that it would have more sales appeal. That is
114:	13	my guess. He had published a couple of books. I don't know
DING	14	how many books he published but I received a flyer of two
60 L	15	books that he had published and I didn't bother to read
EPORTERS BUILDING, NASHINGTON,	16	them.
REPOI	17	Q So, in essence, then Mr. Scott, whom you have
5.1	18	characterized to be an honest man, was not telling the truth
	19	when he read this first paragraph?
STRE	20	A No.
300 7TH STREET.	21	Q Let me read it to you.
300	22	"A great deal has been written about Lee Harvey
	23	Oswald, the assassin of President John Fitzgerald Kennedy.
R	24	Much of what has appeared in print was written by persons
n an Narata	25	who knew nothing and who tried to conjure up from some
••• • •••	ې د رسو د د	
•		
e e stadente	· ·· ,	n en

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 22

mysterious sources materials which they hope would sell. A
 great deal is written by people who knew a smathering and
 tried to design from what little they knew a story in which
 they hoped that what they said would eventually be taken as
 fact.

22

I learned something of Lee Harvey Oswald in the
period from Friday, 27 September 1963 (when Lee Harvey
8 Oswald having just arrived in Mexico City made his first
9 contact with the Soviet Embassy)."

10

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,

5. W.

STREET.

100 7 TH

16

21

20024 (202) 554-2345

Then it goes on.

Now in this first paragraph Scott is trying to distinguish himself from all of these other people who are trying to make money by conjuring up from mysterious sources materials they hoped would sell and you are saying that despite that, he is doing the same thing?

A That is the way it appears to me.

Also, I would like to say that during the time Also, I would like to say that during the time that I was there in my dealings with Mr. Scott, I felt that he was fair and honest with me. Now, there were times too when he exaggerated things that I knew were exaggerations.

Q Could you give an example?

A Yes, I could give you an example. Suspect indi-23 viduals that we had collected information on, there was a 24 saying that went, here was a suspect Soviet agent when the 25 person made their first contact with the Soviets. The second

or third or fourth contact they suddenly became known Soviet 1 agents because they had been there so many times. I felt 2 3 that was an exaggeration, that they may have been going there to get propaganda, and I discussed those things with him. 4 (202) \$54-2345 . 5. 0 Did Mr. Scott maintain a personal safe? He maintained several personal safes but I think he 6 A .7 had about three or four. 0 Where? 8 20024 ٠. 9 He kept them in the office. Some of them had very Δ ತ sensitive coded materials in them that he had custody of and 10 Ω. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 11 he dealt directly with headquarters on. Some of those projects I worked on that were not related to this investiga-12 13 tion. He also had a personal safe in his home. 14 What type of materials did he keep in that safe? 0 15 Well, now I never inspected the materials in that A safe at his home. I know that from time to time he took 16 17 classified documents from the office home to work on them at S. W. 18 night. I don't know whether he brought all the copies back JOO TTH STREET, 19 with him. 20 After he died, at the time of his death, I went 21 to a man who was then Director of Counter-intelligence and told him that I thought there might be classified documents 22 23 in his home. Some of those documents I was told were later 24 turned over to the Station Chief and sent back to Washington. 25 Did Mr. Angelton personally go to Mexico City to O

23

"		24
	1	retrieve those documents?
	2	A Yes, he went there to the funeral and I think he
	3	talked to at that time, Mr. John Horton.
	4	Q After Mr. Scott's retirement, what would he have
516	- 5.	been doing having classified materials at that time?
551-12	6.	A He had no business having them in my way of think-
02)	7	ing.
20024 (202) 554-2345	8	Q Now, the Committee has received testimony or state-
	9	ments from agency employees; one, for example, was Mr. Stan-
D. C.	10	ley Watson. Did you know him?
TON.	11	A Yes.
SITTING	12	Q What is your assessment of his competence and
, iv	13	honesty?
LD T N(14	A Well, when Mr. Watson and I were in Mexico to-
LPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	15	gether, he was working on projects that I was not related
RT E.R.	16	with. Then he was named deputy, I think, shortly before I
RLP0	17	left. I liked him socially but I didn't work with him.
s.u.	18	Q How extensive was the "P" file that the Mexico
STREET.	19	City station had on Lee Harvey Oswald?
S.IK	20	A I would say they were voluminous. Are you refer-
2 ~ 	21	ring to only Oswald?
UUC	22	Q Yes.
	23	A Or all of them?
R	24	Q I am referring to Oswald's.
	25	A There were several volumes; I am not sure how many.
•	, the second	
	a de antigen de de	



Now, Mr. Watson has testified that when he saw the 0 1 Oswald "P" file it was rather thin and also that within the 2 file he saw a photograph of Oswald that had been taken in 3 Mexico City. 4. 20024 (202) 554-2345 I should correct that. He did not testify that; 5 he gave a statement to the Committee investigators about 6 7. that. That he saw a photograph of Oswald that had been A 8 taken in Mexico City? 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, PASHINGTON, D.C. Yes. 0 10 11 ever made a photograph of Oswald. I think that he may have 12 been referring to the unidentified man but I don't know. 13 Q As a matter of fact, he was shown the photograph of 14 the unidentified man and he said no, that is not the one he 15 remembered seeing. 16 That is not the way I remember. Α 17 7TH STREET, S.W. How familiar are you with the history of the Mexico 0 18 City station? 19 The agency history? А 20 Well, just the history in general of the Mexico 0 21 000 City station. 22 Well, except for faults in my memory, I think I am 23 A as familiar as anyone who served there. 24 . Have you ever prepared an account of the history of - Q 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

		26
•	1	the Mexico City station?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Wehn did you do that?
	4	A When?
20024 (202) 554-2345	5	Q Yes.
	6	A I believe 1971, somewhere during that period.
02)	7	Q Why were you selected to prepare this history; do
2 M (2	8	you know?
2,003	9	A I was never told.
n. c.	10	Q What was the purpose?
. NOT	. 11	A I felt that someone who had a higher rank should
ONTIN	12	have been selected. In fact, somebody who did have a higher
. HAS	13	rank was selected, a man who had out-ranked me who had worked
BULLDING, MASUINGTON,	14	on the desk and had a much broader knowledge of the Mexico
	15	operations than I had had been selected and he had been on the
r <i>p</i> orters	16	payroll as a GS-15 for well over a year or longer and he had
RLPO	17	not written a page of that history.
S. U. S	18	Q Who was this man?
	19	A His name was Brady, B-R-A-D-Y. I came back from
STR	20	Mexico and they told me that I had to write it. I tried to
300 7TH STREET.	21	cooperate in working on it.
	22	Q What was the purpose of_writing this history?
	, 23	A To satisfy headquarters' requirement.
R	24	Q Why does headquarters have this kind of requirement?
n a air Iorra	25	A That came down from the Director's office. I don't
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · ·	TIP SEAT

	27
	know.
2	Q When they asked you to prepare the history, with
3.	what goal in mind did they ask you to prepare it?
4	A Pardon me?
5	Q When they asked you to prepare the history, they
6	must have explained to you what purpose they had in mind, why
7	they wanted the history to be written.
8	A They didn't ask me to prepare it; I was ordered to
9	prepare it and it was from a headquarters director.
10	Q When they ordered you to prepare the history, they
11	must have told you what were important factors to consider in
12	writing a history. Did they just ask you to write a history
13	without giving you any guidance at all?
14	A There was a historian who was brought in, I think,
15	from Michigan, one of the large universities, who had several
16	general discussions about how to write history and how to
17	coordinate material, but there was no one there immediately
18	above me with any experience in research or in writing a
19	history. I did it mostly on my own.
20	Q And you do not know why these station histories are
21	prepared?
22	A No, unless it was just to make it easy for briefing
5 23	material for people who were going there.
24	Q I would like to show you at this time CIA Number

「日本のない」

68

164 and ask you you can identify this document? if

DN.

, ک

NAMES OF

REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

NW

25

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHTHGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

· .	• •	28
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q What is it?
	3.	A This is a background draft that I was asked to write
	4	when I came to work in Washington for the Inspector General's
5 H - 2 3 H S	5	staff. They asked me to write down as much as I could remem-
	6	ber or put together from the files which they had of what
20024 (202)	7	had happened in Mexico, a resume.
) hZ(8	Now, I was told that this would not be used in any
	9	way except for background information; it would never leave
D.C	10	the agency.
cron,	11	Q .When was this?
SITT	12	A The 10th of February, 1977. This is not the history
ž.	13	of Mexico.
NI (T)	14	Q I understand. The history was prepared in approxi-
1 101	15	mately 1970 or 1971.
RLPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C.	16	A This document, I objected strenuously to its being
кгро	17	used because I want to call attention to the names of people.
5. 11.	18-	Many of these people are serving still, some of them in very
1	19	sensitive jobs, and they are not connected in any way with
JOO TTH STREET',	20	this investigation.
11. U	21	Q No one is using those names, Miss Goodpasture.
C.	22	A I know but they were released outside the agency.
	; 23	Q That is a problem you have with the agency, yes.
R	24	Now, I would like to ask you to read pages 30 to 31
•	25	of your prior testimony, starting at the top of page 30 and
· · ·	14 - 54 19 - 19	
ی۔ - در ۱۹۰۱ د	an te te constant te	
•		

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

29 going through to line 16 on page 31. 1 2 А All right. Now, I would like to ask you to read CIA Number 3 4 4090 to 4091. 20024 (202) 554-2345 . 5 Before I do, Miss Goodpasture, do you remember 6 giving this testimony in April? 7 Yes. А 8 Please read that document. 0 9 I didn't remember my precise answers but I think А n. c. 10 they are still valid as far as my memory goes now. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 11 I don't think I ever saw this before. 12 Have you read both pages? 0 13 Yes. That second page, in the middle '60's there А 14 was a proposal to reorganize the station and I was going to 15 be given a job as executive officer or personal assistant to the Chief of Station to create a slot so that I would be given 16 17 a promotion. S. U. 18 My personnel file, perhaps over at the headquarters JAA 774 STREET, 19 records, may reflect that but it never came about. One of the reasons that I wanted to retire was that they talked about 20 21 the job but they never gave it to me. 22 0 So in fact you are saying that you were not a spec-23 ial assistant to the Chief of Station? 24 Not in reality except for those projects which I А worked on and he made the decisions on. What I mean by that 25

30 was that he talked to the senior people involved and I did the 1 legal work and most of the paper-work. 2 Is there any of your prior testimony on pages 30 0 3 to 31 that I just showed you that you would like to modify in 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 light of CIA Number 4090 and 4091? 5 A No, because I think that they told me that they 6 thought I was best qualified to write that but I don't really 7 know except that I am sure that the other people did not want 8 to do it because they didn't want to be sitting here talking 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. to you and discussing it. 10 There were many people who could have done it. 11 The deputy Chief of Station knew more about it than I did. 12 So, when you wrote this document you were doing it 13 0 in anticipation of meeting with a Congressional Committee? 14 А Pardon me? 15 So, when you wrote this document, you did it in 16 anticipation of meeting with a Congressional Committee and 17 s. w., having to respond to a Congressional Committee? 18 STREET. Yes, because one of the first things I saw when I 19 came back to work was the green book that the previous Com-20 7 TH mittee had prepared. 21 UUU Is this statement in your prior testimony true, 22 Q Miss Goodpasture? 23 Quoting your testimony: "I was told that all of 24 the people who were there at the time would be interviewed 25 Ballse blas s

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 31

31 and would be asked to do the same thing that I was asked to 1 do." 2 3 Yes. А 4 So, you were told that? 0 554-2345 5 I was told that but if I remember it, I think Mr. A Leader talked with everyone who had been there and it was б. 20024 (202) for that reason that I tried to make up a complete list 7 here of all of these people. .8 9 And all of those people would be asked to write 0 ت ď 10 a report as you were being asked to do? RUPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON. 11 That was my understanding, yes, that they would be A ′ interviewed. 12 13 0 And write a report? 14 And write a report or make a written preparation А 15 of their memory of the events at that time. 16 How many months did you work on this project? 0. Well, it was interrupted by my mother's sudden 17. А s. u. . 18 death. I think it was almost three. STREET. 19 And you felt that the agency was going to have Q all of these other people spend three months? 20 11.1. DOE 21 A Many of these people were still on the payroll but 22 the writing of it was only part of it. My main function up 23. there I felt was to get the files together, help to locate the files and put them together for review by the Inspector 24 25 General's staff when they were needed by the Congressional

1 Committee.

554-2345

20024 (202)

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

5. U.

STREET,

11TH

300

Q Did you know that you were preparing a report that3 would be used by the Inspector General?

A Yes. I sat in his office, in his deputy's office.
Q Now, we have taken a great deal of testimony from
6 various other agency employees and I would like to ask you to
7 comment about some of their testimony in which they character8 ize you specifically.

9 Question by Mr. Goldsmith: "Was Ann Goodpasture 10 acting in a supervisory capacity with regard to the liaison 11 operations?

"Mr. White: That is very difficult to say. That is very difficult to say. She carried with her a lot of invisible authority that devolved upon her because of her operational relationship with the Chief of Station who had absolute confidence in her. She had a marvelous memory; she was meticulous in detail; I think he had every reason to put that kind of trust in her.

19 "She reported directly to him and while she may not 20 have been invested with any command authority by virtue of 21 her position at the station, certainly she was a kind of un-22 official deputy for the purposes of the operations that she 23 was involved in.

"For example, we had this old ex-FBI guy on the outside who worked with the Mexicans with the taps and when

24

Ann spoke to George Munro and said something to him, George 1 knew damn well it had the backing of the Chief of Station. 2 If he didn't, he could go around and check it out. 3 "By and large there was not much question in 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 George's mind that when Ann said 'The boss wants this,' that 5 it came from Win. To that extent she had supervisory re-6 sponsibility." 7 "Mr. Goldsmith: Was Ann Goodpasture an employee 8 9 of the station also? REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 10 "Mr. Manell: She was. "Mr. Goldsmith: What were her station responsi-11 12 bilities? "Mr. Manell: She had many responsibilities that 13 included being an assistant to the Chief of Station. She was 14 also a person who had some type of responsibility for collect-15 ing the photos that we obtained in the Soviet Embassy ac-16 tivity and I think she was also involved to some extent in the 17. S.W.S telephone tap operations that we conducted against facilities 18 THU STREET 19 in Mexico City. "Mr. Goldsmith: To your knowledge, was Ann Good-20 pasture Win Scott's right-hand person? 21 22 "Mr. Mannel: Yes, she was." "Mr. Goldsmith: Do you know what her responsi-23 bilities" -- referring to Ann Goodpasture's -- "were in the 24 Mexico City Station? 25.

33

"Mr. Scelco: She was sort of the personal assistanț 1 to Win Scott. 2 Who were Mr. Scott's chief "Mr. Goldsmith: 3 deputies? 4 "Let me rephrase the question. Upon which indi-20024 (202) =554-2345 -5 viduals did he tend to rely the most? 6 "Mr. Shaw: He had one deputy who was Alan White. 7 As far as reliance on other people, I would say he relied 8 very heavily on Ann Goodpasture, not in any way in a command 9 D. C. function, but as a girl Friday." 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, Miss Goodpasture: I would rather be a girl 11 Friday than a right-hand man. 12 (Laughter.) 13 Well, I made more of those statements as those 14 people saw it in their relationship with Mr. Scott's pro-15 jects. They are true the way they saw it but I had no 16 responsibility outside the projects that we worked on, but 17 5. W. the liaison projects and the telephone tapping project touched 18 STREE'C. every operation in the Mexico station. 19 By Mr. Goldsmith: 20 11.17 00C Did you have any contact with the ---0 21 I just didn't think I was as important as other 22 people seemed to imply that I was. 23 Prior to testifying before this Committee this 24 April, had you had any contact with anyone from the agency, 25 the CIA, with regard to your testimony here?

34

A No. Well, yes, I had contact. I had a call from
 Russell Holmes who told me that he could not locate some
 photographs and he wanted me to come up before I testified,
 that I would have to testify. Mr. Leader told me that I
 would have to testify. When I came in, I thought I would be
 seeing someone for an interview.

In the corridor I saw Mr. Manell and he asked me 7 what I was doing here and I said I was going to talk to him. 8 He said, "We all had to talk to them but don't worry because 9 they are only going to ask you about some of the details that 10 are down there in the records." He was the only one that 11 had already talked to you. The others had their dates set up 12 or something; I don't remember precisely what dates. 13 Did Mr. Manell discuss with you the substance of the 0 14

15 questions that were asked of him? 16 A He said generally they want to know about what hap-17 pened down there and the things you will know the answers to 18 and the photographs. I don't recall anything specific,

19 any specific questions.

Q After your testimony in April, did you have contact with anyone from the agency about that testimony?
A I had contact with several people who asked me what
I said. I didn't discuss the testimony with anyone I recall
over here. I discussed this document with the Inspector
General's office because he had told me that it was for

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

554-2345

20024 (202)

n. c.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

S. W.

STREET.

300 7TH

background information only. 1 I said, "I want to know why you released that 2 document instead of the official record copy," and he said 3 he did not release it; it had not been released. Then I said, 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 "Well, you better discuss it with them." 5. I didn't discuss it with any of the others. 6 T saw Mr. White in a cocktail bar and he asked me about it. 7 I told him that I thought he would be called to testify and I 8 was not at liberty to discuss the questions that you asked. 9 n. c. 10 0 Did anyone else ask you about the questions that REPORTERS BUTLDING, WASHINGTON, were asked? 11. A I don't think so. 12 Did Mr. Breckinridge or his staff? 13 Q 14 I went to Mr. Breckinridge. He is the one I А 15. talked to about these documents because I felt that you didn' have all the files. 16 ... Did you discuss the substance of your testimony 17. 0 5.W. with Mr. Breckinridge? 18 300 7TH STREET. I discussed the documents generally but we 19 No. А did not go into individual questions. Then I told him that I 20 didn't think I made a very good impression, and it was a 21 22. pleasant experience. 23 Was there anyone with whom you discussed the sub-0 stance of your testimony? 24 Mr. Leader, Mr. Breckinridge and Russ Holmes 25 A No. ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

36

DocId:32263433

were in that meeting, so I think I might have said when I
 went into Mr. Holmes' office that it was really rough or
 something like that but we didn't go into many details.

37

4 Q Have you had any contact with the agency prior to 5 your testimony today?

6 Yes. I came in Tuesday, called you from the edge А .7 When I arrived at my friend's home she said, of town. "Someone is trying to call you; his name is George somebody." 8 9 The name didn't mean anything to me. The next morning a man 10 who identified himself as George Joannides called to tell me 11 that you had been trying to get in touch with me, and I told 12 him that I had already talked with you and explained to him that I had called your office before I left Dallas and I had 13 14 to go to a family funeral.

He said, "That is all I want to know," and I hadno other discussions with him.

17 After your testimony in April, did you stay in 18 Washington or in Virginia and do any work for the agency? 19 A In April, I went back to the agency the following 20 day and I talked to Mr. Breckinridge and Mr. Leader, Mr. 21 Holmes. I don't think I went back to the agency again. 22 So, you didn't do any work for them? 0. 23 А No.

Q No research work, for example?

No. When I came up before I talked with you, I

24

25

A

20024 (202) 554-2345

n. c.

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASITINCTON,

S. W.

STREET,

7111

helped them locate the photographs. Some of the photographs 1 they could not find but that was before I came over here. 2 I would like to ask you now to read pages 14 to 16, 0 3 line 13 on page 16 of your prior testimony. 4 Yes. 20024 (202) 554-2345 A 5 I haven't had breakfast yet. 6 We can stop for a recess for you at any time. In Q 7 fact, we will stop at lunch time, 12:00 o'clock, at which 8 time you will get to enjoy Capitol Hill food again. I don't 9 RUPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C. think you were very pleased with our cafeterias the last 10 time. 11 I will also ask you to read pages 35 to 38. 12 May I correct the spelling? A 13 Please do. 0 14 All right. А 15 Now, I would like to ask you to read CIA Number 0 16 4017 and 4018, which is a fitness report prepared by Win 17 S. U. 2 Scott for you during the period 1963. 18 STREET, All right. А 19 Now, having read your fitness report for 1963, are 0 20 1111 there any portions of your prior testimony that you wish to 21 JOO modify? 22 No, not really. Now, this LIEMPTY project, this А 23 is a case of where I cannot seem to make it clear how our 24 functions were. Now, the case officer had responsibility 25

38

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

1 for the operation of the project. He decided how much to 2 pay the agents, what hours they worked, where the meetings 3 were held. He hired them; he fired them and he knew the 4 identities; he met with all of them.

He brought in the photographs, the product. He dumped it on my desk and he was finished with it. I took the product film and prints and the contact file and distributed those.

9 I could levy any requirements of him or other people 10 in the station which as he remembered it it might have been 11 for supervision, but when he was out of town I met with one 12 agent with his so-called cut-out.

I did not meet with the others. I never determined how much they were paid, when they were paid, when they would meet, what hours they worked. This is what I was telling you earlier, that the job description was laid out primarily to get me a promotion. I stayed in grade for 10 years.

Q So, are you saying that this ---

A The responsibilities of that project were divided in that way. Now, he may remember it differently.

Q Win Scott prepared this fitness report and apparent
 1y you signed it.

A Yes.

Q Specific duty number 1 says: "LIEMPTY project 25 working with Jeremy L. Niarcos, regular contact case officer,

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 40

20024 (202) 554-2345

RUPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C.

S. W.

STREET,

7.111

300

18

19

20

23

S.S.A.F. MAX A supervises work of three photo bases operating against the 1 Soviet Embassy. The processes tape, identify Soviets and 2 intelligence functions. Ultimate contact with staff agent." 3 This is not accurate? 4 (202) 554-2345 It is not precise the way the work was divided. 5. • • A The photo project was first set up by Mr. Mahoney and Mr. 6 Anderson and they brought the photographs in to me. 7. 8 The next person who handled that project--and at 20024 9 that time it was one project all of its own, not called p. c. LIEMPTY--he was transferred away and I stayed there. 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINCTON, Then Mr. Puckett came and took over the project. 11 I am giving you this background to show you the rank. I 12 believe Mr. Puckett was GS-14. 13 14 I continued to handle the photographs. I made recommendations for changing it but I was never the case 15 officer. They discussed giving me that project and making me 16 the case officer but it never really came about. 17. S. U. Did you supervise the work of Tom Keenan? 18 Q STREET, 19 No, only to the extent that I reviewed the photo-А graphs and if there was something wrong with the photographs, 20 7111 I went in to Tom and said--we were good friends and we worked 21 100 together, but I did not tell him what time to meet the 22. 23 people, how much to pay them. He wrote his own project out-24 line for the project. I worked with him but it was as an alternate, not as a supervisor, and that is the way I 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 41

1 remembered it.

20024 (202) 554-2345

n. c.

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINCTON,

S. U. 2

STREET.

100 7TH



à

Q This duty which is listed as specific duty number 1 is not an accurate summary then of what your responsibilities were?

5 A He said that I was working with Tom Keenan but I 6 was in the secondary position rather than in the primary posi-7 tion. That is what I am trying to say. I had been there so 8 long and I knew the history of the project.

9 Q Did you establish, for example, the time of the 10 photo coverage, the scope of the photo coverage?

A I don't think I did. I think that the photo cover-12 age had been established by the case officer who first set 13 it up on the basis it needs. Now, if we knew that someone 14 might come down there on a weekend, I would run in and say, 15 "Tom, have the boys work all weekend." We would arrange for 16 other people to be in the area working.

17 Quite frequently, I went out to the area to work.
18 Tom went out to the area to work on discounts but every other
19 case officer did the same thing if they had someone who might
20 go there.

Q Now, I don't understand why, if you knew this description was inaccurate, you left this document go to headquarters.

A I think it was made on the basis of trying to get 25 a promotion for me.

Gy her inder i

1 Q Fine. Thank you.

2 How many photo bases did the Mexico City station 3 have surveiling the Soviet Embassy in 1963?

A Three. In 1963, I think they had three.
5 Q If I give you a piece of paper, would you be able
6 to draw the embassy and indicate the location of the photo
7 bases?

A I think so.

Q Please.

20024 (202) 554-2345

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D. C.

340 TTH STREET, S.W.

20

23

24

25

8

9

10 A This is the main building which went something
11 like this. That building was located inside a compound.
12 Now over here were other buildings and there were houses
13 here. In one of these houses--I am not sure now which one-14 the photo base was located in.

15 Q Let's number each of these bases 1, 2 and 3.
16 Where was photo base number 1 located in reference

17 to the entrance to the embassy?

18 A This is the entrance right here. It was across 19 the street on the west.

Q Let's label the entrance letter "A."

I would like to have this marked "Goodpasture, 22 Exhibit Number 1," and admitted.

> (Whereupon, the document was was marked Goodpasture, Exhibit No. 1.)

> > な意志

SON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

語及於語

By Mr. Goldsmith: 1 Would you indicate on this diagram what the 2 3 cryptonyms, were for each of these photo bases? Are you certain that what you have indicated as 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 photo base number 1 was LIMITED and that photo base number 5 2 was LILYRIC? Is it possible that you have them reversed? ...6 Well, let's see. After the Warren Commission re-7 А port, after the unidentified man was released to the public, -8 the bases were closed. This building suffered damage. 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. Referring to building number 1. 10 There was an old man here. 11. A 12 That is in building number 1? 0 Yes, these people I believe were paid off. This 13 was after I had nothing more to do with the project, so that 14 the reason that I am vague on it. The people who were located 15 here were moved from this location to this location. 16 So, the people in location number 2 ---17 0 S. W. S So that the LILYRIC people who had a cryptonym were 18 А TTH STREET. operating first here and then they moved to this location. 19 When did they make that move? 20 0 I don't remember. I don't know. 21 **J**<u>00</u> On what floor of the buildings were these photo 22 23 bases situated? 24 А This was a house. Number 1? 25

43

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC

That is the reason why it was LIMITED. А А two-1 story and the top part was used. It was a stone colonial 2 house and had a shell in one of the parts of the outside 3 4 wall. Anyway, they built a small room. I believe this was REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 a one-story house and they built a room up in the attic 5 6 but I was never in that building so I am not sure. It might have been two stories. 7 You are referring to number 1? 0 8 This was one the second or third floor of an apart-9 А ment building. 10 .This was one the second floor of a small row house. 11 Q You are referring now to number 3. 12 Yes. I think there were four houses there and I 13 A don't remember which number it was in. It was in one of the 14 four. I believe it was number 3. 15 Which of these photo bases did you say was on the Q 16 second floor of the building? 17. S.U.2 I think this was on the second floor or the third 18 А 300 7TH STREET. floor. 19 Number 2? 20 0 The apartment building. A 21 Number 2? 22 Q. It was in the apartment building. 23 А This was a private residence. 24 25 0 That is number 1.

44

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC

			45
÷			45
		1	A And this was a private residence.
		2	Q. That is number 3.
		3	A This was a multiple dwelling.
		· 4 .	Q You are referring now to number 2?
	312	5	A Number 2.
	5 H - 2 3H S	6	Q Which one of these bases took the better pictures?
	02)	7	A Well, I felt that this had the better quality
	20024 (202)	8	picture.
		9	Q You are referring now to the LILYRIC?
	D. C.	10	A Yes. The photographs were made from a different
	BUTLDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.	11	angle
	SITTIC	12	Q And LILYRIC had the better angle?
	× 11	13	A No. I think the pictures were sharper but I don't
	ONICI	14	think the angle was any better. They were younger people,
		15	I think, and they could handle the camera better.
	PORTERS	16	Q Now, did these two photo bases complement each
		17	other or did they duplicate each other's work?
	s.u.	18	A Well, sometimes they duplicated each other and
	• • •	19	sometimes they complemented each other.
		20	Q Refer now to 1963.
	111. V V V	21	A This man in 1963, I think, I cannot remember.
	Ę	22	The only way to accurately answer that question would be to
		23	check their logs to determine when they were making photo-
-	R	24	graphs and when they overlapped.
		25	Q What would have been the purpose of duplicating the
÷	,		

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

coverage? 1 2 А None that I can see. A bit of history. It was my understanding that the 3 reason there were two bases so close together at that time was 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 so that in case something happened to one--if, for instance, 5 a police van moved up there and sat all day and this one had . 6 to stop work, there would be an alternate or if something 7 happened, we would have another base that could take over. 8 But normally they both would not be working at the 9 Q REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. same time? 10 11 А I think they were set up in a way that they alter-They provided the coverage at different hours. nated. .12 13 It would not really make any sense any other way. Q No. А 14 15 Let me show you some documents which seem to be 0 consistent with that; in other words, documents which seem to 16 be consistent with your testimony. I am first going to show 17 S. W. you some pages from a document that is a request for the 18 STREET. approval of a project for the period December, 1960 through 19 30 November 1961. 20 11.1. 1. Excuse me for a moment. 21 300 Specifically now, CIA Number 4072, which is part 22 of this request for project approval from the year 1960--23 why don't you read paragraph B. This is requesting renewal 24

of the project for 1961. This was written in 1960 for 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

DocId:32263433

•		1	47
·			
		1	approval of the project for 1961.
		2	A I cannot remember who wasnow, this was when?
	۰.	3	Puckett was there as case officer before Tom Keenan came in.
	÷	- 4	Q I understand.
	345	5	Now, drawing your attention to paragraph B, are the
	54-2	6	contents of that paragraph accurate?
	02) 5	7	A I think so.
, ·	20024 (202) 554-2345	8	Q Now, let's take a look at CIA Number 4063 which is
	2002	9	the request for approval of the project for the following year,
	n. c.	10	and I direct your attention to naragraph B-1. Does that
	ron.	11	paragraph indicate that there has been no change in the cov-
	.SHTH:	12	erage as described in the 1960 request?
	WAS	13	A Yes.
	PORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,	14	Q Now, CIA Number 4055, which is the request for the
		15	following year 1962. I draw your attention to paragraph B-1.
	21.KS	16	Does that paragraph indicate that to avoid repetition the
	REPOR	17	station is omitting the usual description of the functions of
	S. U. 2	18	these three bases and though they contribute to the above
		19	objective? This was described in detail in the 1960 request
	7TH STREET,	20	for project renewal.
· · ·	:	21	Is that indicated there?
	UCC	22	A It indicates that there was not any change.
,		23	Q Finally, this is the request for approval for the
	N.	24	year 1963, CIA Number 4047.
	e des de	25	A Now, this is what I was talking about earlier.
	· · ·		
	· ·	м - р. р. н	
	1		

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

		48
	1	Q What are you referring to?
	2	A When we were talking about supervision.
	- 3	Q Yes.
	4	A Now, Tom Keenan made his own project renewal re-
20020 (288) 554-2345	5	quest for the project and his name is not shown in here. I
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, 6	made up the ones that I worked with Win Scott. This is not
1000	7	consistent with the statement in the efficiency report.
1011	8	Q For the record, Miss Goodpasture is referring to
200	9	CIA Number 4025.
. e	10	Now, let me refer you to CIA Number 4047, which is
NOT	11	the request for project approval for the year 1963 and
	12	specifically to paragraph B-1.
ΥΥ Υ	. 13	A Yes. There was no change.
DINC	14	Q So, in essence, these documents support your testi-
TTTRS BUILDING NASHTHCTON	15	mony that the coverage was designed to be complementary rather
		than duplicative?
NCPOI	17	Did either of these photo bases take photographs
. n S	18	of Oswald during his visit to Mexico City?
	1	A Pardon me?
JOO 7TH STREET	20	Q Did either of these photo bases take photographs
7111	21	of Oswald in his visit to Mexico City in 1963?
JOC	22	A Not to my knowledge.
	23	Q Do you know why?
	24	A I don't think he went there during the hours when
	25	they were working.
· · ·		
•		and the second
. •		

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

Q Well, we have established from these documents that
 the hours essentially were all daylight hours and these docu ments also indicate that there was Saturday coverage. Oswald
 went there on Friday and Saturday.

49

1

This project outline was a proposal in theory that - 5 А the only way that you can determine when they were actually 6 working would be to take the location and check the logs 7 because every time they took a photograph, they turned in a 8 daily report. Every time they made a photograph they put 9 down the time, the exposure number and what happened. So, 10 to see when they made pictures, they may have been working and 11 not made a picture. 12

13 Q Well, at the very least, we see that these docu-14 ments indicate that the station had informed headquarters that 15 by design these photo bases operate in a complementary manner 16 and also that Saturday coverage was to be included.

Now, you are saying they may not have been working that way in fact but that is what the records indicate? A That is right. The only way you can tell that they were actually working would be to check the logs. Q Miss Goodpasture, are the logs from the LILYRIC operation for 1963 available today?

A I believe that some of the LILYRIC logs were not in the files when I checked and we thought that they had been destroyed when the files were reduced in size.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC

554-2315

20024 (202)

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C.

S.W.

STREET,

ML L

300

23

24

Q When was that?

1

2 I wrote a memo on that which is in the record based А on what we found at the time we made the file search. 3 4 I am not sure exactly what date it was but the 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 memorandum which I prepared, and I think you have a copy of it which shows the backs of some of the files marked LILYRIC 6 in this period that were interested in and had been used 7 for other photo files. I was not in the Mexico station at 8 9 the time that that happened so I don't know how it came REPORTERS BUILDING WASHINGTON, D.C. about or why. 10 11 Q Miss Goodpasture, I would like to show your 12 testimony before the Committee on pages 47 through 51. I would like to ask you to read that testimony and to tell me 13 14 if it is still accurate in your mind. 15 How far did you want me to read? . A Yes. 16 Read through page 51. 0 17 Right here there is something confusing. А S.W. 18 Please read the entire testimony and it is possible STREET. 19 that in context everything will be consistent and clear. 20 Please read that and then we will let you correct or modify. H.L.C. 21 What I was referring to here when ---Α. . 300 22 Q. This is page 51. 23 I thought you were asking me about photographs А 24 made during the time span of the Oswald visit between 27

50

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

September and 2 October, when I said one page was missing.

1 That is what I was asking you about. I was con-0 2 cerned with that time period. Now, the photographs which were destroyed, there 3 А 4 would have been one page for the 27th of September that would REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 have been LILYRIC. That one we could not find. 6 But the negatives were still in existence? 0 7 Because at the time that we sent the photograph to А Washington in 1963, shortly after I think we sent those photo-8 9 graphs to Washington after the assassination, the negatives 10 of the LILYRIC photographs I believe were forwarded to 11 Washington; I am not sure. 12 So, the negatives were still in existence but the 0 13 photographs you were not able to find. 14 For that day. А 15 What about the production log for that day? 0 16 The production log we could not locate as I remember А 17 it. S. U. 2 18 Fine. Is there anything else you would like to STREET. 19 clarify about this testimony? 20 No, I just wanted to establish that timeframe, 27 A 111. 21 October to 2 October, which I think was a weekend. **1**00 22 . Do you know why the LILYRIC photographs were des-0 23 troyed? 24 I think it was reduction of the files. А 25 Why did they keep the LIMITED photographs and not 0 ·

51

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

;HORT/edm 1	52 the LILYRIC?
IA sp fols 2	A I don't know but I will say this: That for the size
3	of the files, the file room was about four times the size of
4	this room and you could not walk through there by the time
5	I left. There was a new Station Chief and he didn't have the
5 11 - 2 3 11 S	same feeling for all of those records that his predecessor
(202) 5	had had and he had a new staff with him.
	When I went back there a few months after I had left,
2002%	you could have played ping pong in the place, there was that
ບ ອີ10	much paper that had been reduced.
	Q Miss Goodpasture, have you ever heard that
Not 11 12	Charlotte Bustos found a photograph of Oswald at CIA head-
	quarters?
• ¹³ 9N 107 14 17 15	A You told me that when I was here in April but I was
- V 1	not aware of that.
58 11 16 17	Mr. Goldsmith: I think this may be an appropriate
9 17	time for us to break for lunch.
5 18	Miss Goodpasture: I think it might.
19 19 19 20	Mr. Goldsmith: We will break until 1:30.
ž 20	(Whereupon, at 12:20 p.m., a recess was taken until
HLZ 21	1:30 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 53

WARD/Pila	and	,	
.Assass.	11/2	0	
		1	AFTERNOON SESSION
		2	(1:35 p.m.)
		з	Whereupon,
		4	ANN GOODPASTURE
·	345	5	resumed the stand, and having been previously duly sworn,
	554-23	6	was examined and testified as follows:
• •	200219 (202)	7 8	EXAMINATION By Mr. Goldsmith:
	2002	9	Q I believe that you have a statement, Miss
	D. C.	10	Goodpasture, that you would like to clarify for the record
	TON,	11	at this time?
	III III	12	A Yes. You asked me earlier if I had been in touch
	145	13	with anyone from the agency after my last testimony in
	ORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	14	April. In July 1978, I believe it was the 15th of July,
	U NO S	15	a very close friend of mine died, and I came to Washington
	RTERS	16	just for the funeral. While I was here, Scott Breckinridge
	RLFO	17	called the house and asked if he could come by and see me.
	s.u.	18	He and Russ Holmes came by, and he said that he wanted
	۰ ایر	19	to know where the records were, if I could give them any
	STR	20	information to locate any additional records on the photo-
	JAA 7TH STREET.	21	graphs for Cuban and Soviet embassies. He was mainly
	UC	22	interested in Cuban embassy photographs because he thought
Ŕ		23	that I had given testimony before that was not consistent
· · · ·	R	24	with information in the files.
		25	I didn't recall giving specific testimony on

- 1

. •		
	1	Cuban embassy photographs on when that camera was in operation.
	2	In any event, I told him that where the logs would be
	3	located and how he could find out when coverage was made, when
	4	the cameras were operating with the logs. And that was the
545	5	extent of that contact.
554~2345	6	Q Did you indicate to him where those logs could
202)	7	be found?
20024 (202)	. 8	A Pardon me?
	9	Q Did you indicate to him where those logs could be
D.C.	10	found?
CTON	11	A Yes.
NSHTTH	12	I indicated where I had seen them last.
	13	Q And where was that?
RPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	14	A That was Mr. Holmes' files, in his file cabinet.
7 BU 5	15	Q Now, when you testified in April, we discussed a
ORTER	16	photograph, photograph of the unidentified man
. AUM	17	A Yes.
. и. Г	18	Q Who was initially mistakenly linked with Oswald
ær,	19	in the cable that was sent from Mexico City Station to
in Sri	20	headquarters.
300 7TH STREET.	21	Do you remember that?
(*1	22	A Right.
	23	Q And that cable reported that on 1 October 1963
R	24	the North American male was seen rather not seen, but
	25	had been in contact with the Soviet Embassy.
	an a	

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

. 2

I have before me CIA No. 4087, which is apparently
 a log from the photo coverage, and I would like to ask you on
 what basis the report was made that the unidentified man had
 been in contact with the embassy on October 1st?

5 According to the log, it indicates he was there on6 October 2nd.

7 A I think that the person that wrote the cable -- I an 8 not sure who wrote the cable, but I think that when they first 9 looked at it, they thought that this was the lst of October, 10 that everything here was the first of October. He didn't 11 read the log here.

12 Q But in the original of that log, I should point out13 that each day is marked off with a red row.

A Yes.

Q We have a Xerox here which doesn't reflect the fact that this was actually sort of a multicolored document. A But that is the only explanation I have, just that it was careless reading. I don't know.

Q Were you the person that went and retrieved that photograph and made the connection with the date, or wat it someone else?

22 23 24 24

554-2345

(202)

20024

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

5. W.S

STREET,

7711

UUE

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

A It could have been me or it could have been the person who sent the cable, but I usually gave copies of the photograph to the analysts to do whatever they wanted to with it.

W 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 5

Now, in this particular case, that photograph 1 2 could have been given to Mr. Scott, Mr. White or Mr. Manell. Why would it have been given to Mr. Scott or Mr. 3 0 White? 4

Because at the time that we got the intercept and А 5 we began to look at the photographs, any American that we 6 thought we had identified, we passed it to the Chief of 7 Station and the Deputy Chief of Station, and the men for 8 the Soviets, Mr. Manell. 9

Now, we were not supposed to report on American 10 citizens unless they were subjects of ongoing investigation 11 and we had permission to pass that information to the FBI. Ι 12 13 quess it was because of the civil rights thing, but we just had a standard regulation that we had to have permission from 14 headquarters to disseminate information on Americans. 15 Do you recall who specifically found the photograph 16 0 17 of the unidentified man, the photograph that was mistakenly tied to Oswald? 18

0. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

S.W.

19

20

21

22

23

JOA 7TH STREET,

Pardon me? А

Do you recall specifically who it was that found Ο the photograph of the unidentified man, the photograph which was mistakenly linked to Oswald?

I am the person that first made the decision that A that man was probably an American. Now, I think Mr. Scott 24 25 was the one who inserted in the cable or sent the message

that it was probably Oswald, but I don't know. I can't - T 1 don't remember who -- how that photograph was connected with 2 Oswald later. It was the only person, the only photograph 3 in the bunch that could have been a non-Latin that day. 4 554-2345 Well, let me show you this here. On September 27th, 5 '63, there were two other unidentified American males. 6 20024 (202) But these people had been there several times 7 Α. before. We knew who they were. 8 How did you know that then? 9 Q REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D. C. Because of that license plate. That care had been 10 À there previously. 11 12 0 What about the individual on line 13? 13 I think that they were Mexicans. А There is a notation here which says this is a 14 0 Mexican man, Gutierrez, license plate changed in February 15 '64 to Mexican, so and so. 16 Yes, we identified him and I believe he was in a 17 Α S. W. S travel agency. I am not sure. 18 300 7TH STREET, But was he identified in 1964, or was he still 19 0 20 unidentified as of September 27th, 1963? He had been identified, I think, before. I don't 21 А know, I would have to check the records to be sure. 22 I think 23 that he had been identified through a previous visit in the 24 same care with the same Texas plates, and this was another visit that he had made there. 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC

If he had been identified, then why would the log 1 0 have referred to him as an unidentified American male? 2 This was made by the base house operator. А We 3 didn't tell them when we identified someone. 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 They typed up the log. 5 Now, getting back to the first question that I 0 6 7 asked you here, you are saying that whoever went to this log just looked at the top of the page where it said October 1st, 8 and went down the rest of the page, and assumed that the man 9 D. C. had made contact with the embassy on the lst, even though 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, he appears under the 2nd. 11 The 2nd. Ά 12 13 Q Do you think that you would have made that mistake? I could have, yes. Α 14 Q Even though you worked with these types of logs 15 over a period of many years? 16 Α. Well, it is very careless, but I am not above it. 17 S. W. I can't say now because I don't remember. 18 STREET. 19 0 Who first identified Oswald in his dealings with the Cuban Government in Mexico City? 20 11.1. L Who first identified him? 21 А 100 Who first made the connection that Oswald had been 22 0 in contact with the Cuban Government in Mexico City? 23 I don't remember. A 24 25 Q Was it yourself?

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 59

·A Well, it might have been the 1 side and monitors they called it to my attention. 2 3 Pardon me? 0 The transcriber outside may have called it to my 4 А 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 attention. . Q When did he call it to your attention? 6 I said he may have. I don't remember. 7 Α 8 0 Let me show you CIA No. 4019, which is the recommenda-9 tion initiated by David Phillips recommending that you receive n. c. a medal for your high performance at the Agency. 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, Please read it. 11 (Pause) 12 don't remember if I was the first one who saw 13 I А 14 it in the transcript or not, even though Dave Phillips says 15 that here. 16 I haven't seen this before. They did give me a 17 medal, and it burned with all my household effects while I s.w. was here on a TDY. 18 300 7TH STREET, 19 Do you think if you had been the person who had 20 made the connection between Oswald and the Cubans, that that is an event which, in light of Oswald's subsequent 21 involvement in the assassination of the President, you would 22 23 have remembered? 24 Well, I think that with all of the things that A. happened at that time, just being the one who recognized 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

that that call came from inside the Cuban embassy, calling it
 to the attention of someone else would not have been that
 significant because of all the other things that we were doing
 at the same time.

5 Q Ms. Goodpasture, was there a photo surveillance6 operation against the Cuban consulate in 1963?

There was a photo surveillance capability against А 7 the Cubans. I am not sure if it was located in front of 8 the Consulate or in front of the embassy because I didn't 9 have responsibility for handling any of the Cuban photographs. 10 Do you know whether this surveillance operation was 0 11 able to obtain a photograph of Oswald during his stay in 12 Mexico City? 13

A I don't think it did.

Q Do you know why it was unable to?

16 A Well, now, that is part of the grey area. I heard, 17 but I don't recall seeing this in the record, that that 18 camera was down, not functioning at that time.

Q Let me show you now a series of documents from that record which the Committee is attempting to clarify. And hopefully by showing you these documents, your memory may be somewhat refreshed as to what happened. The first is a document dated 18 October 1963 which is a monthly report for the month of September 1963 dealing with these photographic surveillance operations, and I would like for

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 61

20024 (202) 554-2345

RUPORTURS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C.

S. H.

STREET,

11.1.7

100

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

	9	
	1	you to read at this time CIA Document 4111, starting with
	2	paragraph 5(c).
	3	You may want to look at the first page, but start
	· 4	reading down here.
- V3 37	5	(Pause)
554-2345	6	A How far do you want me to read?
2) 5!	7	Q Just to there.
4 (20	. 8	Does paragraph 5(c) refresh your memory at all as
20024 (202)	9	to the capability of the Soviet surveillance operation
D. C.	10	A No.
LON,	11	Q Rather, the Cuban surveillance operation?
.50110	12	A No. I really wasn't involved in this operation.
, WAS	13	This was one that was directed by what they call the Technical
DING	14	Services Staff and the station photographer. The only time
0011	15	I got involved in the Cuban photographs was when they had
REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	16	a photograph and they wanted to know if that person had gone
REPOR	17	to the Soviet embassy or if they had somebody they wanted
s. u.	18	me to watch for, my people in there.
	19	Q So you don't have any personal knowledge one way
JOA 7'EII STREET.	20	or another about whether the camera was in operation.
11,2	21	A No, nothing except what came to me second hand.
UC.	22	Q And that was what came to you second hand.
	23	A Yes, station comments in my presence or something.
R	24	Q What was the substance of those station comments?
	25	A Well, that the camera was not functioning. I want
	Alteratively for a file of an alteratively.	

the states deliver

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

1 knew that they were trying out a pulse camera, one that was 2 activated by motion, and they wanted to know about putting that in one of the Soviet bases, but it produced a voluminous 3 4 amount of film and we couldn't handle it because everybody 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 that went by triggered the camera. Thank you. 6 7 Turning to another area now, Ms. Goodpasture, did 8 the Mexico City Station maintain records and files on employees of the Cuban Embassy and Consulate? 9 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 10 Ά Yes. 11 How extensive were the Station's filed on Sylvia Ο 12 Duran, who was the secretary to the Cuban Consul with whom 13 Oswald had a contact when he applied for a visa? 14 A I don't think I looked at that file until I came 15 back to Washington, when I was here for the three months. It 16 seems to me that it was a couple of volumes. 17 Did the Mexico City Station maintain material in the Q S.W.2 18 file concerning Duran's sexual affairs or love life? 300 7TH STREET, 19 The Mexico Station policy on files was to put a 20 copy of every bit of information about an individual that came 21 to their attention in that file. 22 So that would include those types of matters. 0 23 That would include everything. 24 (Discussion off the record) 25 By Mr. Goldsmith: (Resuming)

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 63

Would the information that the Agency obtained 1 on Duran's sex life have been used to attempt to recruit her 2 in some capacity to work for the Agency or to assist the 3 Agency in some way? 4 20024 (202) 554-2345 You mean could it be or was it? 5 Α. Could. 6 0 Well, I think that that would be a question that A 7 only the case officer would be able to answer because he 8 9 would have a better idea of what her reaction would be. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D. C. Now, we kept everything of a personal nature about 10 an individual in an assessment, personality assessment so 11 that whoever wanted to attempt to recruit that individual 12 could take that information into consideration in making their 13 14 approach. So that information would be considered. 15 Q . Α Yes. 16 Did anyone at the Mexico City Station ever attempt 17 Ο S.W. 2 to recruit Sylvia Duran to work for the Agency? 18 STREET, That would have been No, I don't know for sure. 19 Α· something for the Cuban section to have handled and I 20 11.1.1 wouldn't have had specific knowledge of it. 21 JAA 22 0 Well, would it have been standard operating procedure to attempt to recruit someone who worked at the Cuban consulate? 23 24 А Of course. 25 (Pause)

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 64

1 Q Let me show you CIA document No. 4033, which was 2 a contact report, and I would like to ask you to read paragraph 3 No. 4.

(Pause)

A Okay.

4

5

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINCTON, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

S. W. 2

300 7TH STREET,

12

Q Now, according to this document, a CIA source is
providing information that Oswald may have had sexual affairs
or relations with Sylvia Duran.

9 Do you recall when the first time was that this 10 type of information concerning Oswald and Duran came to the 11 attention of the Mexico City Station?

A No.

My only connection with Sylvia Duran that I recall was the Mexican police picked her up after the assassination and interrogated her. The man who obtained the interrogation report gave them to me to carry back to the office. That was George Munro whom you mentioned earlier with Mr. White. He handled that outside project. He was the outside case officer.

20 Q Did he give you the interrogation report or did he 21 give you transcripts as well?

A I don't remember because I think that he only gave me the interrogation reports in Spanish, and I think Dave Phillips had someone do the translation.

25

22

23

24

He may have given me the initial report and then

13	· · ·		
		- 1	Dave Phillips saw him directly. I can't remember that.
		2 -	Q Were you given a transcript of the interrogation
		3	itself in addition to a report?
-		4	A Yes, I think there was a lengthy transcript.
	-2345	5	Q And George Munro was
•	554-2	6	A It had her photograph in it. I think they gave us
	(202)	7	a copy of the Mexican file on her which had a copy of the
	24 (2	8	arrest, her photographs and the interrogation report.
	20024	9	Q Did it also have a transcript of the interrogation?
	D. C.	10	A I'm not sure about that now.
	ston,	11	Q Was George Munro the person who was principally
	SILTING	12	involved in handling this matter with the Mexican authorities?
	3° 14	13	A Yes, I think he was.
	BUTLDING, VASHINGTON,	14	Q Do you know why the Mexico City Station requested
V		15	the Mexican government to arrest Sylvia Duran?
	DRTURS	16	A No, I don't know exactly why.
	REPO	17	Q Do you know why she was selected as opposed to
	5.0.	18	anyone else at the Consulate or Embassy?
• •	STRELT.	19	A No.
· · · · ·		20	Q Do you know whether Headquarters was notified
· · · ·	NLL VVE	21	prior to the Mexico City Station requesting that Duran be
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ē	. 22	arrested?
-		23	A I don't remember. Without checking the file I
•	R	24	couldn't say.
		25	Q When did Headquarters first learn of the actual

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

arrest? 1 2 А I don't know, but I think it was after she had 3 been arrested. Do you recall what the headquarters reaction was? 4 0 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 А I don't think they liked it, but I don't remember exactly what the comment was. 6 Do you have any reason to know why they didn't like 7 Q it? 8 9 Well, I think that they felt that the station was А n. c. 10 stirring up an international problem, something with the Cuban REPORTERS DUILDING, VASHINGTON, embassy, that there might be -- that the Cuban embassy might 11 12 lodge a protest. 13 Why did the -- why did headquarters request the 0 Mexican Government to keep the arrest absolutely secret? 14 15 А I don't know. Do you know why the Mexico City station did not 16 Q 17 contact headquarters prior to requesting the Mexicans to 5.W. 18 arrest Sylvia Duran? **7TH STREET**. 19 А No. 20 Frequently Mr. Scott took independent action without 21 getting headquarters' approval on some cases, and I believe 00 22 that he discussed this, but I am not sure. I'd have to 23 check the records, I think he discussed it with the Ambassador 24 before it occurred. The Ambassador Mann I think would 25 remember.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page (

Q Did any Americans have contact with Sylvia Duran during her arrest?

A I don't know.

Q Now, you have indicated that Mr. Munro gave you the interrogation reports from the interrogation of Sylvia Duran. How many interrogation reports did he actually give you?

8

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINCTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A I don't know. I don't remember.

But the -- I said he gave me the reports. I don't 9 remember that he gave me the reports, but the standard method 10 of transmitting things to the station would have been through 11 It also could have been through Mr. Scott. Mr. Scott me. 12 met him maybe once a week. I met him every day and I took 13 an envelope out for Mr. Scott and gave it to him which con-14 tained a list of requests from the station, and I received 15 an envelope from him which included all the material that he 16 wanted to go to Mr. Scott. I took that in and gave it to 17 Mr. Scott, and a cover sheet that listed the contents. Mr. 18 Scott read it all and then in most cases he did the routing, 19 and indicated on the cover sheet in his handwriting to whom 20 he had sent the enclosure. 21

23 24

22

25

5.11.

JAA 7TH STREET,

Q Did you ever read the interrogation reports?
 A I looked at those reports -- that file when I
 came up here in 1977. I don't think I had seen it before.
 Q Do you recall how many interrogation reports were

LDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

16

1

2

20024 (202) 554-2345

D. C.

11

12

13

RUPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,

S.W.

STREET,

7711

UUE

20

21

22

23

24

25

present in the file?

A No.

3 Q What was done with the reports in Mexico City after4 they were received?

5 A They were distributed to the case officer that was 6 interested in that particular area. For instance, if there 7 were two Soviet couriers that came in that were under 8 surveillance by the Mexicans, that report would go to Mr. 9 Manell. If there had been two Cubans, that report would have 10 gone to Dave Phillips' section.

Q In the case of Sylvia Duran's interrogation --

A That would have gone to --

Q What about her interrogation reports?

14 A It would have gone to the Cuban Section. That15 would have been Mr. Phillips' office.

16 Q When, if ever, would the interrogation reports 17 have been sent to Washington, to headquarters?

18 A Well, they should have been sent by the next19 pouch.

Q Did the Mexican Government give the Mexico City Station all the information that it had obtained from Sylvia Duran during her arrest?

A Well, that's a good question. You never know whether you get it all or not because you have no way of checking. O What --

In liaison operations, you can only assme А 1 they have given you what they want you to have, and I don't 2 think you have any way of proving whether you got it all or 3 not. 4 would like to show you at this time CIA No. 743 20024 (202) 554-2345 Ι Q 5 Can you identify that document? 6 Which one? А 7 The document that appears on this page. Q 8 Α This page? 9 BUILDING, PASHINGTON, D. C. (Pause) 10 Since you are having some difficulty, why don't we Q 11 start at the very beginning of this, and we are now at CIA 12 No. 628. 13 Can you identify the material contained here? 14 This document, I think, contains a series of А 15 REPORTERS extracts made by Mr. Rocca, I believe, of the CI Staff, from 16 documents which were in the Mexico Station file of Oswald. 17 S. W. 2 Did you have any involvement in the compiling of Ο 18 STREET, this document? 19 I saw this after it was already done, and I No. 20 71'H did make some notations on some information in here that 21 was not correct. 22 I see. O 23 What about the marginal notations on the left side 24 of each page? 25

DERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 70

	1	A No, I didn't have anything to do with that. I
	2	don't know about it.
	3	I assume that must refer to the number of the
	4	document, but I don't know.
345	5	Q Let's look at page 743 now.
554-2345	6	I would like you to address your attention to the
	7	marginal notation on the left. It says Oswald and Sylvia
20024 (202)	. 8	had an affair. Why didn't Mexican police give us all informa-
	9	tion?
	10	A I think what happened there, I think we received
TON.	11	the information from this source
SHTNC	12	Q That's LIRING-3?
¥1.	13	A LIRING-3, long after wereceived the information from
BUILDING, VASUINCTON,	14	the Mexican police, but I don't know.
	15	Q You received a report from him long after you
CPORTERS	16	A That's my guess. I don't know.
REPO	17	Q Is it possible that
5.11.	18	A I think that this probably came in later.
STREET,	19	Q Well, by this you are referring to LIRING's report.
I STIR	20	That came in in 1967?
11.1. OOE	21	A I think the LIRING-3 information was the only
Ű	22	informant this was the only informant who claimed that
	23	Sylvia Duran was linked sexually with Oswald. I don't
R	24	think the Mexicans reported that information to us.
•	25	Q The Mexicans never reported that at all.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

大学に分野の

I don't think so. А 1 Do you know whether this allegation was ever 2 0 confirmed? 3 I don't think it was. It seems to me that there А 4 0. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 was a rumor that LIRING-3 always connected his suspects 5 with somebody else sexually, and the information could never 6 be confirmed . 7 Do you have any reason to believe that the Mexican 0 8 authorities withheld information from you? .9 А No, but I have no reason to believe that they 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, always gave us every bit of information they had on a 11 subject because we didn't give them all the information we 12 had on a subject. 13 Did you have reason at any time to suspect that 14 Q Duran may have been a Mexican agent? 15 Pardon me? Α 16 Did you ever have reason to believe that Sylvia 17 0 S.W. Duran may have been an agent for the Mexicans working in the 18 300 7TH STREET, Cuban Consulate? 19 don't -- I never gave the matter any thought Δ I 20 because I wasn't responsible for the Cuban activities 21 section. 22 Do you know a man named Maurice Bishop? Q 23 А Who? 24 Maurice Bishop? 0 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 72

I don't think so. Α 1 2 Did he have a pseudonym? We are actually not sure if the name Maurice. 3 0 4 Bishop is a pseudonym or a true name. 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 Bishop. That name doesn't ring a bell with me. A Are you familiar with the allegation that was 6 0 made in 1964 and 1965 by a woman named Elena Garro de Paz 7 8 concerning her having seen Oswald at a party in Mexico City? 9 Who made it? Α REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. The woman's name was Elena Garro de Paz. 10 0 As I recall now, she -- a man by the name 11 Α. Yes. 12 of Charles Thomas, III, who was a Foreign Service officer in 13 the political section in Mexico, had a wife whose name was 14 Cynthia. The two of them knew some Mexicans who were 15 literary types and little theater types. I believe that 16 Thomas and his wife were interested in little theater groups 17 or art groups, and they had met Garro at some function. He S. W. 18 wrote a memorandum with information in it that she had given JAA 7TH STREET. I believe -- I think that the minister -- that went 19 him. 20 up to the ambassador's office, and the minister gave it to 21 Mr. Scott and asked Mr. Scott to confirm it. Mr. Scott asked 22 I think he asked me about it and I believe we asked the FBI 23 and the FBI came back that they were also working on it. Thev

25

24

Not very long after that another memo came from

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

had been asked to check it out.

Thomas of another conversation with this woman. 1 In that 2 memorandum, her story was a little bit bigger and a little 3 bit different, but I don't think -- and I believe that 4 the Mexicans arrested the woman and her mother-in-law, or 554-2345 5 they asked the Mexicans for police protection at the time 6 of the assassination, and out of that came still more memoran 20024 (202) 7 da. 8 Well, we will get into that in a moment. 0 9 Let me show you CIA No. 719, which is a document р. С. 10 that is among the compilation prepared by Mr. Rocca. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 11 А This one? 12 Yes, it is entry No. 426. Would you please 0 13 read that to yourself? 14 (Pause) 15 Just read this section. Ò 16 Α That's all you want? 17 Okay. S. W. 2 18 Q Now, Entry No. 426 indicates that sources LICHANT STREET, 19 and LIONION were going to be asked about Elena Garro's story. 20 What did these sources report when they were 11.1.2 21 asked about Elena Garro de Paz's allegations, LIONION and 300 22 LICHANT, when they were asked about Elena Gerro's allegations. 23 What did they report? 24 I don't know. Δ 25 In my part of this would have been that I would

ALBERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

·	22	
20024 (202) 554-2345	1	have gotten questions to give to Watson to ask Thomas.
	· 2	LIONION well, that cryptonym LIONION there is used in-
	3	correctly because cryptonym LIONION was the whole Cuban
	4	embassy. LICHANT-1 was a source in the Cuban embassy, and
	5	I think he was in touch with someone in the Cuban section.
	6	Q Now, you mentioned Watson.
	7	Was Watson in Mexico City at this time?
	8	A Yes, Watson was the man who was in touch with
	9	Thomas, and I think he was the one that Thomas unburdened
D. C.	10	to first, and then they got a memorandum out.
ton,	11	Q I see.
SITTNC	12	Now, LICHANT, the source, his name was Manuel
1. I'A	13	Calvillo.
ORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	14	A I didn't know him. He was he may have been in
100 1	15	touch with the Cubans or with Watson. I don't know who
RTUR	16	handled him as a case officer.
RLFO	17	(Discussion off the record.)
s.u.	18	By Mr. Goldsmith: (Resuming)
fer,	19	Ω Please read CIA No. 718, Entry No. 425, this
I STR	20	paragraph here.
JOO 7TH STREET.	21	(Pause)
UC.	22	A Yes.
The second	23	Q Now, Manuel Calvillo is LICHANT I, and in that
R	24	section that I just asked you to read, he is the person
	25	that escorted Elena Garro de Paz to the small hotel.
	t. vite dia gining a	

223

	-25	
	1	A Mm-hmm. But he wasn't in touch with my Mexicans.
	2	He was reporting independently to someone else in the station,
•	3	not through Liaison.
	4	Q Do you know who gave him his orders to
5 11 - 5 3 11 S	5	A NO.
	6	Q to take her to a hotel? Is it possible that it
	7	was someone at the station?
20024 (202)	8	A No, I don't think it came from us.
2002	9	Q This same individual, Manuel Calvillo, knew about
n. c.	10	Sylvia Duran's arrest before it had been made public.
	11	Do you know how he obtained that information?
.511,11	12	A No.
. HAS	13	Q Do you know why Calvillo, who is LICHANT-1,
DING	14	discouraged Elena Garro de Paz from contacting the American
KEPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	15	embassy?
1. K. I.	16	A No.
юдля	17	Ω Did you ever meet this man Calvillo?
s. u.	18	A No.
	19	Q You indicated earlier that
JOA 7TH STREET.	20	A I don't even know who he reported to in the
11.1. /	21	station.
Jor	22	Mr. Goldsmith: Off the record.
	23	(Pause)
R	24	The Witness: No, it would have probably been
	25	either Watson or one of the people in the Cuban embassy,
. ;		
•		

	•	•		Marine Mari
		1	but you can find out by getting his 201 file and finding	
		2	out to whom he reported, who wrote reports on him.	
		3	By Mr. Goldsmith: (Resuming)	
		4	Q Okay.	
	ShE	5	A He would have a 201 file and he would have an	
	554-2345	6	agent file, I think. To get the money to pay the man, they	
		7	had to submit an operational report on their contacts with	
	20024 (202)	8	him.	
	2002	9	Q Did you ever submit any questions to Watson	
	р. с.	10	to pass on to Mr. Thomas?	
	.NOT	. 11	A I am sure I did but I don't remember what they	
	SITTIIC	12	were. I probably took the memo that Watson had written	
	, WA!	13	and wrote up a list of questions that went something like,	
	LDTNG.	14	"Who else was there when Sylvia Duran when this occurred,	Ą
	ORTERS DULLDING, WASHINGTON,	15	where, at what time" and so forth, how did she communicate	
	RTURS	16	with him.	:
	REPO	17	Q Did this man Calvillo, LICHANT-1, ever submit any	•
	s.u.	18	written reports regarding Elena Garro de Paz?	· .
		19	A I don't know, but his file should indicate whether	
	street.	20	there were any.	
	1117. AAC	21	Q Is it possible that Calvillo was an unwitting	
	чс С	22	asset to the Agency?	
		23	A It's possible that Calvillo was a subagent of	
	N	24	George Munro and also at the same time being handled by	•
	· ·	25	someone in the station without our knowledge, I mean, a	
	•			
	•	1." Prior agy sport alter provide spin the match day		
		1		

subagent of George Munro without our knowledge. He may have 1 been on a surveillance team directed by one of the people 2 that George Munro was handling. At the same time he was 3 on that team, he may have been reporting to Bob Shaw, or 4 Mr. Watson, or Mr. Phillips. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINCTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 I see. 0 б Let me show you CIA No. 725, and I would like to 7 ask you to read Entry No. 466. 8 (Pause) 9 А Yes. 10 Does this entry appear to confirm that Elena Garro Q 11 de Paz did in fact stay at a small hotel in Mexico City 12 several days immediately after the assassination? 13 That's the notation made by Mr. Rocca, and this 14 memo was from the FBI office to Mr. Scott. 15 0 And the substance of the memo appears to confirm 16 that aspect of her story, is that correct? 17 s. u. . Unless there was another person by the same name Α 18 STREET. from San Luis Potosi at that hotel, which I doubt. 19 Well, so in substance, the memo tends to confirm 0 20 11.1 that aspect of the story, is that correct? 21 300 That would be my deduction, yes. Ά 22 Do you know whether Calvillo, LICHANT-1, was 0 23 questioned about this? 24 Ά NO. 25

LDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 78

.

	1	Q Even after this portion of his story was confirmed,
	2	he was not questioned about it? Or you don't know if he
	3	was questioned about it?
	· 4	A I don't know because I wouldn't have been the
-2345	5	person that would have been I should have seen part of
554-1	6	it, but it would have been up to Stan Watson probably
(202)	7	to read the material when it came in.
20024 (3	8	Q Do you know why it would have taken almost a year
. 200	9	to have confirmed this aspect of Elena Garro de Paz's story?
0°C	10	I might add that
WASHTHGTON.	11	A Well, you almost could never get an answer out
	12	of Charlie Thomas when you asked him sometning. He wrote
	13	these memos constantly. Then you would ask him for additional
BUTLDING.	14	information, it would be just like dropping a rock into
	15	the ocean. It never came back up. But I don't know.
PORTERS	16	Q So are you saying that because Mr. Thomas was
HIN	17	slow in responding to inquiries by the station, that that is
S. U.	18	why it took so long to confirm this aspect of his story?
RET.	19	A Well, he reported information that he had heard,
LLS II.	20	and then I think that he was not always able to go back to
JAA 7TH STREET.	21	the source and say, you said such and such a time this.
r 	22	Can you give me more information? He didn't want to be
N.	23	embarrassed by asking for additional information. He had
N.	24	no asset.

÷.,

a 12.0000 Å

Apparently the sequence of events was approximately

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 79

25

Q

	1	as follows: On December 10th Mr. Thomas made his report
	2	about Elena Garro. He was asked to get more specific informa-
	3	tion and between December 10th and approximately December
	4	25th this is 1965 he went back to Elena Garro, talked
345	5	to her, personally accompanied her to the hotel where she
5 H - 2 3H S	6	claims she had stayed, and reported that information back
	7	to the station, and yet it wasn't until October of '66, almost
20024 (202)	8	a year later, that the FBI confirmed this aspect of her
	9	story.
D. C.	10	Do you know why it took that long for the U.S.
L'PORTERS BULLDING, MASHINGTON,	11	Government in Mexico City to confirm that?
SITTING	12	A Unless Mr. Scott may have felt that it wasn't
s P	13	that important, but the answer to your question I don't know.
NI UTI	14	Q How seriously were Elena Garro de Paz's allegations
s Bul	15	taken in Mexico City?
DRTFR	16	A I don't think they were taken too seriously.
*	17	Q Why not?
. N. 2	18	A Because she and her husband was her ex-husband
۹ ۹ ۹ ۵ ۵ ۵ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹ ۹	19	was flighty, and they her general reputation was one
300 7TU STREET,	20	that she dealt a lot in fantasy.
1.2 00	21	Q Now, after one aspect of Elena Garro de Paz's
<u>м</u>	22	story was confirmed, the fact that she had stayed at the
	23	hotel, did the Agency at that point attempt to do any
R	24	follow-up investigation to confirm other aspects of the
· . · ·	25	story?

27

٠.

	•		
		1	A I don't know.
		2	Q In other words, it seems that although she may have
		3	been flighty, at least she appears to have been telling the
		· 4	truth about staying at the hotel immediately after the
	5 N C	5	assassination.
	554-2345	6	A Well, I don't think that the Agency had any assets
		7	that could be used to confirm the allegations about the
	20024 (202)	8	Sylvia Duran having sexual relations with him.
e	200	9	Q Well, what about Oswald having
	ບ ຄ	10	A You know, we used to work on it
		11	Q What about the central allegation which was
NUTED AND ADDRESS ADDRES		12	that Oswald had been at the party?
		13	A Pardon me?
C M C	5N 1 (71	1.4	Q What about the central allegation that Oswald had
		15	been at the party?
RPOATFRS		16	A Well, that was another case of where we too
N. P.O.		17	much time had gone by. We just could not we didn't
, n S		18	have any leads to work on, I think.
		9	Q This was just two years after the assassination
STR.	- - -	20	though.
300 7TH STREET	2	21	Was there a record kept of the Agency's efforts
ч С	. 2	2	to investigate this allegation?
	H. 2	3	A Pardon me?
i k		4	Q Was there a record kept of the Agency's efforts
· · · · ·	2	5	to investigate this allegation?
, ,		Ysondarti, athrów - Si angh, athrón chaidh at é a tha an air an a	

28

1.

	1	A There would have been a record kept of all the
	2	questions that had gone out. A copy of that should have
	3	been in the file, her Mexico station file.
	4	Q And also her 201 file?
3 H E	5	A The 201 file.
554-2345	6	Q So that would be her Mexico City file and her file
	7	A Well, not
20024 (202)	8	Q At headquarters.
	9	A Not all of the raw material would have been reported
D. C.	[`] 10	from Mexico to headquarters unless it had some results.
зтон,	11	But if we asked the Mexicans to help us identify her, a
SHTIR	12	copy of it would have been included in the periodic operation-
G, 11A	13	al report on the Mexican liaison operations.
ORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	14	Q The history of the Mexico City station which you
5 BUI	15	prepared contains a statement that Oswald made his contact
алтыс	16	with the embassies on September 26th and October 6th, 1963.
a av	17	In fact
S. U.	18	A October 6?
err .	19	Q Yes. In fact, the records suggest that he was
390 7TH STREET,	20	there and made his contacts from September 27th to October
11 11	21	lst.
· .	22	A That's what it should have been. That was
	23	probably a typographical error.
R	24	Q Did you review that report after it had been
	25	prepared?
	verpusium depus	

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 82

Not completely because I was in the process A 1 being transferred from one section to another and I was 2 held up waiting for that report to be typed, and it hadn't 3 been completely typed when I finally left, but it was my 4 understanding that the draft of that report was to be 554-2345 5 retained. I went over the draft a number of times. 6 20024 (202) Do you recall that Oswald made any contacts with 7 these embassies on October 6th or September 26th? 8 No, that would have been a mistake. I think the Α 9 n. c. dates were the 27th and 1st. 10 RUPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, The first of October. 11 And there would have been no material for me 12 to use for research other than the same material that has 13 been used here. It was the transcripts. 14 Is it possible that Oswald called back on October 0 15 6th to find out whether he had obtained a visa? 16 A. If he called back on that, we would have had it 17 S. W. on the transcripts? 18 STREET. Do you think --0 19 No matter what time of the day or hour, I think, А 20 11.1.6 because they were set up to activate when you call. 21 000 Do you have any memory of that happening? 0 22 No. A 23 Miss Goodpasture, I have no further questions of Q 24 you today. You have been very helpful. 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32263433 Page 83

Now, the Committee rules, in the case 1 session hearings, are such that a witness is given five minutes 2 to make a statement for the record. This is not an executive 3 session hearing today; this is a deposition, but if you 4 554-2345 would like to make a statement for the record for five minutes 5 or less, you are free to do so at this time. 6 20024 (202) The only thing I would like to say is to thank you Δ 7 for your patience. 8 Well, thank you very much. 0 9 ບ. ດ And I hope that there has been clarification, Α 10 REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, and if there is anything else I can do, I will be willing 11 to come back. 12 Mr. Goldsmith: Thank you. Thank you very 13 much. 14 (Whereupon, at 2:37 o'clock p.m., the deposition 15 in the above-entitled matter was concluded.) 16 17 S. U. S I have read the foregoing pages 18 JOO 7TH STREET, through , inclusive, 19 which contain a correct tran-20 script of the answers made by 21 me to the questions therein 22 recorded. 23 Signature is subject to 24 corrections. 25 (Deponent's signature)

5 DocId:32263433 Page 84

31

ALLERSON REPORTING COM

