This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

v09-16-96ccr

JFK RE ORDS

Cross Reference: None

Status in System:

AGENCY : HSCA

RECORD NUMBER : 180-10142-10045

RECORD SERIES : CIA SEGREGATED COLLECTION

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 22-20-02/2

Other Agency Equity: None

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : HSCA

FROM : CIA

TO:

TITLE :

DATE : 00/00/00

PAGES : 8

DOCUMENT TYPE : REPORT SUBJECT(S) : ; ; AMMUG; CIA, FILES;

CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED

RESTRICTIONS : 3

CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN PART

DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 08/07/95

COMMENTS : Box 11

Date in: 01/26/96

TTFFFFFF FFFFFFFF

CIA HAS TO COME TON TO DECLASSIFICATION AND THE DECLASSIFICATION AND THE DECLASSIFICATION AND THE THE LEASE OF THIS DOCUMENT THE LEASE OF THIS DOCUMENT

4400

AMEMUC/1

As cited with regard to Luisa Calderon, a defector from the Cuban Intelligence Services provided the CIA with significant information about Lee Harvey Oswald's contacts with the DGI in Mexico City. This defector was assigned the CIA cryptonym AMMUG-1 (A-1 hereinafter).*

on April 21, 1964 in . When he defected, A-1 possessed a number of DGI documents which were subsequently turned over to the CIA. N 68894, 24 April 64) Following his defection, a CIA officer, Joseph H. Langosch, went to the United States. (See supra cite.) On May 1, 1964, 22 reels of Languesch's debriefing of A-1 were forwarded to the Chief of the CIA's Special Affairs Staff from the

Effective on May 1, A-1 was under contract with the CIA for operational purposes. (Contract Approving Officer memo, 6 May (4) By June 23, 1964, Langosch was convinced that A-1 would be of great value to the Agency. He stated:

There is no question in my mind that AMMUG-1

^{*}It is now known that A-1 did provide significant leads to the CIA regarding Luisa Calderon. It is further apparent that little of this information was made available by the CIA to the Warren Commission. Therefore, the possibility exists that A-1 had provided other information to the CIA that was relevant to the Watten Commission's work but that which was not properly reported to the Commission.

is a bona fide defector or that he has furnished us with accurate and valuable information concerning Cuban intelligence operations, staffers, and agents. (Langosch memo to Director of Security, 23 June 1964)

As an officer of the DGI, A-1 from August of 1963 until his defection was assigned to the DGI's Illegal Section B IN 68894 24 April 64). This section was responsibile for training agents for assignment in Latin America. His specific responsibility pertained to handling of agent operations in El Salvador. (Personal Record Questionnaire 4 June 1964; Otta In 68894 24 April 64)

A-1 knew who were the Cuban Intelligence officers assigned to Mexico City. In this regard he intially identified Alfredo Mirabal, Manuel Viga and Rogelio Rodriguez and the Commercial attache as DGI officers posted at the Cuban Embacsy in Mexico City. (supra) Langosch described A-1's knowledge of DGI operations in Mexico as follows:

In Mexico City, he knows who the intelligence people are. One is the Cuban Consul Alfredo Mirabal. He is called the Chief of the Centre. That is his title but he is actually the intelligence chief, or at least he was until the 16th of April at which time a replacement was sent to Mexico to take over. This fellow's name is Manuel Vega. The source says that the Commercial attache whose name is Ricardo Tapia or Concepcion (he is not sure which is an intelligence officer) and another one is Rogelio. (I might say that some of these names are familiar to me.) (p. 5 or reel 1, 23 April 1964, debriefing of A-1, 30 April 64)

3446

Thus, A-1 was able to provide the CIA soon after his defection with accurate information regarding DGI operations and DGI employees in 4exico City.

The Committee has reviewed the CIA's files concerning A-1. This examination was undertaken to determine: 1) whether A-1 had provided any valuable investigative leads to the CIA pertaining to the assassination of President Kennedy; and 2) whether, if such leads were provided, these leads and/or other significant information was made available to the Warren Commission.

The Committee's initial review of the materials provided by the CIA to the Warren Commission did not disclose the existence of the AMMUG files. However, the during the first committee did review a file containing material passed to the Rockefeller Commission. That file and reference to AMMUG-1. This included a memorandum of May 5, 1964 written by Joseph Langosch which concerned information A-1 provided about the Oswald case. (Cite supra FOIA 68-290) Also contained within this file were the A-1 debriefing memorandum of May 7, and May 8, 1964 previously cited with regard to Luisa Calderon. (Cite) Following review of the memoranda, the Committee requested access to all CIA files concerning a referring to A-1.

From review of these materials the Committee has determined that the Warren Commission did learn during mid-May 1964 that Lee Harvey Oswald probably had come in

contact with DGI officers in Mexico City.

with DGI officers, James Angletor, Chief of the CIA's
Counter Intelligence Staff passec an internal memorandar
to Raymond Rocca, also of the Counterintelligence Staff.
Angleton wrote in the memorandum that he had been informed
by the DDP, Richard Helms, that J. Lee Rankin had contacted
John McCone to request that the Director consent to an
interview by the Warren Commission on May 14, 1964. (J.
Edgar Hoover also appeared before the Commission on that
date prior to McCone's appearance.) Angleton also wrote:

I discussed with Mr. Helms the nature of the recent information which you are processing which originated with the sensitive white with the sensitive white with the source. I informed him that in your view this would raise a number of new factors with the Commission, that it should not go to the Commission prior to the Director's appearance unless we have first had some preliminary reaction or made sure that the Director is fully aware of the implications since it could well serve as the basis for detailed questioning. The DDP stated that he would review this carefully and made (sic) a decision as to the question of timing. (Angleton memo, 12 May 1964, FOIA 689-298)

Undoubtedly the White Hous: source referred to in Angleton's memo A-1. The conclusion is based in part upon the date of this memo which is quite close in time to A-1's defection. In addition, on May 14, 1964, Director McCone did appear before the Warren Commission. At Angleton's request, Rocca's staff prepared a Brief

for Presentation to the Warren Commission outlining various positions adopted by the CIA vis a vis its investigative efforts and assistance to the Commission. (Brief, May 14, 1964, FOIA 695-302A)

At Tab E of McCone's brief it states:

Within the past week, significant information has been developed by the CIA regarding the relationship with Oswald of certain Cuban intelligence personnel in Mexico (ity and the reaction in Havana within the Culan Intelligence Service to the news of the assassination of President Kennedy. The Commission Staff is in the course of being briefed (n the Cuban aspect (cite supra May 14, 1964 FOIA 695-302A).

The significant information referred to therein is the information A-l provided.

On May 15, 1964, the day of Mc(1000) interview, the Warren Commission received its first formal communication regarding A-1. However, the Agency did not at that time identify A-1 by his real name or cryptonym nor did the Agency indicate that the source of this information was a defector then residing under secure conditions in the Washington, D.C. area. (See May 15 Letter from Helms to Rankin FOIA_). The May 15 communication did state that the Agency had established contact

"with a well-placed individual who has been in close and prolonged contact with ranking officers of the Cuban Director General de Intelligencia." (Cite)

Attached to the May 15 communication was a copy of Langosch's above referenced memorandum of May 5, 1964 re-

garding Ad1's knowledge of Oswald's probable contact with the DGI in Mexico City. (Cite above.) The attachment made no reference to the source's status as a defector from the DGI.

As set forth in the section of the report concerning Luisa Calderon, on June 18, 1964, loward Willens of the Warren Commission reviewed Langosch's May 5 memo and the questions upon which the information set forth in the memo was elicited. Neither the questions or the memo shown to Willens made reference to the source's status as a defector collaborating with the CIA. (Cite Arthur Dooley memo, 19 June 1964, FOIA 739-319).

Based upon review of the Langosch memoranda, the Committee has determined that significant information regarding Luisa Calderon was withheld from the Warren Commission (Cite Calderon section). This information was derived from the debriefings of A-1. (Cite May 7 and 8 Langosch memos.) From the Committee's review of the A-1 file provided by the CIA, the Committee has not found any credible evidence indicating that other information provided by A-1 to the CIA was relevant to the work of the Warren Commission. However, in its review the Committee has determined that specific documents referenced in the A-1 file in not present in that file.

missing item of considerable concern to the Committee.

TIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO TIA HAS NO OBJECTION ANDIOR DECLASSIFICATION AND COUMENT DECLASSIFICATION OF THIS DOCUMENT

> is a debriefing report of A-l entitled "The Oswald Case." -\$035, 23 March 1965) On March 23, 1965, a (Dispate) CIA dispatch records the transmittal of the report, along with eleven other A-1 debriefing reports. (Cite supra.) Next to the listing of the "Cswald Case" debriefing report is the handwritten ration "SI." A CIA employee who has worked extensively with the Agency files system be was the Symbolfor this notation as stand for the CIA component Special Intelligence. Other CIA representatives believed the notation to stand for the CIA component Soccial Intelligence. Other CIA representatives believed the notation was a reference to the Counterintelligence component CI/SIG. a CIA memorandum dated , it is stated Quote Barbara's memo.

The Agency has been unable to locate this document and therefore the Committee cannot pass judgement upon the substance of the missing materials.

The Committee has queried A-1's case officers regarding additional information that A-1 may have supplied regarding Oswald. Joseph Lanogsch when interviewed by the Committee stated that (HSCA staff interview Joseph Langosch, August 21, 1978), he did not have contact with the Warren Commission and does not know what information derived from A-1's debriefings was supplied to the Warren Commission. (Cite also Hidalgo and Piccolo.) He also stated that he does not

contact with the DGI other than the memoranda discussed herein (CiteCollege) interview.)

In a further effort to clarify the substance of informa-A-I tion that ANNUE provided to the CIA regarding Oswald, the Committee has attempted to locate ANNUE. The CIA has also attempted to locate ANNUE (give date of separation from CIA) but has been unable to determine his present whereabouts.

Thus, gaps do exist regarding information AMMUG may have supplied the CIA about Oswald. But On the basis of WITH WHI Agency, except for the Calderon episode. provided the Warren Commission with of investigative significance. # A broader question remains The Agency as noted earlier did not reveal to the Warren Commission that A-1 was present in the Washington, D.C. area and, under controlled conditions, accessible to the Sivindue consideration to Commission. considering the CIA's serious concern for protecting its sources, the fact that A-1's status was not disclosed foreclosed the Warren Commission from exercising a possible option, i.e. to take the sworn testimony of A-1 as it concerned Oswald and the Kennedy assassination. this issue, as the written record tends to show, the Agency unilaterally rejected the The CIA did not fatt, in A-1's case the enormous problems establishing bona fide as it did with Nosenko.

(Cite Langosch's quote supora), his proven reliability and his depth of knowledge of Cuban intelligence activities, the Control of the Warre was at the open thanks of the control of the