

NR_key_name: F4C0DDFF0F7150F7852561BD004F75CF
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Anne Buttimer/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/15/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 10:31:04 AM
ComposedDate: 05/15/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 10:27:55 AM
Subject: Re: Notary Public authority
What do you think of the feasibility of Phil's idea? It has the advantage of being much broader in scope for investigative functions than the mere DC notary commission. It would also solve the problem of what I do when I need to take a sworn statement in Texas or Florida. We should still have an administrative staff member as a DC notary for other functions, though.
To: Anne Buttimer/ARRB
bcc: Sheryl Walter/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRB, Tracy Shycoff/ARRB
From: Phil Golrick/ARRB
Date: 05/15/95 09:18:12 AM
Subject: Re: Notary Public authority
I am not aware of any such privilege afforded DC bar members, and I think I would have come across it if it existed. Is the power of the Review Board under Section 7(j)(1)(F) of our Act to "administer oaths" helpful in this regard (e.g., would it be possible and prudent for the Review Board to delegate this power to appropriate staff members)?
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: