

NR_key_name: 844E28AA9C0A0AED852561F00047C54C
SendTo: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 07/05/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 9:04:03 AM
ComposedDate: 07/05/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 9:03:56 AM
Subject: Re: FBI and the true name/negative contacts issue

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: David Marwell/ARRBDate: 07/05/95 08:59:03 AMSubject: Re: FBI and the true name/negative contacts issueThe only "agreement" that I am aware of that resulted from that meeting was (as I reported to you at the time), that the Board would delay the issue of negative contacts until it had a chance to review informant postponements that involved positive information. There was no time frame discussed. Is there a sufficient number of "positive contact" informant issues to line up for the August meeting? To: David Marwell/ARRBcc: Phil Golrick/ARRB From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB Date: 07/03/95 03:25:44 PMSubject: FBI and the true name/negative contacts issuePhil and I understand that you, the Board, Terry O'Connor, and Howard Shapiro reached some kind of (perhaps interim) understanding regarding the Bureau's providing evidence for true names/negative contacts. This understanding is not recorded anywhere as far as I know. Phil learned about it from Terry and Carol -- and I learned about it from Phil. Could you please let us know exactly what the understanding is, how long it is intended to last, and how (if at all) it should affect the selection of FBI documents for review at the August 2-3 Board meeting?

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: