

**NR\_key\_name:** 1662B73D14CC6CA9852561FD004E9CD1  
**SendTo:** CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Bob Skwirot/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 07/18/1995  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 10:35:40 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 07/18/1995  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 10:18:40 AM  
**Subject:** Numbering of Oswald 201 files.

Beginning near the end of the second folder of file 7, the record numbers in the data base for box 1 of Oswald's 201 file do not correspond to those on the record identification forms attached to our review sheets in front of the documents. For example, record number 104-10016-10000 on the ID form is now 104-10016-10001 on the data base. This change in numbering continues through files 8 and 10. (File 9 is empty.) The variation may be traced to an empty "out card" file which was placed in the file and apparently given a number, upsetting the sequence which follows. The descriptive information and the agency file numbers in the data base do match both the documents and the information on the old record identification forms. Thus, it appears that the new number could work if we printed out new record identification forms from the data base. In addition, it might be necessary to verify that the released in full documents- which are not currently on our "Review Track" data base- are also properly identified in this sequence.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**