NR_key_name: 8AFFFDAD03B8D0ED85256221003CFFDB SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:08/23/1995DisplayDate_Time:7:06:24 AMComposedDate:08/23/1995ComposedDate_Time:7:06:17 AM

Subject: Re: Errors in CIA Announcement

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Mary McAuliffe/ARRBDate: 08/21/95 09:32:58 AMSubject: Re: Errors in CIA AnnouncementWe are sorry about any errors. On our listing provided to David (a correction os Chet's listing), we correctly listed #104-10018-10103 as "Postponed in Part." I don't know what happened after we gave it to David.#104-10062-10001 was listed on our table as having 19 original postponements and 19 releases, and therefore (correctly) as "Open in Full." Chet put our "Original Postponements" number in the final "Postponements" list, and we didn't catch it. We of course have only one more time of tracking this entire process by hand, but it still would be helpful if next time our taskings and requirements could be levied and corrdinated in a more orderly fashion (we received several, including CIA's, this last go-round). Perhaps if we all worked from a single set of records the process would work better. Since it appears that it is Chet's listing that goes forward, perhaps we need to use his format, adapting our information to it rather than giving him our information to plug in. It would also be better if we were given at least 24 hours to put together a final listing. The draft that Chet wanted the evening immediately following the Board meeting turned out to have several mistakes that haunted us throughout the process. These are all possible corrections—in addition to the suggestions we have already discussed to provide more complete records of the decisions made at the next

Body: Board meeting.

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: