NR_key_name: SendTo:	904A33B526BF55CF85256222004C0E15 CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	08/24/1995
DisplayDate_Time:	9:51:47 AM
ComposedDate:	08/24/1995
ComposedDate_Time:	9:50:43 AM
Subject:	Re: Tasking to Marine Corps
	Dennis and I feel quite strongly that our methodology should remain consistent. If SECNAV issues a notice, the
	Marines will jump, whether they like it or not.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: Dennis Quinn/ARRB, Douglas
	Horne/ARRBFrom: Christopher Barger/ARRBDate: 08/24/95 09:25:06 AMSubject: Re: Tasking to Marine CorpsI
	have a different take on this. While I agree that the Marines would technically fall under anything issued by
	the Navy, according to the letter of the law, we should remember that they HATE to admit it. For precisely that
	reason, as we are attempting to build good will and a good working relationship, I think we'd be better off
	acknowledging them as a seperate entity and ask the Commandant to issue a directive. It's the diplomatically
	correct thing to do.To: Douglas Horne/ARRB, Dennis Quinn/ARRB, Christopher Barger/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy
	Gunn/ARRB Date: 08/24/95 08:14:18 AMSubject: Tasking to Marine CorpsShould we ask the Commandant to
Body:	issue a directive, or is the Navy sufficient?
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	В
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	