

NR_key_name: EAF59F82649BB1852562340055BB74
SendTo: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecard/O=ARRB
From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/11/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 11:36:33 AM
ComposedDate: 09/11/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 11:36:25 AM
Subject: PRAM (re: Oswald's DD1173 I.D.)

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: Dennis Quinn/ARRB, Christopher Barger/ARRB From: Douglas Horne/ARRB Date: 08/16/95 03:48:25 PMSubject: PRAM (re: Oswald's DD1173 I.D.)USMC Headquarters (at the Navy Annex to the Pentagon) today faxed to me the ENTIRE SECTION of the version of the PRAM effective on 11 Sep 1959 on issuance of I.D. cards.(Background: Oswald's service record said the DD 1173 was issued IAW the PRAM, only didn't specifically refer to it as a "DD 1173", but instead only by the card's unique serial number.)There were only 3 types of I.D. cards authorized for issuance, and the DD 1173 was not one of them. I have confirmed the claims of the La Fontaines and of Paul Hoch that Oswald should have received a pink reserve I.D. card called the DD Form 2MC (RES), in accordance with the PRAM.The guidance in the PRAM is clear and unambiguous.Since I have reviewed the entire section of the PRAM on I.D. cards and the DD 1173 is not mentioned at all, I believe it is time to write a letter to DOD requesting a copy of the contemporary written guidance on issuance of the DD 1173 applicable to all DOD personnel, as well as specifically as applied by the USMC, effective 11 Sep 1959. I will discuss this further at our Military Matters meeting on Friday morning.I can provide more details on PRAM requirements, and also show what a sample reserve I.D. card looks like, upon request (my point being that it is not possible to make an inadvertent error between the DD 1173 and DD Form 2MC(RES), which are totally different in appearance).I am looking forward to the opportunity to have the staff ask questions of former 1st Lt Ayers of Oswald's separation unit. At this point his recollections appear as though they will be invaluable (providing he does recollect anything of this incident) in trying to figure out why this card was issued to someone who should have received a totally different type (and color). We are now "marking time" on this issue until or unless we can review DOD guidance on card issuance, or discuss this matter with the issuing officer, Mr. Ayers. END OF UPDATE.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: