

NR_key_name: A85D5BC1C31E165E8525623C00474961
SendTo: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 09/19/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 9:15:33 AM
ComposedDate: 09/19/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 8:58:38 AM
Subject: Cross-referencing FBI RIFs

This morning I did a spot-check of several randomly selected RIFs for "trunk" documents that showed "branch" duplicates in the cross-reference field, where the RIFs for the "branch" duplicates in our FBI databases did not show a "see" reference to the duplicate "trunk" document in the subject field. (Instead, the subject field on the "branch" RIFs is blank.) In each case, the cross-referenced "branch" document WAS a duplicate of the corresponding "trunk" document. However, I am still very concerned about the blank subject fields in these "branch" RIFs. As I understand it, these RIFs must have contained "see" references in the subject field when we got them from the FBI -- otherwise, they would not have been picked up when we created the cross-reference field in the duplicate "trunk" documents. It would still be useful to have the "see" references on the "branches." Additionally, if "see" references have been stripped from the subject fields of numerous RIFs, I fear that other types of "subjects" may have been stripped from subject fields on FBI RIFs, which would, at least, render suspect the results of subject-matter searches in the FBI document databases. Please give this problem prompt attention.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: