

**NR\_key\_name:** 221E3538701C2A618525626900706664  
**SendTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 11/03/1995  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 3:40:05 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 11/03/1995  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 3:27:42 PM  
**Subject:** More on Congressional recipients of notices

To save you the time of having to listen to me try to articulate it:It seems to me that the House Oversight Committee (Mr. Thomas and Mr. Fazio) -- as the "owners" of the HSCA collection -- would be an "originating body" as referenced in Section 9(c)(4)(A) of the Act. If this is so, Thomas and Fazio should get an actual notice, not just a courtesy copy.The Government Oversight and Reform Committee (House) and the Governmental Affairs Committee (Senate) are the Legislative Branch equivalents to the President, so they should get "contemporaneous notice" as called for in Section 9(c)(4)(B).Lastly: If Thomas and Fazio get their own notices, their cite in the letter's text should be "Section 9(c)(4)(A), and the cite for our two Congressional oversight Committees should be "Section 9(c)(4)(B)."At your convenience, please signal your concurrence to Chet, who has to finalize the texts. Many thanks, and sorry for the interruption earlier.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**