

NR_key_name: E18A60D78AAEE9258525629E0052CCF8
SendTo: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 12/26/1995
DisplayDate_Time: 10:13:30 AM
ComposedDate: 12/26/1995
ComposedDate_Time: 10:04:24 AM
Subject: Some problems

The new addition you've made to the review format, page 2, providing either a release or a review date: if the reviewer hits the "release" rather than the "review" button, it still shows up as a "Future review date" on the review summary sheet. Which means that when I'm checking my team's work, I have to go in and check each one of these to make sure they're correct--I can't tell from the review summary sheet. As I understand it, we're going to be moving toward "release" in most instances of modification or postponement, but we've still got those names to deal with in January and March, when they'll be reviewed--not necessarily released. Another problem: I had understood, perhaps incorrectly, that we were henceforth to make our technical recommendations to the Board (such as "protect digraph, release rest") in the new Agency Redaction Detail field, where this will be picked up in the printout we give CIA following each Board meeting. The Reviewers Comments field will be reserved for comments for the Board only, and will not be picked up in the CIA printout. The big problem here is that the Agency Redaction Detail does not print out on the Review Summary sheet, so it does no good until it presumably shows up in the CIA printout. The Board won't even see it (nor will I, unless I go into each redaction). Help!!

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: