

NR_key_name: 0925454D4AF3420E852562B4007CF44C
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 01/17/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 5:45:04 PM
ComposedDate: 01/17/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 5:44:50 PM
Subject: Re: Call From Dave Snyder, Times Picayune
To: Tom Samoluk/ARRBcc: David Marwell/ARRBFrom: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBDate: 01/15/96 09:55:56
AMSubject: Re: Call From Dave Snyder, Times PicayuneI think we need to be very careful in how we make reference to the subpoena. It is not that we did not honor the subpoena because of the JFK Act, but, in the first instance, no subpoena was ever properly served. We must not say that we did not honor the subpoena.To: David Marwell/ARRBcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB From: Tom Samoluk/ARRB Date: 01/11/96 04:31:06
PMSubject: Call From Dave Snyder, Times PicayuneSnyder called me today after the contempt hearing for Angelico and Raymond. I'll do full call sheet, but bottom line is they did arguments, but did not complete them and the matter was continued until February 6, 1996. He asked me our position on the grand jury records and the records which Connick still has. With regard to the grand jury records, I said that we had obligations under the JFK Act to make them part of the JFK Collection and that is why we did not honor the Connick subpoena. I stated that we had not made them part of the Collection yet and want to first clarify their legal status. With regard to the records which Connick still has, I said that we still wanted those records which the D.A. had publicly promised he would give the Board, but I refused to speculate on what action the Board might now take. On all Connick matters, I said that our goal is to meet our obligations under the JFK Act, resolve these matters amicably and without protracted litigation.
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: