

**NR\_key\_name:** 81C9C69CCB0CFA5F852562B5007529E4  
**SendTo:** Valerie  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 01/18/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 4:19:54 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 01/18/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 4:19:44 PM  
**Subject:** RIF in the review track

**Body:** sameTo: Chet Rhodes/ARRBcc: From: Christopher Barger/ARRB Date: 01/17/96 02:36:51 PMSubject: RIF in the review trackChet, document 104-10021-10027 is classed a RIF by the CIA, there are no redactions in it, and it should be tranferred to the CIA Open database. Thank you for your consideration in this matter. Have a nice day. To: Chet Rhodes/ARRBcc: From: Christopher Barger/ARRB Date: 01/17/96 02:45:54 PMSubject: another onedocument 104-10021-10029 is also a RIF. TheCIA says that the version currently at NARA is a RIF but the previous one was sanitized, hence the confusion. Please put this one in the Open docs database. To: Chet Rhodes/ARRBcc: From: Christopher Barger/ARRB Date: 01/17/96 02:47:26 PMSubject: another onedocument 104-10021-10029 is also a RIF. TheCIA says that the version currently at NARA is a RIF and that the sanitization is in the original. Please put this one in the Open docs database.

**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**