

**NR\_key\_name:** 8D6F68B39DC58BC3852562C3005B048A  
**SendTo:** CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Michelle Seguin/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Eric Scheinkopf/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Bob Skwirot/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Manuel Legaspi/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R econd/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 02/01/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 12:30:13 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 02/01/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 11:34:09 AM  
**Subject:** Proposed agenda and goal list

After the staff meeting and developments of this past Board meeting, I thought it might be useful to set a list of goals and an agenda for achieving these goals. This is merely an idea and I would request that any suggestions/criticism/input be given freely.1. Oswald boxes we've already seen. I think on boxes 7-9, there is every reason to believe that we can have them re-reviewed according to the new guideline matrix and ready for Board review by the February 29 meeting. We've seen them enough times and are familiar enough with the issues to go through them in short order.For boxes 4-6, we should, I believe, have request for evidence forms submitted by the end of next week (by February 9), giving the Agency 4-5 weeks to provide their evidence. I propose that we give them a return date of March 11, so that we can evaluate/alter our reviews in time for the March 19 Board meeting. With the new process in place, all three boxes could be voted on by the Board at that time.2. Oswald boxes we've yet to see. I believe that we should request of Barry that Boxes 10-17 be delivered to us, preferably with blue line copies, by Friday, March 15. After the Board meeting of March 19-20 is completed, we should immediately set about getting those boxes reviewed. In a best case scenario, we could have the initial review of boxes 10-12 done by the end of March or the first week of April, and request for evidence forms sent out to CIA. The goal would be to have the BOard review those boxes at its May meeting. By the end of April, we should have the initial review of boxes 13-15 completed and evidence request forms sent out, with the plan being to have them ready for Board review for the June meeting. In May, we should do the second review of Boxes 10-12, and the initial review of Boxes 16-17. Evidence request forms for the last two last boxes should be sent out by late May, with the intent of having them Board ready for the July Board meeting.I realize that this is an ambitious schedule, but a) I think we are capable of meeting such ambition; and b) we've all known this was coming and that things would really be picking up, so this is not unexpected. Under this proposed schedule, the Oswald 201 would be completed by the end of July, two months prior to when David and the Board have indicated they wished it to be. Witht he extra two months, we could begin to research the JFK files at CIA, or continue outside research (i.e., the Cuba project and others.) What do you all think? Let's discuss this in the next day or two.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**