97DDE3AA209A2A41852562CE005AB73C NR key name: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB SendTo:

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

CN=R ecord/O=ARRB BlindCopyTo:

CN=Dave Montague/O=ARRB From:

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 02/12/1996 DisplayDate_Time: 11:32:38 AM 02/12/1996 ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time: 11:30:51 AM

Subject: **Assassination Articles**

DU YOU HAPPEH LU HAVE LIIIS ALLICIE AS WEIL:::...DAVEIVISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITT ISSUE # SUDUCUIHEIL S AULHOL. Dave Montague/ARRB Date Created: 02/09/96Description of Activity Activity Date: 02/09/96Subject: Assassination ArticlesDescription of the Activity: September 8, 1995SECTION: Vol. LXXXXVIIII; No. 31044; Pg. 1LENGTH: 1340 wordsHEADLINE: Constitutional Question Hovers Over JFK Assassination ReviewBYLINE: Lagnado, Lucette BODY:Constitutional Question Hovers Over JFK Assassination Review. WASHINGTON --Nearly 32 years after President Kennedy was slain by anassassin at Dallas, a little-noticed constitutional confrontation is takingshape between the federal government and the only authority to have put asuspect on trial for complicity in the murder, the state of Louisiana. At loggerheads are the federal commission Congress established two years agoto review the Kennedy assassination and the district attorney of the parrishof New Orleans, Harry Connick, who is invoking the sovereignty of the PelicanState to flout investigators and deny them documents they say belong to thehistorical record. The federal commission, which has been assigned the task ofdeclassifying records related to the assassination, has been battling Mr.Connick over grand jury transcripts and files pertaining to the investigation conducted by his predecessor, Jim Garrison. Garrison is the only prosecutor tohave brought someone to trial for a role in Kennedy's murder. The man heaccused, Clay Shaw, was acquitted, but 30 years after Kennedy was killed, amovie by Oliver Stone revived Garrison's conspiracy theories of Americangovernment complicity in the killing. It was during the ensuing uproar thatCongress created the Assassination Records Review Board to vet the record andrelease as many documents as possible to the public. In their dispute with Mr.Connick, the board's members are taking the position that they are empoweredunder federal law to retrieve any and all papers related to the Kennedy assassination and that their work "shall take precedence over any other law, judicial decision construing such law, or common law doctrine." That language is from a letter the U.S. Justice Department sent to Mr.Connick after the Louisiana prosecutor had a subpoena issued to the federal commission commanding it to return grand jury records Mr. Connick says belong The Ethnic NewsWatch, September 8, 1995to his parrish. Mr. Connick is arguing that his first loyalty is to the law of the state of Louisiana and that, while he wishes the commission well, hebelieves that it is wrong and that he is under no legal obligation to obey thepanel. Noble Task In colorful language befitting his reputation as the Crescent City tsar, Mr.Connick told the Forward that he "resents" having to bow to pressure from aWashington panel, even one with as noble a task as untangling the mystery of whokilled President Kennedy. "Washington can't pass a rule that tells me how to runmy office -who the hell do they think they are?" Mr. Connick told the Forward. "They can't usurp the prerogatives of

Record recstat:

DeliveryPriority: Ν DeliveryReport: В

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: