

NR_key_name: 488E927AECF8082E852562D10071ED03

SendTo: CN=Tim Wray/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 02/15/1996

DisplayDate_Time: 5:18:44 PM

ComposedDate: 02/15/1996

ComposedDate_Time: 3:44:22 PM

Subject: Questions for Boswell Deposition
I strongly recommend we ensure that the following questions get asked during the Boswell deposition. a. In MD 26 at pg. 8, Andrew Purdy wrote: "Dr. Boswell said...the slides were available about noon on the 23rd. He indicated that a microscopic report was dictated at that time." Please explain what this means. Who dictated the report? Was a written report produced, or was only audio dictation taken onto a tape recorder? Do you know what aids Dr. Humes used to write the summaries of tissue/organ condition in his Supplemental Autopsy Report? b. Was the large, bright 6.5 mm radiopaque object seen near the orbit of the right eye in the A-P X-Ray seen by you on that X-Ray on the night of the autopsy? c. Was the fragment trail visible laterally in the top of the skull in the right lateral X-Ray seen by you in that X-Ray on the night of the autopsy? Was any other fragment trail in a different location seen by you on that X-Ray on the night of the autopsy? (Have the witness read Humes' verbal location for the fragment trail in the Autopsy protocol in MD 3 at page 4, paragraph 6. Did you see a fragment trail on this X-Ray at autopsy at Dr. Humes' described location?) d. Did Dr. Humes or you use a metal probe to probe the back wound at autopsy? (Boswell says a probe was used in MD 26, at page 6, paragraph 2.) Follow on: was the body photographed or X-Rayed with the probe inserted in the upper right posterior thorax wound? e. Regarding the Military Review Report signed on January 26, 1967 (MD 14): What were the circumstances under which you met with the other doctors to do this Military Review? (Finck says in MD 31 in "Privileged Communication" that he, Boswell, Finck, and Eardley met on 20 Jan 67 to look at the materials and "we...prepared a statement...;" he then says they met again on 26 Jan 67..."The statement had been prepared by the Justice Department. We signed the statement. I objected to the fact that equivalents of our measurements in mm were given to the nearest 1/1000th of an inch...") Who drafted it? (Dr. Humes said on Feb 13, 1996 that he didn't know who drafted the report, and that it wasn't his grammar.) Were there working notes or tape recordings made on January 20th at the working meeting? What happened to them? f. MD 12, photo 17 and MD 13, photo 17 are not identical wordings. MD 12, item 17 reads "Wound over entrance" and MD 13 reads "Wound of entrance"; since in MD 26, at pg. 6, Boswell is reported having said that the bone was "completely gone above the entry wound," and he clarified to Gary Aguilar that the entrance wound was immediately below the large defect,, actually on the edge of it and reconstructed after a fragment was brought in, the question is: Is the wording "Wound over entrance" in MD 12 meant to describe the fact that the large exit defect was essentially adjacent to/right above/ contiguous with the entry wound? (If so, this could help orient photos 17, 18, 44 and 45.) g. Where in photo 17/18/44/45 is the entry wound described in MD 13, item #17? h. Do you see evidence of exit in this photo (17/18/44/45)? (This photo is Record

Body:

recstat:

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: