NR_key_name: 21788FD148FB0378852562DC004C8B60
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Dennis Quinn/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 02/26/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 9:04:22 AM
ComposedDate: 02/26/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 8:56:04 AM
Subject: File originals

How do you want us to handle "original" documents that themselves are sanitized copies? We're beginning to run into this sort of thing, and Barry assures me that much more is to come. HRG refers to these as "file originals" and treats them as open-in-full where no further information is redacted. HRG has been doing this since 1993 and sees no good alternative, short of destroying the documents (which Barry says has been suggested more than once). I emphasized that under no circumstances should these be destroyed, and that we'd like to see original copies wherever possible. He agreed to search for one example I gave him, but says that so many more are coming that this procedure may prove unworkable. What to do? My only suggestion is to accompany each such document on the review sheet and final determination form with a standard notation explaining the problem. Why were these documents redacted in the first place, at such an early date (spring

Body: 1964)? My guess is that they were sanitized before being sent to the Warren Commission.

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N **DeliveryReport:** B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: