

NR_key_name: A47CB5BBCC399C64852562EB008132A5
SendTo: CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/13/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 8:28:36 AM
ComposedDate: 03/12/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 6:31:11 PM
Subject: Record 180-10110-10144 forwarded to Mary for review
Jeremy: this is the DOS-HSCA Security Classified Files document in which DOS has requested 37 postponements (names of employees in the clearance section of the passport office). As Mary has responsibility for DOS, I have forwarded my review of this document to her rather than my officially assigned Review Track Supervisor (Tim). Mary: because the Board is no longer getting staff presentations on these documents, I put more information in the "Reviewer's Comments" field than I have in the past (more than I suspect is customary for CIA documents). In the very first redaction, I insert general comments that are applicable to all the rest -- that's why that one is so long. I think I touch in these general comments on all the points made in your notes of your conversation with David on this topic: re, things the Board should be aware of. In my review of subsequent requested postponements, I only cite the general issues and then detail postponement-specific comments. From our previous conversation, I know we probably differ on what staff recommendations should be for these requested postponements. As the initial reviewer, I made the recommendations I thought appropriate; as my supervisor for purposes of the review of this document, you are clearly within your rights to reverse them as you see fit. Should you do so, I may seek an opportunity to express the reasons for my initial recommendations to Jeremy and/or David. I would, of course, apprise you before-hand were I to do so.
Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: