NR\_key\_name: 1876A5093DA90C9E852562FA005EBE43
SendTo: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB

COPYTO: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Noelle Gray/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:03/27/1996DisplayDate\_Time:12:40:00 PMComposedDate:03/27/1996ComposedDate\_Time:12:14:51 PM

**Subject:** Making Review Track More Efficient

All of the following relates to the conversation you and I had earlier today. What are the differences between the views Notation Voting\Marked for Discussion and Notation Voting\For Discussion II? I ask this because these views do not seem to be the same and it seems to have created some confusion in which documents were discussed at the meeting and which documents should have been discussed at the meeting, but were left off the discussion agenda. If at all possible I would like to sit down with you tomorrow and go over (and possibly more clearly name some of the newer views) and explain to me what I should be seeing in these new views, and clean up the views. Ideally, I would like to have the views working as they did before, where I knew exactly what order (so to speak) I used the views from the beginning of the process to the end of the process. Currently there are so many views that I am afraid I am missing something. I do not want the Fed Reg to go out with any errors in which documents should be noticed. I have also found that it would be much easier if some of the views had the feature that deletes the document from the view after you do something to the document (example: vote the document or assign it to a meeting.) I can more clearly explain which views this would be helpful in after we discuss which views I should be using at each point in the process. I thank you for

**Body:** you patience in reading this rather lengthy e-mail and look forward to working with you tomorrow.

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: