NR_key_name: FAB83B3B7AA02F95852563240076D734
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:05/08/1996DisplayDate_Time:5:53:51 PMComposedDate:05/08/1996ComposedDate_Time:5:38:03 PM

Subject: Draft letter to CIA, re: Garrison-era HSCA referrals

I've located 39 CIA-referred records that fall, broadly construed, into the category of records you, Mary, Phil and I talked about. Here is the draft letter to CIA. The FBI letter will follow. I suggest we send copies of the documents, rather than just the RIFs, for two reasons: 1) in my limited experience, the respective agencies will call up and ask for the copies subsequent to receiving our letter anyway, so we just lose time in not sending the copies with the original letter and, 2) once these documents are cleared (either by consent or after Board action), I have to make copies to send out to NARA II anyway, so why not make them now and send the agencies a set?. I have inferred, perhaps incorrectly, that your reasons for not sending copies are: 1) to save us work (for which you have my thanks but, in the long run, I have to do the work regardless), 2) by not sending copies we underline our conviction that there are no genuine agency equities in the documents and, 3) the agencies might be so lazy as to not bother to come here to look at the documents and, therefore, the Board can release them on the appointed day by default and, 4) theoretically, NARA should have shipped all these documents out to the respective agencies heretofore. If these are all the reasons there are, I think the logic of the previous paragraph is controlling. Without further ado, the draft:

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: