

**NR\_key\_name:** 05B0198AF2812F1D8525633100497869  
**SendTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Mary McAuliffe/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 05/21/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 9:28:22 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 05/21/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 9:22:30 AM  
**Subject:** Federal Register language  
What do you think of the following as language for the Federal Register regarding 104-10019-10022, the technical error document we "red filed" at the last meeting? Due to a technical error, changes have been made in 104-10019-10022, as released by the Board on April 17, 1996, to bring it into conformity with an identical document, 104-10018-10040, as released by the Board on August 3, 1995. Also, we are going to need Federal Register language for the IG report. Something to the effect, I imagine, that the Board will be reconsidering any remaining redactions in this document at its \_\_\_\_\_ meeting.  
**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**