

NR_key_name: 98EE0837BF33EA198525635C0045F2C5
SendTo: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 07/03/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 8:44:58 AM
ComposedDate: 07/03/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 8:44:01 AM
Subject: Re: FBI Appeal

Phil:A note from Kermit...To: David_Marwell @ jfk-arrb.gov (David Marwell) @ internetcc: (bcc: David Marwell/ARRB)From: kermith @ HUMANITIES1.COHUMS.OHIO-STATE.EDU @ internet @ WORLDCOM Date: 07/03/96 07:59:05 AM CDTSubject: Re: FBI Appeal David, thanks for the update. Best to Phil for a good job. I will see you next week; my wife will not be coming. Best, Kermit_____ Reply Separator _____Subject: FBI AppealAuthor: David Marwell
<David_Marwell@jfk-arrb.gov> at SMTPLINK-HumanitiesDate: 7/2/96 9:27 PMPhil and I (Jeremy was out of town conducting the Groden deposition) met with representatives of the White House Counsel , the NSC, and the FBI (including Howard Shapiro) to discuss the current appeals filed with the White House by the FBI. Not surprisingly, Steve Neuwirth (White House Counsel's office) made it clear that it would be in everyone's interest if the Board and the bureau could find some way to reach common ground on the disputed issues. He did not receive much encouragement from the Bureau or from me. We all did agree to attempt to clarify what has been a murky State Department position which might result in removing some of the documents from the appeal. During the hour or so that we were there, the FBI was on the receiving end of the most pointed and persistent questions. We had a few, but it was my impression that we made the best case. You won't be surprised to learn that Phil was extremely impressive as an articulate and effective advocate of the Board's position. I will brief you in detail when we meet next week.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: