

**NR\_key\_name:** 115BD7BCF998159E8525636400446557  
**SendTo:** CN=Brian Rosen/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Rob Arreola/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 07/11/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 8:31:37 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 07/11/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 8:27:04 AM  
**Subject:** Idea  
Could you check out Sutherland to see if there is some kind of statement regarding the importance (or non-importance) of a provision in a statute regarding "Findings, declarations, and purposes." What value should such provisions be given with respect to statutory construction?Similarly, note that Section 2 of the JFK Act has a part (a) findings and declarations, and a (b) purposes. Note well that the provision on "government records" is in (a), not (b). Doesn't that help answer the DOJ argument?!

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**