

**NR\_key\_name:** 23018CD166BA44DB8525639B004E2FFF  
**SendTo:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 09/04/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 10:28:06 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 09/04/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 10:14:01 AM  
**Subject:** John Bevilaqua called ARRB Twice

Eileen--this documents all I have done, which was to call him after receiving permission from Jeremy. I would expect him to be mailing in this manuscript of his (to either Tom or David) soon--Tom can then forward it to Jeremy for review. Still, even though I called him, I do believe a letter to him, encouraging him to forward his manuscript if he wishes (so that we can evaluate his suggested leads in our search for records), would be in order. I concur that we should answer written inquiries with written responses--my call alone does not return his courtesy with the proper courtesy. You would probably want to use this call report as the basis for what you place in our reply (i.e., "send your manuscript if you wish--we will be happy to review it for leads, but we will not comment on whether we agree with it or not."). CALL REPORT: PUBLICDocument's Author: Douglas Horne/ARRB Date Created: 08/27/96 The Players Description of the Call Date: 08/27/96Subject: John Bevilaqua called ARRB TwiceSummary of the Call:In addition to two phone messages on my telephone (dated 8/23/96 and 8/27/96) asking me to call him, Mr. Bevilaqua faxed a letter to ARRB (addressed to Marwell and Horne) dated August 23, 1996 asking if we were interested in reviewing his manuscript of some 100 pages called "Rosetta Stones."After discussing the matter briefly with Jeremy Gunn, I returned his two calls.I told Mr. Bevilaqua that if he wishes to submit his manuscript for us to review, that he is free to do so, as is any other researcher. I requested that he address it to either Mr. Marwell (Executive Director), or to Tom Samoluk, our Public Affairs officer. I first explained that we could not comment in any way as to how convincing, or persuasive any individual staff members may think his work is, since the Review Board exists to search for records, and to declassify records. I also told him that all I could guarantee was that someone on the staff would be assigned to review his manuscript for possible leads which could be used in locating assassination-related records. I also explained to him that we could not keep him updated as to what we were doing, or not doing, with his manuscript during the life of the Review Board, but that after we shut down, all of our file would be public.He indicated he would mail his manuscript to ARRB.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**