

**NR\_key\_name:** C49CB997C14C8492852563B600608815  
**SendTo:** CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 10/01/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 1:54:00 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 10/01/1996  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 1:34:23 PM  
**Subject:** Absence of subject field data on HSCA-Church  
I don't know if this is news, and I'm not sure how widespread this phenomenon is, but: I noticed in attaching RIF/FDNs to the recently returned referred-to-CIA documents that various RIF/FDNs have no data in the subject field. This struck me as odd, since the handwritten RIFs do contain data in the subject fields. I thought first that maybe it was a snag in the program we are working with, but then I checked RIFs in the basic HSCA-Church database and they are without subject field data, also. The version of the RIF in the "old" "Referred" database, however, does contain data in the subject fields. I noticed this discrepancy among documents in Agency File Number 015107 but, again, I don't know how widespread it is. To quote the title of the Buffalo Springfield's only Top 40 hit, "for what it's worth."  
**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**