

NR_key_name: D265D5059FCD07B585256402006D6C35
SendTo: CN=Irene Marr/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Michelle Combs/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 12/16/1996
DisplayDate_Time: 2:55:33 PM
ComposedDate: 12/16/1996
ComposedDate_Time: 2:55:11 PM
Subject: Re: SCI Clearances

Body: FYI, your copyTo: David Marwell/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: Tracy Shycoff/ARRB From: Michelle Combs/ARRB Date: 12/16/96 02:54:35 PMSubject: Re: SCI ClearancesThis response from Tracy in answer to my first e-mail has caused some concern for Irene and me. We have both been working with Top Secret documents for several months now believing that we had the clearances to do so. If we do not yet have Top Secret clearances then we are in some difficulty--both legally and morally. Perhaps our concern is needless, but we would appreciate some clarification of our current status. Are interim clearances good indefinitely?To: Michelle Combs/ARRBcc: From: Tracy Shycoff/ARRBDate: 12/10/96 03:04:41 PMSubject: Re: SCI ClearancesActually, no. I haven't sent the next batch over yet. I can't remember if your Top Secrets were ever finalized. I'll check into it and get back to you probably next week. Thanks for the reminder.To: Tracy Shycoff/ARRBcc: From: Michelle Combs/ARRB Date: 12/04/96 03:15:11 PMSubject: SCI ClearancesIrene and I were wondering if there has been any movement on our SCI clearances?

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: