

NR_key_name: 296E3BFF149C8C098525641B004515C9

SendTo: CN=Joan Zimmerman/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 01/10/1997

DisplayDate_Time: 7:54:23 AM

ComposedDate: 01/10/1997

ComposedDate_Time: 7:34:36 AM

Subject: Your Comments on my SS-9 Draft

Para. 1. I think that your ideas are good for para 1 and that they should be incorporated. I urge that the inputs not be too lengthy. My Para 3 (your numbered para 2A). You have a good point about requesting further information. on administrative files. The question is whether we expand SS-9 or just make a new request. I propose that we continue to press the COS questions in SS-9, but also say that we are enclosing a new SS-12 to make sure that the ARRB is clear on how the SS files are organized. This way we do not appear to be expanding unfairly SS-9 beyond its original limits, but we clarify the important issue that you raise. What do you think?Your para 2C. I think you make a good point and I think the Noonan info should be included. I suspect that Vezeris is likely not to see any relevance in the request in the terms in which it is currently phrased. To what extent could we (should we) say that we believe that it is possible that the Noonan material may contain COS information and request that she look there as a possible source of information? What do you think?I am attaching my draft for your changes.

Body:

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: