

**NR\_key\_name:** 5EF579DFCD90CAF48525642E0074B64D  
**SendTo:** CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Brian Rosen/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:** CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Dave Montague/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 01/29/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 4:16:51 PM  
**ComposedDate:** 01/29/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 4:14:48 PM  
**Subject:** In-depth conversation w/ David Glassman re: access to Robert White  
 JEREMY...BRIAN & I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS THIS CONVO W/YOU WHEN YOU HAVE  
 time...\_\_\_\_\_CALL REPORTIssue # 78Document's Author: Dave Montague  
 & Brian Rosen of ARRB Date Created: 01.29.1997Who initiated the call? Evelyn Lincoln Project Subject: In-  
 depth conversation w/ David Glassman re: access to Robert WhiteDescription of the Call Glassman returned  
 our call today. We explained the nature of the Review Board & our desire to discuss the Lincoln Estate &  
 possible assassination related records which White may have or know of. Glassman was not cooperative w/  
 our suggestion to clarify the distribution process re: assassination related records. We offered to send  
 Glassman some explanatory material & copy of our legislation so he could become familiar w/ our purpose &  
 authority; Glassman said sending such material to him is irrelevant because his client is not in possession of  
 any assassination related material. We specifically asked if Glassman was representing to us that his client  
 did/does not have any specific types of records such as:-Evelyn Lincoln's diaries-appointment books -personal  
 papers re: the assassination-photographs of the assassination &/or autopsy-correspondence re: the  
 assassination We explained that we interpret assassination related records to include these types of items.  
 Glassman said: "...I'm representing to you that my client does not have any assassination related records...as  
 you describe them". He later said he does not feel comfortable in anyway about our approaching his client &  
 that he didn't like the idea of his client being "...singled out ahead of the other beneficiaries..." We explained  
 that the Review Board makes determinations as to what items are assassination related & Glassman insisted  
 we accept his representation that White is not in possession of any such records. We discussed possible  
 avenues for gaining White's cooperation & Glassman mentioned the word "subpoena" (for which we made  
 clear was not the purpose of our discussion). We explained that we were merely speaking w/ various  
 beneficiaries & thought White might be able to explain the distribution of the certain JFK related items & that  
 he appeared to be one of the principle beneficiaries of the will. We also explained we know of White  
 completed an appraisal of items & that we specifically would like to know more about "file 5" as listed on  
 White's inventory. Glassman reiterated that his client never had & does not now have anything related to  
 what we're looking for & that if we started asking White questions, it may "open the door" to other parties  
 seeking access to his collection. We mentioned to Glassman that as recently as last Friday, White encouraged  
 our visiting his home to discuss our request once he realized our purpose. Glassman agreed to speak w/ White  
 re: our request, but said he doesn't think it will make a difference. We told Glassman we'd send him some  
 explanatory material anyway, look forward to hearing the response from White & thanked him for his time.  
**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**