NR_key_name: SendTo: CopyTo: DisplayBlindCopyTo: BlindCopyTo: From: DisplayFromDomain: DisplayDate: DisplayDate_Time: ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time: Subject:

2966DD9C917885FA85256430003C686E CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CN=R ecord/O=ARRB CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

01/31/1997

6:00:48 AM 01/31/1997

5:59:49 AM

Re: Acoustic evidence

Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:

В

Fiease review and then make an oral recommendation about what we do. to. Jerenny Gunn w jik-and.gov w internetcc: research @ weberman.com @ internet, Kathy @ praxis.net @ internet (bcc: Jeremy Gunn/ARRB)From: AlecHidell @ aol.com @ INTERNET @ WORLDCOM Date: 01/30/97 10:55:57 PM CSTSubject: Re: Acoustic evidenceIn a message dated 97-01-28 08:20:50 EST, you write:<< I am sorry that I haven't heard back from you. Please consider responding about information that you may have regarding acoustics evidence. >>Jeremy; Please forgive my slow response in getting back in touch with you. Ihad a family matter come up that required my immediate and undividedattention. Regarding the acoustic evidence; in essence, while doing research on the John F. Kennedy assassination, I came across an audio tape which contained soundsof events just prior to, during and after the motorcade being fired on. In the background there are what sounds to me like shots, weak butaudible, with what may be echos or other shots, and some other noises, which could speculate on but would prefer not to. I contacted Bolt, Baranek, and Newman, and briefly explained thedetails of the content of this tape, asking several questions; 1. Could the tape be authenticated, and would it be easier toauthenticate by them, since they already had considerable acoustic data regardinggunfire signatures in Dealey Plaza; 2. If so, was my assumption that this tape, if authenticated, could beused to determine if the Police Dictabelt recording, the original cause of their involvement in acoustic work regarding the assassination, was infact authentic as well, since the distance in time between shots andechos on the TWO tapes would have minute but unique differences if bothtapes are recordings of the same event, but from different spots. 3. If both tapes were determined to be authentic, could they then beused in conjunction with each other, and by triangulation methodsdetermine with clarity and finality the geographical source for those noises or impulses determined to be shots. 4. Would they be interested in advising on this matter. I followed this contact up with at least one, possibly two phone calls. As far as I am concerned, they have pretty much answered all my questions by not responding in any way. I am sure they probably get an assortment of questions and contacts of this type that has resulted in an anti-nut policy of some sort. My other options leave a lot to be desired. I would prefer to get itauthenticated by some objective and credible expert audio consultant, butwithout a prior established data base, I am sure the cost would beprohibitive. I could release it to some news agency, which would probablytaint it's credibility, more if it went to the National Enquirer than if itwent, for instance, to the Washington Post, but I still think a directrelease or even assistance in authentication by any such entity would bescrutinized, and would also damage other research efforts if this releaseresulted in public fanfare, and the tape was later unable to be Record Ν