

**NR\_key\_name:** 55B484EDC1FFF83D85256433005423C8  
**SendTo:** CN=Cathy Rodriguez/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Dave Montague/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 02/03/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 10:19:12 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 02/03/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 10:19:02 AM  
**Subject:** In-depth conversation w/ David Glassman re: access to Robert White  
CALL REPORT Issue # 78 Document's Author: Dave Montague/ARRB Date Created: 01/29/97  
Who initiated the call? Description of the Call Date: 01/29/97  
Subject: In-depth conversation w/ David Glassman re: access to Robert White  
Summary of the Call: CALL REPORT Issue # 78 Document's Author: Dave Montague & Brian Rosen of ARRB Date Created: 01.29.1997  
Who initiated the call? Evelyn Lincoln Project  
Subject: In-depth conversation w/ David Glassman re: access to Robert White  
Description of the Call Glassman returned our call today. We explained the nature of the Review Board & our desire to discuss the Lincoln Estate & possible assassination related records which White may have or know of. Glassman was not cooperative w/ our suggestion to clarify the distribution process re: assassination related records. We offered to send Glassman some explanatory material & copy of our legislation so he could become familiar w/ our purpose & authority; Glassman said sending such material to him is irrelevant because his client is not in possession of any assassination related material. We specifically asked if Glassman was representing to us that his client did/does not have any specific types of records such as:-Evelyn Lincoln's diaries-appointment books - personal papers re: the assassination-photographs of the assassination &/or autopsy-correspondence re: the assassination We explained that we interpret assassination related records to include these types of items. Glassman said: "...I'm representing to you that my client does not have any assassination related records...as you describe them". He later said he does not feel comfortable in anyway about our approaching his client & that he didn't like the idea of his client being "...singled out ahead of the other beneficiaries..." We explained that the Review Board makes determinations as to what items are assassination related & Glassman insisted we accept his representation that White is not in possession of any such records. We discussed possible avenues for gaining White's cooperation & Glassman mentioned the word "subpoena" (for which we made clear was not the purpose of our discussion). We explained that we were merely speaking w/ various beneficiaries & thought White might be able to explain the distribution of certain JFK related items & that he appeared to be one of the principle beneficiaries of the will. We also explained we know White completed an appraisal of items & that we specifically would like to know more about "file 5" as listed on White's inventory. Glassman reiterated that his client never had & does not now have anything related to what we're looking for & that if we started asking White questions, it may "open the door" to other parties seeking access to his collection. We mentioned to Glassman that as recently as last Friday, White encouraged our visiting his home to discuss our request once he realized our purpose. Glassman agreed to speak w/ White re: our request, but said he doesn't think it will make a difference. We told Glassman we'd send him some explanatory material  
**Body:** Record  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**