

NR_key_name: 84346920677D7BFC85256434007317F6
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Phil Golrick/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 02/04/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 4:01:15 PM
ComposedDate: 02/04/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 3:57:08 PM
Subject: after vote consent release

Phil and I discussed my message below, and his initial reaction is that it is fine to handle it as we have been handling it. The only reason to handle it some other way (i.e. cutting the document into the consent release database, to be published at a future meeting) would be to make the numbers reflect the fact that the document was released in full.To: Phil Golrick/ARRBcc: From: Kevin Tiernan/ARRB Date: 02/04/97 03:25:08 PMSubject: after vote consent releasePhil, my initial thought was that we should have a way of recording this in the archive database. But upon writing it out, I am not convinced it matters. Do you think I should send the message below to David/Chet?The bureau often releases documents in full after the board has voted to postpone them in part. This usually happens because they discover an inadvertant release of a symbol number or name in another document. Debbie just releases the document in full with the other documents from the same meeting, and we act as if it is a consent release and it doesn't need a final determination form. It stays in the archive with the board vote in place, but when the bureau updates their database, of course in becomes a '512'. Do we care about this situation? It usually comes up in three or four documents a meeting.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: