NR_key_name:	F743AC04133EC3EA85256450004986EA
SendTo:	CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB @ ARRB
СоруТо:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From:	CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	03/04/1997
DisplayDate_Time:	8:25:59 AM
ComposedDate:	03/04/1997
ComposedDate_Time:	8:23:07 AM
Subject:	Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177
	The history cited below may be of some minimal value to you if you are going to be approaching the FAA (again, as I now vaguely recall and as what follows appears to indicate). Kathy should be able to find the FAA/HSCA file with our final letter and (I think) their response. You may wish to reference this correspondence history in your latest missive.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Joseph Freeman/ARRBDate: 11/27/95 01:13:42 PMSubject: Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177Attached is a draft letter to FAA. Neither Steve nor I have any contact name for the agencythey told NARA they had no JFK Act documents. Thus the letter is addressed to the FAA Administrator.Please review/revise. The text parallels the already-approved letter to VA contact Berger for that agency's documents.To: Joseph Freeman/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBDate: 11/20/95 03:29:02 PMSubject: Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177See below.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Joseph Freeman/ARRB Date: 11/20/95 03:15:18 PMSubject: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177I have found one record among the Ferrie documents which is actually a FAA-originated document (as opposed to a ALPA/Eastern Airlines proceeding which happens to have come from an FAA file). It's a FAA memo and case summary with assorted attachments.First question: should the FAA get a letter like the one we sent to Mike Berger at VA? YES.Second question: is it too late to reference the Board's December meeting in such a letter?YESThis same record contains some FBI material on Ferrie (Kevin is checking to see whether or pot it's already heen released, and Leurence heill find it has been) and a two-nore memorandum of
	whether or not it's already been released, and I suspect he'll find it has been) and a two-page memorandum of 9/29/61 which Kevin says is DEA (Bureau of Narcotics). Should they get a letter, too? YES.Or is the document too complicated to be dealt with now?Too complicated for next Board meeting, but let's schedule it for
	history in your latest missive.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Joseph Freeman/ARRBDate: 11/27/95 01:1 PMSubject: Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177Attached is a draft letter to FAA. Neither Steve me have any contact name for the agencythey told NARA they had no JFK Act documents. Thus the letter is addressed to the FAA Administrator.Please review/revise. The text parallels the already-approved letter to contact Berger for that agency's documents.To: Joseph Freeman/ARRBcc: From: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBDate 11/20/95 03:29:02 PMSubject: Re: FAA Ferrie document, 180-10117-10177See below.To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: From: Joseph Freeman/ARRB Date: 11/20/95 03:15:18 PMSubject: FAA Ferrie document, 10117-10177I have found one record among the Ferrie documents which is actually a FAA-originated document (as opposed to a ALPA/Eastern Airlines proceeding which happens to have come from an FAA It's a FAA memo and case summary with assorted attachments.First question: should the FAA get a letter the one we sent to Mike Berger at VA? YES.Second question: is it too late to reference the Board's Decem meeting in such a letter?YESThis same record contains some FBI material on Ferrie (Kevin is checking to s whether or not it's already been released, and I suspect he'll find it has been) and a two-page memorand 9/29/61 which Kevin says is DEA (Bureau of Narcotics). Should they get a letter, too? YES.Or is the document

January meeting.PS - this record is not in the review track as of yet.

Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Record

N B