

**NR\_key\_name:** E45281658B8EA4C185256459004D3D98  
**SendTo:** CN=Michelle Combs/O=ARRB @ ARRB  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB  
**From:** CN=Manuel Legaspi/O=ARRB  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 03/13/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 9:03:53 AM  
**ComposedDate:** 03/13/1997  
**ComposedDate\_Time:** 9:03:40 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Cuban exile groups/files in the existing CIA JFK Collection

To: Jeremy Gunn/ARRBcc: Manuel Legaspi/ARRB, Kevin Tiernan/ARRB, Laura Denk/ARRBFrom: Phil Golrick/ARRBDate: 03/13/97 08:34:35 AMSubject: Re: Cuban exile groups/files in the existing CIA JFK CollectionI think Jeremy's bolded (bold?) language makes sense. I gather from the tone of the language that CIA has been more delinquent in making requested records available than the FBI generally has. Nonetheless, Jeremy's formulation prompts me to suggest tightening up the language of our requests to the FBI (not just on Cuban matters, but on anything that we believe is probably, in part, already in the HSCA pipeline). Up to this point, our letters to the FBI have said something to the effect of: "If records responsive to this request have already been processed or have been designated for processing under the JFK Act, please so advise." I suggest saying something along the lines of: "If records responsive to this request have already been processed or have been designating for processing under the JFK Act, please identify those records for us and advise at what stage of processing the records are now." That last clause is awkwardly worded, so some wordsmithing is probably appropriate, but that is the gist of what I think should be included.

**Body:**  
**recstat:** Record  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**