

NR_key_name: F776096BD31D113E85256459006A3BC6
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Tim Wray/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Joseph Masih/O=ARRB @ ARRB
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/13/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 2:20:40 PM
ComposedDate: 03/13/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 2:20:21 PM
Subject: Attempting to initiate further discussion

CALL REPORT: PUBLICDocument's Author: Christopher Barger/ARRB Date Created: 03/13/97 The Players Who initiated the call? Review Board's representative in the call: Christopher BargerWitnesses/Consultants's representative in the call: Donald MonierDescription of the Call Date: 03/13/97Subject: Attempting to initiate further discussionSummary of the Call:On March 13, 1997, I telephoned Donald Monier, who I'd spoken with on two previous occasions. I explained that we were still interested in following up some of the things he'd discussed with us in his interview, and that one of the reasons I felt the need to speak with him was that in order to request that the Navy conduct a search, they needed specifics; I felt that further conversations between he and us might develop some of the specifics necessary to conduct a proper search. I told Mr. Monier that on an entirely separate issue, we had been working with the Dept. of Defense General Counsel's office regarding another former military member who had something intriguing to say, but was unwilling to discuss it any further with us. I further informed him that the General Counsel's office were very willing to work with us and cooperate to whatever extent necessary to make that person comfortable talking with us. This could include letters from the DoD General Counsel's office; proof of our clearances; a letter from the Navy recusing him from his security oath; whatever the person felt was necessary. After explaining this, I asked Mr. Monier, "What I am trying to find out, sir, is what it would take to make you feel comfortable talking further with us. Is there anything I can do, or anything I can get to you, to facilitate another interview with you?"Monier responded, "No... as I told you the last time we talked, I have no direct knowledge of anything pertaining to your work." Before I could interrupt and explain anything further, he added, "And I don't think it [speaking with ARRB] would be proper." He then very politely but firmly informed me that he was about to terminate the call, so I thanked him for his time and hung up.In my opinion, Monier is defining our "work" as directly related to the assassination. He feels that since he was not in Dallas on November 22, he has no information for us. To his mind, the other subject he raised is not related to the shooting. This explains his reaction to my call. If further contact with Monier is determined to be desirable, I suggest that it should be on a higher level than myself; whether a subpoena from the General Counsel, a phone call from a Senior Analyst/Team Leader, or something else, I believe that junior staff has reached the peak of its effectiveness here. I will submit a separate report on what I believe our next step should be in this area. ENDDon't forget to compose individual Action Item documents for any action items that resulted from the call!

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: