

NR_key_name: FOA4F2FF5A6A15488525648B0048C5DE
SendTo: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Joseph Masih/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/02/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 9:18:51 AM
ComposedDate: 05/02/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 9:14:52 AM
Subject: Army Declassifier for Califano Papers

You were quite right in indicating that we would not pay the TDY costs for Rakers. They have a lot of nerve! What is our concern about a army declassifier that is "afraid to use" their authority? Is it that they will refer too many documents or that they will take to many postponements? CALL REPORT Document's Author: Joseph Masih/ARRB Date Created: 04/30/97 The Players Description of the Call Date: 04/30/97 Subject: Army Declassifier for Califano Papers Summary of the Call: Responding to my call from the previous day, Ed Arnold called and told me the following: 1) They are currently undergoing a reorganization which goes beyond a simple change in leadership from Linda Dean to Colonel Moore. 2) He received our letter dated April 15, 1997, regarding ARRB's need for an Army declassifier to review the Califano papers. 3) Our request for Randy Rakers has encountered the obstacle of funding his 2-3 day TDY (Temporary Duty) to Washington. He suggested that if we are that eager to have him come to our office that we should consider funding his TDY. 4) They have two local declassifiers who although we have not worked with them, they are an option to be considered. 5) They cannot make the April 28-May 9 window we suggested in the April 15 letter because of their reorganization. I suggested that if funding could be found within the Army, we would prefer Randy Rakers, however, the decision of which declassifier to send is at the discretion of the Army especially if money is a problem. Our biggest concern is that the Army send a declassifier to ARRB who has declassification authority and who is not afraid to use it. On the issue of when to have a declassifier come to our office, I suggested the following dates: May 14-16 or May 21 -23. He felt that these dates were too soon. He proposed the last week of May and said that he would call me tomorrow (May 1) and let me know. When he calls tomorrow I will let him know that our bottom line for a declassifier can be no later than the first week of June. In addition, we cannot afford to nor set the precedent of paying for a declassifiers TDY and third that they send a declassifier who has both the authority and the will to use it.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: