

NR_key_name: 9AA6B0FEAE9DBACB8525648B005EAF16
SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Dave Montague/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/02/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 1:38:16 PM
ComposedDate: 05/02/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 1:14:12 PM
Subject: 1st update re: JBK/Manchester file in NYC

CALL REPORT Issue # 81Document's Author: Dave Montague/ARRB Date Created: 05/02/97Who initiated the call? Review Board's representative in the call: Dave MontagueJBK v. Manchester Project Subject: 1st update re: JBK/Manchester file in NYCDescription of the Call Conversation w/ Rafael CastilloI exchanged a few phone calls w/ Microfilm Supervisor Rafael Castillo (who was cordial) of the Supreme Court of New York's Office of the Clerk. He told me the following:-he has microfilmed file index# 20510-1966 which = Jacqueline B. Kennedy versus Harper Row Publishers, Inc. & William Manchester-he found only 6 pages on microfilm for the case & reviewed them for us-the 1st document w/in the file is marked as "file sealed in Appellate Division" -Castillo would be happy to give us copies of what he has, but I need to speak w/ Deputy County Clerk Jim Rossetti about copies since it is sealed-Castillo feels the Appellate Division should have the entire file either as hardcopy or on microfilm60 Centre StreetNew York, NY 10007212.374.4704/8344Conversation w/ Jim RossettiRossetti (who was cordial) returned my call & said:-his understanding (using a very positive tone) is that the entire file is "sealed forever", but it is something we can possibly get access to-we must make application through the court to have it either: 1)unsealed in general to the public, or 2)unsealed for our specific purpose -the court may want both the Kennedy kids & the publishing company to be served prior to making a ruling-he feels that since this was a high profile case, the judge assigned would probably move as swiftly as possible (meaning as soon as people could be served)-it would cost the ARRB \$75 dollars to have a judge assigned to the case (even though we're feds), but we can use the same index # (20510-1966) & save the \$100 fee others would have to pay for a new one-after I mentioned that the Appellate Division may have the entire file rather than just the 6 pages in his office, he said it might make more sense to apply through that court for the entire file-if the Review Board wants copies of anything in the file, will have to apply via court-he offered to answer any other questions so we can try recommends that whoever files-his office will be happy to copy & mail it to us if it's unsealedDeputy County Clerk Jim Rossetti 60 Centre StreetNew York, NY 10007212.374.8357Conversation w/ David SpokonyI called Spokony (who was cordial) & he said:-he wants to look at the file himself & will let us know what would be required to get access to the file if they have it-he said it would definitely take some type of action by ARRB counsel if it is sealed, but that he wants to find out exactly what the status of the file is (& to make sure he has it) before asking us to make any formal moves-he will call back today w/answersDeputy Clerk David SpokonyAppellate Division212.340.0400~end~

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: