NR_key_name:
 1E43253E17508ABB85256496004F87CA

 SendTo:
 CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

Subject:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Dave Montague/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:05/13/1997DisplayDate_Time:10:33:41 AMComposedDate:05/13/1997ComposedDate_Time:10:28:41 AM

Testimony of Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan re: Govn't Secrecy

IVIIDULLLAIVLUUD AUTIVITT ISSUE # DUDUCUIIIEIILS AUTIUI. Dave IVIUIITague/Anno Date Createu. UD/ 10/07/ Activity Date: 05/07/97Subject: Testimony of Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan re: Govn't SecrecyDescription of Activity Copyright 1997 Federal Information Systems Corporation MAY 7, 1997, WEDNESDAYSECTION: IN THE NEWSLENGTH: 1736 wordsheadline: Prepared Testimony of Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS SUBJECT - HEARING ON GOVERNMENT SECRECYBODY: I am most grateful to Chairman Thompson, Senator Glenn, and the other members of this Committee for providing this opportunity to testify on the subject of government secrecy and, in particular, on the work of the Commission on Protecting and Reducing Government Secrecy. I am here this morning with myesteemed Commission colleagues, all of whom have had longstanding interest inthese matters: Senator Jesse Helms; our Vice Chairman, Representative LarryCombest; and Representative Lee Hamilton. Your invitation provides an opportunity to describe what we believe the Commission accomplished in its unanimous report, and what we now hope the Congress and Executive Branch officials can do to implement our key findings and recommendations. We begin by defining our subject. Secrecy is a form of government regulation. It can be understood in terms of a now considerable literature concerning howorganizations function. Begin with the German scholar Max Weber, writing eightdecades ago: Every bureaucracy seeks to increase the superiority of the professionallyinformed by keeping their knowledge and intentions secret. Bureaucraticadministration always tends to be an administration of 'secret sessions'; in sofar as it can, it hides its knowledge and action from criticism. The pureinterest of the bureaucracy in power, however, is efficacious far beyond thoseareas where purely functional interests make for secrecy. The concept of the official secret' is the specific invention of bureaucracy, and nothing is sofanatically defended by the bureaucracy as this attitude, which cannot besubstantially defended beyond these specifically qualified areas.!"Normal" regulation concerns how citizens are to behave. As the administrative state developed in the United States, beginning with the Progressive Era at theturn of the century and expanding greatly under the New Deal, legal scholarsbegan to ask just what these new rules were. Were they laws? If not, then what?In 1938, Roscoe Pound, Chairman of the American Bar Association's SpecialCommittee on Administrative Law and former Dean of the Harvard Law School, attacked those "who would turn the administration of justice over toadministrative absolutism . . . a Marxian idea," and inveighed against those progressives, 'liberals,' or 'radicals' who desire to invest the national Government with totalitarian powers in the teeth of Constitutional democracy . .. "We managed to get a handle on that system, in no small measure through theefforts of Erwin Griswold, also a

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

В

DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: