

NR_key_name: 517CC2C15FD6DA6D852564B20070A429
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 06/10/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 4:32:06 PM
ComposedDate: 06/10/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 4:30:21 PM
Subject: edits on Agrupacion Montecristi

David, this is the note I sent to Joan responding to her first version of her memo on Agrupacion Montecristi, in which I tried to emphasize that the Board itself had agreed to adopt the guidelines we were using for the new process.To: Joan Zimmerman/ARRBcc: From: Laura Denk/ARRB Date: 06/10/97 11:32:08 AMSubject: edits on Agrupacion MontecristiIn light of our conversation and in the interests of accuracy, I would suggest changing the third sentence of the last paragraph of your memo to the Board on Agrupacion Montecristi to state "On April 23, 1997, the Review Board voted to adopt the statement entitled, Guidelines for Review of Postponements in the Segregated Collections("guidelines"). These guidelines state that the Review Board will agree to protect PSIs without evidence. (Seeguidelines at 4)." That way, the Board's attention will be drawn to the exact section that they voted to accept, which I think might address your concerns.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: