NR key name: 4BF3B29BE69B5A4F852564C1005D4BF4

SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo: CN=Christopher Barger/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 06/25/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 12:59:40 PM
ComposedDate: 06/25/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 12:59:03 PM

Subject: Jack Matthews Called Doug Horne

CALL NEFON DOCUMENTS AUTHOR DOUGHAS HOTHERANNO DATE CLEATED. DOLZ 2/37 THE FIAYETS WHO INITIATED THE call? Review Board's representative in the call: Douglas HorneDepartment of the Army--Army Declassification Agency's representative in the call: Jack MatthewsDescription of the Call Date: 06/25/97Subject: Jack Matthews Called Doug HorneSummary of the Call:Mr. Matthews called me this morning. He had just received a fax of our June 9th letter re: the upcoming mass declassification session for the Califano papers from Colonel Moore, the Army's JFK Act Compliance Official. (I also received the impression that he may just have spoken with Colonel Moore on the telephone.)He requested detailed background on the Toni Bowie visit the first week in June, in which she (along with 3 members of our staff) reviewed approximately 45% of the Califano papers. He was upset that he had only just now received our letter of June 9 (and that it had not been sent to him in the first place), and upset that others were receiving direct negative feedback about his employee (Toni Bowie), but that he was only getting it second hand. I explained to him that Colonel Moore was the new JFK Compliance Official for the entire U.S. Army, which explained why our letter of July 9 went to Colonel Moore. I also explained to him that Colonel Moore had called ARRB yesterday and asked our Executive Director if there was anything he could do, and asked for a complete rundown on any problems we might be having; and that our Executive Director had passed Colonel Moore to me and asked me "not to sugar coat anything." I told him that Ms. Bowie was personally pleasant and cooperative, but that professionally, there were problems. I told him she was not willing to take risks or make decisions regarding declassification on her own--that whenever there was a chance to make a decision on an Army document, she would say we needed to show it to some other component of the Army, such as DCSOPS or DCSI. I also told him that she had refused to bring any declassification stamps with her, even though she knew she was coming over to the ARRB to conduct declassification review, and that her mind set was "let the Board Members make the tough decisions, I am not going to because I may get burned later by some other agency." I told him that in most cases the documents had multiple equities, and that we had desired she stamp them either "Army has no objection," or cite postponements IAW our Act, but that she was willing to do neither. Since she had brought no stamps, all she would write on the worksheets was "Army defers to other agencies," or "this is referred to Army DCSOPS or Army DCSI."He was upset that we had not called Mr. Donovan (his deputy, the GM-15 who had given Bowie the assignment) ahead of time to tell him that Bowie was not bringing declassification and "no objection" stamps with her. I told him that we had sent Bowie a carefully worded instructional fax, and a copy of our legislation, the week prior to the session and that under these circumstances, my instructions were to let the

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N **DeliveryReport**: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: