NR key name: 39D15C5380B3E54885256532004E56CE

SendTo: CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Ron Haron/O=ARRB @ ARRB

СоруТо:

CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Jim Goslee/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Manuel Legaspi/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 10/16/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 10:16:06 AM
ComposedDate: 10/16/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 10:15:40 AM

Subject: Doug Horne and Ron Haron returned DIA Call from Ms. Jerrie Buckholtz

CALE REPORT DUCUITIENTS AUTHOR. DOUGLAS HOTHE/ARRD DATE CLEATED, 10/15/37 THE PLAYERS DESCRIPTION OF THE Call Date: 10/15/97Subject: Doug Horne and Ron Haron returned DIA Call from Ms. Jerrie BuckholtzSummary of the Call:Last week Ms. Buckholtz called and said that DIA's "action officer" (presumably Anne Winner, the DIA's designated JFK compliance official) had told her that DIA had satisfied all of the requirements in ARRB's letter of December 10, 1996, and wanted the Executive Secretariat to "clear it off of the boards." She asked me last week whether DIA had indeed satisfied all of requirements in our letter of December 10, 1996. At that time I asked her to be patient and told her I would call her back on the 15th of October after I had researched the big picture of where we stand with DIA. Today I returned her call, with Ron Haron in attendance. I told her that DIA had not satisfied our letter of December 10, 1996 in that no final compliance statement had been received by ARRB--and that the deadline extension we had granted of October 1 had passed without receipt of the final compliance statement. I then explained that there were numerous action items in other correspondence (that the Executive Secretariat was not privy to) that had not been answered, either. I said that if all of the outstanding questions were answered in the final compliance statement, then separate reply letters would not be required--but that in some fashion, the formal concerns and requests in our correspondence to DIA of February 6, May 14, July 2, and July 11 of this year should be answered in writing. She agreed, and requested that I fax to her all correspondence with DIA which she was previously not privy to. I sent her a 29-page fax, which included a copy of Section 6 of the JFK Act.I further explained that DIA had indeed conducted some record searches we had requested, and that at a September 23 meeting between ARRB staff members and Anne Winner and the reservists who had conducted the searches, ARRB staff had reviewed numerous documents which DIA thought might be responsive to our search criteria, and ARRB staff had flagged 31 of these documents (less than one third of the total) as "assassination records" which needed to undergo declassification review in accordance with the JFK Act. (the list of documents reviewed and those flagged was also faxed to her.) I told her that I had not been in attendance at that meeting because I was on leave, but that those staff members who had attended said Anne Winner had expressed considerable confusion about the JFK Act, the duties of the Review Board, and had many questions about the document review process, and had asked for formal ARRB correspondence which would (1) formally identify and request DIA declassification review of the 31 documents flagged at the meeting, and (2) explain in detail ARRB's document review and declassification process. I told Ms. Buckholtz that I would be happy to send that letter to DIA and that I would be doing so sometime within the next 30 days. Ron Haron asked DIA to re-commit to

recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N **DeliveryReport:** B

ReturnReceipt: Categories:

Body: