

NR_key_name: 22F609F8832EBBA1852565FA006B14E4
SendTo: Peter
CopyTo: Laura;Kevin
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo:
From: CN=Joseph Freeman/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/04/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 3:48:37 PM
ComposedDate: 05/04/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 3:29:37 PM
Subject: FPCC files, cont.

For What It's Worth,(not only an appropriate phrase here but also, just since you're a generation X-er and may not be aware, a classic Stephen Stills/Buffalo Springfield tune), most of the stuff I noted as being worthy of being kicked upstairs for someone to decide if they were assassination-related or not concerned the two topics cited below:June Cobb - she turns up frequently in the NYO files, sometimes specifically noted as a CIA source; since she weaves in and out of the extended assassination story, and because Newman focuses on her a good deal in Oswald and the CIA, I thought this might be something we'd want to have processed under the Act (I'm sure Newman would be interested, though that is probably insufficient in and of itself to justify designation). As I got further along chronologically in the files, references to her trailed off, so you may not see too much on her; I believed she had moved to Mexico by mid-'61 or so.CI against FPCC - generally, I noted any record which strongly referenced a desire to/need for the development of CI strategy or program against the FPCC; since some have theorized that Oswald was just such a CI plant during his time in NO, records talking about the need to place informants in, develop CI capability against the FPCC might be relevant to the assassination (again, whether researcher interest/paranoia should be driving assassination-related designations is an issue for those above our pay grade...)FYI only, since I'm not suggesting these judgments are necessarily correct ones, or even that yours need to be consistent with mine.

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: