

**NR\_key\_name:** BAF00C3F4F17352F8525665000616A65

**SendTo:** CN=Tracy Shycoff/O=ARRB @ ARRB

**CopyTo:**

**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**

**BlindCopyTo:** CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

**From:** CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

**DisplayFromDomain:**

**DisplayDate:** 07/29/1998

**DisplayDate\_Time:** 1:44:26 PM

**ComposedDate:** 07/29/1998

**ComposedDate\_Time:** 1:44:02 PM

**Subject:** Re:Decision to Depose Dallas Doctors  
From: Douglas Horne <Douglas\_Horne@jfk-arrrb.gov>Cc: Laura\_Denik @ jfk-arrrb.gov (cc: Douglas Horne/ARRB)From: Judge.John.Tunheim @ usdcmn.cchub.com (Judge John Tunheim) @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 07/29/98 02:18:01 PM GMTSubject: Re:Decision to Depose Dallas DoctorsThanks, Doug. This is resource-driven, and I do believe Jeremy was very tornabout whether to approach Dallas here. I believe that he will do a verycompetent job -- with your assistance, of course. Please make sure that Lauraknows your concerns. J.R.T. \_\_\_\_\_ Reply Separator \_\_\_\_\_ Subject: Decision to Depose Dallas DoctorsAuthor: "Douglas Horne" <Douglas\_Horne@jfk-arrrb.gov>Date: 7/29/98 8:42 AMJudge Tunheim,This is the first time I have sent an e-mail to any Board Member in the 3years I have been here, just as my February 11, 1998 memo was the first(and only) memo I ever asked the Executive Director to pass to the Board.I composed the attached entreaty last night at home, and I will now appendthis short introduction. I can imagine how busy you must be, trying toserve as a Federal Judge, a Board Member, the Board Chair, and being thelightning rod for the media on every issue that is related to the ARRB.Even understanding all of this, I felt the issue addressed below wasimportant enough to communicate my thoughts to you.For someone of my views, the "good news" is that we are going to deposesome Dallas Doctors, and for that I am very grateful.The disorienting news for me (driven, I understand, by resourceconstraints) is that the very same person who withheld this issue from theBoard since November, withheld my memo from the Board since February, andwho drafted a Public Memo on Monday of this week explaining why we shouldnot depose any Dallas Doctors, has now been charged with conducting thedepositions. So be it.It is the initial approach to these sensitive witnesses that concerns methe most, and its critical importance to the success of this effort. That is why I am writing you today.In October of 1995 I listened to your "stump speech" about the JFK Act andthe Review Board given to the COPA symposium in Washington. When oneparticuarly insulting question about the Board's competence was asked fromthe floor, I was very impressed with your statement that the Board intendedto hire staff members who would very quickly become experts in theirrespective areas, and that you had every confidence that these staffmembers would serve the Board well. That kind of confidence in "theprocess" was reassuring, and was one of the factors that led me to applyfor work with the ARRB. It is in that spirit that I approached you on July8th about the Dallas Doctors issue, and it is in that spirit that Iapproach you today---as a staff expert who wants to serve the Board in thebest way he can at a critical moment on a very important issue.I know that recent events, particularly Jeremy's resignation and thefallout from that decision, have surely taken up a tremendous amount ofyour time and prevented us from discussing Record  
**Body:**  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**