

NR_key_name: 483D26EE766F9B5485256664004456AE
SendTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 08/18/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 8:26:25 AM
ComposedDate: 08/18/1998
ComposedDate_Time: 8:26:19 AM
Subject: Re: question re 10 series file

Body:
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories:

To: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBcc: (bcc: Non-Record/ARRB)From: Cathy Rodriguez/ARRBDate: 06/23/98 02:28:26
PMSubject: Re: question re 10 series fileThanks Eileen, I put them in a file folder in your top box. Thanks, Cathy
To: Cathy Rodriguez/ARRBcc: From: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBDate: 06/23/98 11:42:40 AMSubject: Re: question re
10 series filedoesn't sound like the memos should be in the 10 series unless they are draft letters to members
of Congress or their staffers. I will take a look at them.To: Eileen Sullivan/ARRBcc: From: Cathy
Rodriguez/ARRB Date: 06/23/98 11:36:45 AMSubject: question re 10 series fileWelcome Back! Thank you for
the chocolate! Eileen, I thought I would ask your opinion on this because I believe you might have the most
experience with the 10 series. Doug gave me several memos that he wrote to Jeremy to put in the ten series
files. I checked the file plan and there is a 10.2.1 for Internal Communication that I thought these items may
fall under. However, after checking the 10 series cabinet in Jack's office, it seems like most of the items in
there have to do with Congressional reports, letters, and extentions. I didn't see anything that seemed like
internal correspondence, but I also think there's a possibiity that subsection may not yet have been used. Do
you think it's appropriate that these memos even be filed in the ten series? The memos concern Kodak and
ARRB Efforts to Clarify the Record regarding Medical Evidence. No hurry, thanks for your input. Cathy