

NR_key_name: 06F7C08336D91B4A8525666D0043BD81

SendTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB @ ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB

From: CN=Chet Rhodes/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 08/27/1998

DisplayDate_Time: 8:20:00 AM

ComposedDate: 08/27/1998

ComposedDate_Time: 8:19:54 AM

Subject: RFK Papers and other JFKL issues
TO: JEREMY GUNN/ARRBCC. ROTHMAN/ARRB (OCC. NON-RECORD/ARRB)FROM: CHET RHODES/ARRBDate: 08/28/98 11:12:53 AMSubject: RFK Papers and other JFKL issuesI spoke with Stephanie Fawcett this morning about trying to expedite the RFK papers. She had been in touch with [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 12, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(1)A] about getting the EHU's done. She is concerned about slippage once again and wanted us to be aware that CIA is not in any big hurry to get these done. One of the problems is with the external referral process. She said there is no mechanism in place to prepare the documents to be reviewed by other agencies [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 12, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(1)A] assured her that they just need a couple more weeks for the JFKL documents. Given their track record for stalling thus far, Stephanie wasn't too optimistic about this. I think now is the time for the Board to give the appropriate parties a call and say if they can't review them under the E.O. then they'll have to be pulled and reviewed under the JFK Act, then follow up with a letter. This might scare them into taking action. Stephanie requested her name not be mentioned because of the repercussions it might have on her other work with them. I gave her my word that we wouldn't need to do that. She did suggest that we tell them we have an interest in all of the RFK papers. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 12, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(1)A]. I am trying to get his number and title from Barry. [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 12, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(1)A]. I think it would be more effective if you call [Screened by: NARA RD-F on October 12, 2016 according to the John F. Kennedy Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. 2107, Section 6(1)A]. I don't expect to be in next week. I am going back north tomorrow afternoon. Depending on how things go this weekend, I will plan to go to the JFK Library Monday to review the rest of the papers Steve Tilley had suggested I look into. (If not Monday, I will just plan to go in at some point before my return.) I am trying to finish a memo on my trip last week which will explain this. While I am away I will finish my list of the Manchester inventory. I will ask Doug to handle the remaining documents I have for the declass session. I have asked Kevin to take the Mildred Stegall documents that we just received from the LBJ Library to the FBI. I believe the Megan Desnoyers memo that we received yesterday is a pretty accurate picture of where we stand with the JFK Library. One of the issues Steve Tilley was concerned about was Sen. Kennedy's papers. I understand this was a ball in our court. Megan had suggested that we use the letter you(?) sent to Arthur Schlesinger and mentioned that we could use her name in the letter. Let me know if you have any questions.

Body:
recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: